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The addition of nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon nucleophiles to carbon-carbon unsaturated bonds is an atom economical method of generating structural complexity from simple starting materials. As soft Lewis acids with high oxidation potentials, gold(I)-complexes are attractive catalysts for these types of transformations. Although many reactions catalyzed by gold(I) have now been reported, we identified two conspicuous deficiencies: few of enantioselective methods and a lack of nucleophilic additions to alkenes. This dissertation will describe advances on both fronts. First, we will discuss the discovery and characterization of chiral phosphinegold(I)-bis-pnitrobenzoate complexes which catalyze the asymmetric hydroamination of allenes. Second, the isolation of proposed alkene hydroamination intermediates will be reported.

Chapter 1. A brief perspective on the current resurgence of homogeneous gold(I)-catalysis will be presented, with an emphasis on the development of enantioselective reactions.

Chapter 2. The metal catalyzed asymmetric hydroamination of allenes has been an unsolved problem in syntheic chemistry for many years. In this chapter, we describe our efforts to apply chiral biarylposphinegold(I) complexes to this transformation. Over the course of this work, we characterized a polymeric mono-cationic complex $(R)-\left[\mathrm{BINAP}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, and uncovered a dramatic counterion effect. This discovery led to the utilization of phosphinegold(I)-bis-pnitrobenzoate complexes for the asymmetric hydroamination of allenes. The catalysts were applied to the enantioselective formation of vinyl pyrrolidines and piperidines in 70-99\% enantiomeric excess. The structure of a bis-p-nitrobenzoategold(I) complex, ( $R$ )ClMeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$, was verified by X-ray crystallography.

Chapter 3. Chiral ligands and counterions were employed in the gold(I)-catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular additions of hydrazines and hydroxylamines to allenes. Chiral phosphinegold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate complexes are versatile catalysts for the enantioselective hydroamination of allenes. The addition of oxygen nucleophiles, however, required the use of chiral anions. These complementary methods allow access to chiral vinyl isoxolidines, tetrahydrooxazines, and differentially protected pyrazolidines.

Chapter 4. This chapter will describe the first direct experimental evidence for the elementary step of gold-promoted nucleophilic addition to an alkene. Alkylgold(I) complexes were formed from the gold(I)-promoted intramolecular addition of various amine nucleophiles to alkenes. Deuterium-labeling studies and X-ray crystal structures provided support for a mechanism involving anti-addition of the nucleophile to a gold-activated alkene. This mechanism was verified by DFT analysis. Ligand studies indicated that the rate of aminoauration was drastically increased by use of electron-poor arylphosphines, which were also shown to be favored in ligand exchange experiments. Attempts at protodeauration led only to recovery of the starting olefins, though the gold could be removed under reducing conditions to provide the purported hydroamination products. The reactivity of alkylgold complexes with zinc and palladium are described. An unexpected oxidation to gold(III) was also uncovered.

Chapter 5. Investigations into the gold(I)-catalyzed addition of carbon nucleophiles to allenes will be discussed. One such reaction, a gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endo-trig reaction, worked with a variety of carbon nucleophiles, incuding silyl enol ethers, $\beta$-ketoesters and dinitriles. This transformation opens access to a variety of substituted cyclopentenes. These carbocycles are complementary to the products available through the Conia-ene and 5-endo-dig methodology. In addition, we demonstrate the transfer of chirality from an allene precursor, producing a quaternary stereocenter with a vicinal tertiary center. We also report a gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endolexo-trig cyclization of substrates which contain two-carbon linkers between the pendant nucleophile and allene. Investigations into the mechanism of this cyclization are included, as well as attempts to isolate a proposed allylgold(I) intermediate.

Chapter 6. A synopsis of our results will be presented, with a perspective on the evolving field of gold(I)-catalysis.
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## Chapter 1

## A Perspective on the Renaissance of Gold(I)-Catalysis

## An Introduction to Modern Gold(I)-Catalysis

Since Wöhler discovered the synthesis of urea in 1828 , ${ }^{1}$ the field of organic chemistry has expanded exponentially to encompass an uncounted number of reactions within multiple subdisciplines. And yet the need for new chemical transformations continues to escalate. Modern chemists are challenged with creating tools to solve global problems: pharmaceuticals, needed for the treatment of medical conditions such as malaria, HIV, and cancer; agrochemicals, which assist in the production of food for the worlds population; the degredation of biomass to create biofuels, to name a few. And if those objectives were not lofty enough, the new reactions we create must be environmentally sustainable, broadly applicable to a variety of compounds, and also precise and predictable in their outcome. In 1995 review, Barry Trost coined the term 'atom economy', to embody these ideals. ${ }^{2}$ In the purest sense, an atom economical reaction is comprised of a simple addition in which two starting materials are combined with no waste or extraneous reagents. Of course, due to various issues with selectivity and outright reactivity, relying solely on the ideal atom economical reaction is not possible. The use of homogeneous catalysts enables new bond formation with little waste and the potential of catalyst recycling.



It was in this milieu, that Teles reported a gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of alkynes in 1998 (eq 1.1). ${ }^{3}$ For reasons that remain unclear, prior to this paper, gold had been underutilized as a catalyst. Hailed as a mild and efficient method of installing C-O bonds, this article marked the beginning of a rising tide of gold chemistry. Some of the key advantages to employing gold as a catalyst rapidly became clear. First, as a soft Lewis acid, gold is often tolerant to functional groups that would otherwise be detrimental. Second, gold-catalyzed reactions are typically performed under ambient conditions, without precautions against oxygen or moisture. Third, the high oxidation potential between gold(I) and gold(III) allows access to mechanisms outside of the oxidative addition/reductive elimination cycles found in traditional transition metal catalysis.

Although the specific mechanism of the Teles hydration has debated, the addition of nucleophiles to gold-activated alkynes quickly became a dominant paradigm in the field (eq 1.2). ${ }^{4}$ Replacing alkynes with allene electrophiles presents two interesting possibilities for enantioselective reactions (eq 1.3). First, an axially chiral allene could be used for a chirality
transfer reaction. ${ }^{5}$ The second approach would involve a chiral phosphinegold(I)-catalyst which would render the addition enantioselective. Although the second option offered an attractive opportunity, prior to 2005 , very little was known about enantioselective gold(I)-catalysis. In fact, only four enantioselective transformations were known.


Even those familiar with gold chemistry may have been surprised to find that the first enantioselective gold(I)-catalyzed reaction was reported in 1986 (eq 1.4). ${ }^{6}$ In that year, Hayashi and co-workers described a gold-catalyzed aldol reaction which produced chiral oxazolines. A variety of alkyl and aryl aldehydes were reacted with methyl isocyanoacetate to yield the desired heterocycles with good diastereoselectivity for the trans isomer, and $97 \%$ ee. The amino sidechain on the ferrecenyl ligand was found to be crucial to the generation of enantioenriched products. For example, when the side chain was extended by one carbon or was absent, the oxazolines were isolated with $0-26 \%$ ee. A highly organized transition state (1.3) was proposed, in which the side-chain coordinates the enolate. For mysterious reasons, this transformation remained the sole enantioselective gold(I)-catalyzed reaction until 2005.



3 examples 20-95\% ee

In 2005, the Corma group reported the enantioselective hydroamination of three electrondeficient alkenes catalyzed by $(R, R)-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{DUPHOS}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2} .{ }^{7}$ The observed enantioselectivity was highly dependent on the alkene substituent. For example, when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, the product was obtained with only $20 \%$ ee. A larger substituent, phenyl, resulted in a higher ee ( $80 \%$ ). Although the paper did not include a crystal structure of the gold complex, the authors did describe a model for the conformation. They proposed an aurophillic contact which caused a severe deviation from gold(I)'s preferred linear geometry.


In the same year, Echavarren reported his groups efforts towards an enantioselective gold(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization. ${ }^{8}$ A single substrate cycloisomerized with ee higher than $50 \%$. This starting material posessed an internal alkyne (1.4), which appeared to be crucial for enantioselectivity. For example, $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ cycloisomerized to $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ with the highest ee ( $94 \%$ ), but in low yield ( $52 \%$ ). Substrates without alkyne substitution produced the desired products with better yield, but less than $50 \%$ ee. Unfortunately, despite testing a variety of gold(I)-complexes, the authors did not find a general catalyst system.


Our group's first contribution to the field of enantioselective gold(I)-catalysis was also reported in 2005. ${ }^{9}$ In this transformation, a cyclopropanation of styrene derivatives, the authors initially found that modifying the racemic phosphinegold(I)-complex had a strong effect on the product's cis/trans ratio. This effect extrapolated to chiral biarylphosphinegold-catalysts, increasing the d.r. to $>20: 1$. Furthermore, $(R)$-DTBM-Segphos $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ was identified as the optimal catalyst. The highly sterically hindered ligand was key to high enantioselectivities.

The reactions described above (eq 1.4-1.7) represented significant advances in gold chemistry. But these transformations (an aldol, hydrogenation, cycloisomerization, and cyclopropanation) all occur via disparate mechanisms. Moreover, the most common gold(I) mechanistic paradigm, that of nucleophilic addition to C-C unsaturated bonds was conspicuously absent! Chapter 2 will describe our efforts to remedy this deficiency. We initially theorized that chiral biarylphosphinegold(I)-complexes alone would to catalyze an enantioselective hydroamination of allenes. However, the key to high enantioselectivities lay with an unlikely source, the counterion. The discussion in chapter 3 will expand on this counterion effect and illustrate the use of chiral counterions. When used alone, and conjunction with chiral phosphinegold(I) complexes, chiral counterions provide a flexible manifold for the enantioselective addition of hydroxylamine and hydrazine nucleophiles.

Although the proclivity of gold to activate allenes (and alkynes) for nucleophilic attack has been widely accepted, the parallel reactivity with alkenes ${ }^{10}$ has been subjected to intense scrutiny. Two underlying issues were key to this debate. First, similar (and in some cases identical) reactivity has been reported to be Brønstead acid catalyzed. ${ }^{11}$ Second, while there was evidence for the complexation of alkenes by gold(I), ${ }^{12}$ there was no experimental confirmation for the elementary step of nucleophilic addition. In chapter 4 we report the first such evidence, including the crystal structures of two alkylgold(I)-complexes.

Finally, finding new reactions to form carbon-carbon bonds, and specifically sterically hindered quaternary centers, is an ongoing challenge. In chapter 5 we report two such reactions, gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endo-trig and 5-endo/exo-trig carbocyclizations of allenes. In addition, we show that chiral allenes cyclize with complete chirality transfer in the 5-endo-trig reaction. This method is a mild and efficient way to synthesize carbocycles with sterically demanding quaternary centers.
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## Chapter 2

## Gold(I)-Catalyzed Enantioselective Hydroamination of Allenes

A portion of this work has been published (LaLonde, R. L.; Sherry, B. D.; Kang, E. J.; Toste, F. D. "Gold(I)-Catalyzed Enantioselective Intramolecular Hydroamination of Allenes" J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2452-2453), but has been described here in greater detail. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]
## Introduction

The catalytic hydroamination of C-C multiple bonds is an efficient, redox-neutral atom economical method of installing nitrogen. Thus, the areas of metal-catalyzed addition of nitrogen to alkynes, ${ }^{1,2}$ allenes, ${ }^{3}$ and alkenes ${ }^{4,5}$ have received a great deal of attention in the literature. Despite the popularity of this area of research, as of 2007, the asymmetric hydroamination of allenes remained a continuing goal in transition metal catalysis. While at this time, a handful of substrate controlled diastereoselective reactions had been reported, no successful ligand controlled enantioselective methods existed. This chapter will focus specifically on the progress made towards transforming the intramolecular hydroamination of allenes into a stereoselective process.

Scheme 2.1. Two Possible Hydroamination Reaction Pathways.


Intramolecular hydroamination of allenes generally proceeds via two reaction pathways (Scheme 2.1), 5-exo or 6-endo. Many examples of this type of reactivity can be found in early metals, such as titanium and zirconium, ${ }^{6}$ lanthanides, ${ }^{7}$ and late metals such as palladium, ${ }^{8}$ silver, ${ }^{9}$ mercury ${ }^{10}$ and gold. ${ }^{3 b}$ While the racemic reactions catalyzed by these metals will not be discussed in depth, it is important to note that each class of metal catalyzed hydroamination gives a different product distribution, each of which is exquisitely sensitive to ligand modifications. For example, titanium amide catalysts usually produce almost exclusively 6-endo products, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$ whereas employing titanium aminoalcohol ${ }^{\text {6d }}$ complexes favors the formation of 5-exo products. Zirconium and lanthanide catalysts produce mixtures that can be tuned by adjusting the ligand structure or the substitution pattern of the allene. ${ }^{6}$ In contrast, late metals, like mercury, silver, palladium and gold, tend to produce allyl amine products exclusively.


Due to the extreme sensitivity of the early metal systems to ligand and substrate modifications, it is not surprising that the problem of enantioselectivity remained unaddressed until 2004. In that year, Johnson and co-workers reported a hydroamination of aminoallenes catalyzed by chiral titanium aminoalcohol complexes (eq 2.1). ${ }^{6 d}$ Although the authors studied a large number of chiral aminoalcohol based ligands, none of them produced the desired product with enantioselectivities greater than $16 \%$. Their best results are summarized in eq 2.1. One can see that this system suffered from a number of problems: poor regioselectivity (4:1), poor diastereoselectivity (nearly $1: 1$ ), and negligible enantioselectivity ( $16 \%$, favoring the minor diastereomer). These challenges might have been addressed individually, but taken together, they presented a daunting array of issues!

2.3

$(R, R)-$ RENORPHOS $=$

$\mathrm{A}=5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$
$10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PhCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ $25 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R, R)$-RENORPHOS benzene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$
$\mathrm{B}=20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$
$40 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PhCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R, R)$-RENORPHOS 2:1 benzene/hexane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$

To the best of our knowledge, at the time of our research, Johnson's work constituted the only intramolecular hydroamination of aminoallenes with any (albeit poor) enantioselectivity. ${ }^{11}$ It is important to mention that in the same year, Yamamoto reported a palladium catalyzed asymmetric synthesis of vinyl pyrrolidines (eq 2.2). ${ }^{12}$ The enantioselectivities of this transformation ranged from $47-83 \%$ for substrates with various aryl substitutents on the terminal alkyne. Interestingly, the observed enantioselectivities increased with higher palladium quantities and stoichiometric use of $(R, R)$-RENORPHOS. For example, the enantiomeric excess of vinyl pyrrolidine 2.4 was improved to $91 \%$ ee under the stoichiometric conditions.



85\% yield; 0\% ee

2.4

Since the starting materials were alkynes, this transformation cannot be strictly classified as a hydroamination of aminoallenes. However, the authors proposed a catalytic cycle in which the pendant alkyne was isomerized to an allene. The allene was subsequently cyclized to the observed product. But the proposed mechanism was not consistent with the result shown in eq 2.3. When a proposed intermediate $\mathbf{2 . 5}$, was subjected to identical conditions, the reaction
proceeds, but with no enantioselectivity. This inconsistency could be explained by invoking a stereoselective formation of allene 2.5, followed by a stereospecific hydroamination. Unfortunately, the authors did not test enantiomerically enriched allene $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ under the reaction conditions.


As demonstrated by Yamamoto's work, late metals provided an attractive alternative to the early metal hydroamination systems. They not only offered better regioselectivity (generally exclusive formation of the allylamine products), but also are much easier to handle, and provide better functional group compatibility. Another late metal example, the silver nitrate catalyzed hydroamination of amino allenes was reported over forty years go by Claesson and co-workers. ${ }^{13}$ A few years later, in 1986, Gallagher showed that this transformation could be achieved in a stereospecific manner (eq 2.4). ${ }^{14}$ Enantiomerically enriched allene 2.6 was cyclized upon treatment with 0.5 equivalents of silver tetrafluoroborate with good yield and nearly complete chirality transfer. Chiral piperidine 2.7 was used in the synthesis of $(R)-(-)$-coniine, a potent, neurotoxic alkaloid found in hemlock. ${ }^{15}$

Table 2.1. Silver-Mediated Diastereoselective Hydroamination of Allenes.

|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}]{\mathrm{AgOTf}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | 2.9 | $\mathbf{R}=$ | equiv Ag | \% de | \% yield |
| 1 | 2.9a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.46 | 33 | 87 |
| 2 | 2.9b | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.15 | 60 | 90 |
| 3 | 2.9c | -CONHMe | 0.5 | 81 | 90 |
| 4 | 2.9d | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHMe}$ | 0.45 | 78 | 63 |
| 5 | 2.9 e | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | 1 | 96 | 90 |
| 6 | 2.9 f | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | 0.98 | 99 | 90 |

In addition to demonstrating chirality transfer, Gallagher also used a variety of chiral auxiliaries in a silver-mediated diastereoselective hydroamination (Table 2.1). ${ }^{16}$ As shown in Table 2.1, the more coordinating groups produced the product with higher de. For example, the methyl substituted substrate cyclized with only $33 \%$ de (entry 1), whereas the sulfoxide
substitution (entry 6) yielded the desired pyrrolidine with near perfect de. On the basis of these results, the authors proposed a chair-like transition state organized by silver bridging between the chiral auxiliary and the pendant allene. Although these results were quite promising, this system was found to be extraordinarily sensitive to the amount of silver. In some cases, small amounts, as low as $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, were beneficial (entry 2 ); in others, stoichiometric amounts were necessary (entries 5 and 6). In addition, despite being a good demonstration of substrate controlled selectivity, the leap to ligand controlled systems was not forthcoming.

Table 2.2. Gold(III)-Catalyzed Diastereoselective Hydroamination of $\alpha$-Aminoallenes.

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2{\mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}}_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | 2.11 | $\mathbf{R}=$ | time | \% yield | \% dr |
| 1 | 2.11a | H | 5 days | 74 | >99:1 |
| 2 | 2.11b | Ms | 30 min | 77 | 94:6 |
| 3 | 2.11c | Ts | 30 min | 93 | 96:4 |
| 4 | 2.11d | Ac | 30 min | 80 | 70:30 |
| 5 | 2.11e | Boc | 30 min | 69 | 46:54 |

Six years after the Teles hydration ${ }^{17}$ marked the beginning of the resurgence of homogeneous gold catalysis, Krause and coworkers reported a diastereoselective gold(III)catalyzed hydroamination of allenes (Table 2.2). ${ }^{18}$ The authors found that despite its propensity to decompose to metallic gold, gold(III) chloride was an effective catalyst for the cycloisomerization of $\alpha$-aminoallenes. Interestingly, it was found that the protecting group had a strong influence on the diastereoselectivity of the transformation. For example, the unprotected amine 2.11a and sulfonamides 2.11b and 2.11c cyclized with high diastereoselectivity, but acetamide 2.11 d and carbamate 2.11 e were obtained with little to no selectivity.

Table 2.3. Racemic Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Allenes.

|  |  | $\xrightarrow[\text { THF, } 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}]{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{\% AuCl} \longrightarrow}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | $\boldsymbol{m m o l} \%$ | $n=$ | $\mathbf{R}=$ | time (h) | \% yield |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Ts | 3 | 99 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 3 | 97 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | Cbz | 3 | 99 |
| 4 | 5 | 1 | Bn | 24 | 76 |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | Ts | 24 | 53 |
| 6 | 5 | 2 | Cbz | 24 | 80 |

Yamamoto and coworkers later extended this methodology to the formation of pyrrolidines and piperidines (Table 2.3). ${ }^{19}$ While the authors found that both gold(III) chloride and gold(I) chloride catalyzed the desired reaction with equal efficiency ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), they preferred the use of gold(I) for its stability. This transformation was applied to a variety of protected amines, including tosylamines, carbamates and benzyl amines. Piperidine formation required extended reaction times as well as higher catalyst loadings (entries 5 and 6). A single example of chirality transfer was also included in this report (eq 2.5). Upon treatment with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ gold(I) chloride, enantioenriched allene $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ cyclized to form tosylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ with almost complete chirality transfer. This was especially interesting in light of an earlier report of a gold(I)-catalyst racemizing allenes. ${ }^{20}$

$2.1396 \%$ ee


2.14 94\% ee

The scope of gold(I)-catalyzed exo-hydrofunctionalization of allenes was expanded by Widenhoefer to include a variety of homo-allenic amines, alcohols and carbon nucleophiles (Table 2.4). ${ }^{21}$ This hydroamination protocol was applied to mono- (entries 1-4), as well as di- and tri-substituted allenes with equal success. For example, 3,3-disubstituted allene $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ was cyclized to form a sterically challenging tetrasubstituted carbon in excellent yield ( $97 \%$, entry 5 ). Also, 1,3-disubstitued allenes were cyclized to form both pyrrolidines and piperidines with near perfect diastereoselectivity (entries 6, 7, and 10). The diastereoselectivity observed for substrates with backbone substitution (entries 4 and 10) was substantially lower (4:1 and 7:1,
respectively). This report by Widenhoefer was important not only due to its broad substrate scope, but also because it demonstrated the high catalytic activity of a gold complex with a sterically demanding $o$-biphenylphosphine ligand. In fact, in the case of alkene hydroamination, the authors found that "employment of the sterically hindered $o$-biphenyl ligand was crucial for high activity." ${ }^{21}$

Table 2.4. Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination of N-Allenyl Carbamates. ${ }^{a}$
entry
${ }^{a} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}(o\right.$-biphenyl $\left.)\right] \mathrm{AuCl}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgOTf}$, dioxane, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5-180 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{b} 22 \mathrm{~h}$.

At the time of our research, we had that ligands could exert a strong effect on both diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity in a gold(I)-catalyzed cyclopropanation. ${ }^{37,22}$ On the basis of these observations, we hypothesized that chiral phosphinegold(I) complexes could be attractive catalysts for the intramolecular hydroamination of allenes due to their inherent chemoselectivity for activation of C-C multiple bonds. However, the preferred linear geometry of gold(I) complexes places the chiral phosphine ligand distant from the reactive center, rendering enantioselective catalysis challenging. An examination of the crystal structure of $(R)$ -
$\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}{ }^{23}$ reveals that the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}$ bond was found to be $2.23 \AA$. In addition, the bond distance between cationic gold and the carbons in a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond can be estimated at 2.2-2.3 $\AA .{ }^{24}$ As a consequence of these measurements, a nucleophile adding trans ${ }^{25}$ across a carbon double bond must be over $4 \AA$ away from the chiral information contained in the ligand!

## Results

## Initial Optimization

Before embarking on our study of enantioselective hydroamination, it was necessary to establish conditions for the analogous racemic reaction. To this end, a colleague in the Toste group, Dr. Benjamin Sherry, found that upon treatment with a mixture of $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ triphenylphosphine gold chloride and $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ silver tetrafluoroborate, tosylamine $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ cyclized to form racemic pyrrolidine 2.36 in excellent yield and minimal reaction time (eq 2.6). These conditions were used throughout the course of this research to generate standard racemic samples.


We began our screen of chiral dinuclear gold(I)-phosphine complexes with the BINAP family of ligands. We were pleased to find that when treated with a mixture of $(R)$ $\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 3 7})$ and silver tetrafluoroborate, tosylamine 2.35 was transformed efficiently to pyrrolidine 2.36 in $81 \%$ yield and $22 \%$ ee (Table 2.5, entry 1). Prior work by Dr. David Gorin had shown that adding steric bulk to the aryl phosphine ligands can result in increased enantioselectivity. ${ }^{37}$ Thus, we found that the use of $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP as a ligand increased the observed ee to $51 \%$ (entry 2). At this point in time a post-doctoral scholar, Dr. Eun Joo Kang, joined our team of researchers. As a reasonable first step, she set about trying to replicate this promising result. We were shocked to find that she was unable to duplicate the enantioselectivities under ostensibly identical reaction conditions.

The irreproducibility of these results prompted a frantic examination of our methodology and standard operating procedures. We were running these reactions on a small $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ scale to maximize screening efficiency and minimize waste. As a consequence, we were weighing approximately 1 mg of silver tetrafluoroborate per reaction. Our standard operating procedure also included storing the hydroscopic silver salt in the glove box. The confluence of a number of factors, the small quantity of salt, static ridden nature of the glove box, inherent accuracy of the balance, and natural human error contributed to a large margin of error in the amount of silver salt actually used. This hypothesis was easily verified by scaling the reactions to determine the optimal amount of silver.

Table 2.5. Initial Ligand Optimization and Salt Effect.


Based on the assumption that a single equivalent of silver salt would abstract an equimolar amount of chloride ions, tosylamine 2.35 was treated with mixtures of pre-catalyst $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 3 8})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$. A species believed to be of type $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$, Scheme 2.2), generated by the treatment of precatalyst $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ with two equivalents of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$, catalyzed the formation of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ with no stereoselectivity (Table 2.5, entry 3). In contrast, reaction of 2.35 with an in situ generated monocationic catalyst of type $\mathbf{B}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ produced 2.36 in good yield with moderate enantioselectivity (entry 2). This remarkable increase in enantioselectivity ${ }^{10}$ led us to hypothesize that the remaining coordinated counterion was crucial for stereoinduction.

Encouraged by these results, we next attempted to demonstrate substrate scope with the optimized conditions. After a massive screening effort, most of the optimized enantioselectivities were poor to moderate. Because we were not successful at expanding the scope of our optimized reaction, we began to realize that it was necessary to re-examine our assumptions. It is crucial to note that the structure of the in situ generated catalysts was unknown. Before proceeding, it was imperative to experimentally verify the nature of the catalysts.

Scheme 2.2. Dinuclear Gold(I)-Phosphine Complexes.


Due to the propensity of cationic gold species to decompose into gold nanoparticles, we attempted to examine the monocationic catalyst mixture (eq 2.7) by NMR. Not surprisingly, the room temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra displayed a complex mixture of signals. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra, however, showed a single broad peak at 27.9 ppm . This led us to hypothesize that the catalyst was a single, yet fluxional species. Because heating decomposes the cationic gold species, we embarked on a series of low temperature NMR experiments to freeze out the
structural variations. At $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resolved into two sharp peaks at 28.8 and 22.0 ppm with a 2.6:1 ratio. This was initially perplexing, because we believed that a catalyst of type $\mathbf{B}$ (X $=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ) should appear as one of two possible ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra. First, the two phosphines as represented in Scheme 2.2, are chemically inequivalent and would appear as two distinct signals with a $1: 1$ ratio. Alternatively, if the coordinated counterion (X) symmetrically bridges between the two gold atoms, a single signal should appear in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra. Fortunately, upon slow evaporation, we were able to obtain an x-ray quality crystal from this sample (Figure 2.1).


The crystal structure of $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ revealed that the monocationic gold complexes are aligned in polymeric chains linked by bridging chloride anions (Figure 2.1). The structure showed a typical linear, two coordinate geometry around gold with a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angle of $176^{\circ}$. The bond distance between $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}(2.25 \AA)$ was comparable to the distance observed in the parent $\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ complex ( $2.27 \AA$ ). The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances were equal ( $2.33 \AA$ ), indicating a true bridging chloride. These values were also similar to the distances found in the parent $\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ complex ( $2.32 \AA$ ).

Furthermore, the polymeric crystal structure of $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ exposed the source of the unexpected integral ratio of phosphine signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. If $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ existed as a trimer in solution, four of the phosphines would be chlorine bridged, resulting in a $2: 1$ integral ratio between the two phosphine signals. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ was a tetramer in solution, a 3:1 integral ration should be observed. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the experimentally determined integral ratio of 2.6:1 resulted from a mixture of trimers and tetramers in solution.


Figure 2.1. ORTEP of $(R)-\left[\operatorname{BINAP}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{2} \mathbf{3 9})$. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens, tetrafluoroborate counterions and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

Having experimentally verified the structure of monocationic catalyst 2.39, we hypothesized that changing either the coordinated or non-coordinated counterions could affect the enantioselectivity of the product. Efforts at modifying the non-coordinating counterion were unsuccessful. For example, a mixture of $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P(A u C l))_{2}$ and $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ AgOTs produced $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ in $80 \%$ and $30 \%$ ee. We theorized that the chloride counterion was crucial for the transmission of stereochemical information. As evidence, no enantioinduction was observed when the chloride counterion was absent (Table 2.5, entry 3). Consequently, replacing chloride with a larger coordinated counterion could amplify enantioselectivity. Employing coordinating anions, however, necessitates a complex of type $\mathbf{A}$ to be in equilibrium with catalytically active species $\mathbf{B}$. To ensure that appreciable amounts of active catalyst are present in solution, an ideal counterion must be electronically as well as sterically modifiable. We envisioned that a type $\mathbf{A}$ carboxylate complex ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{RCO}_{2}$ ) could satisfy these requirements.

## Development and Characterization of p-Nitrobenzoate Catalysts

We began our counterion screen with the simplest type of carboxylate: acetate. Unfortunately a mixture of $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P(A u C l))_{2}$ and $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgOAc}$ failed to catalyze the formation of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ (Table 2.6, entry 1). As discussed above, we expected that the electronic properties of the coordinating counterions would have to be modified to generate appreciable amounts of active catalyst in solution. This effect was demonstrated by adding electron-withdrawing groups to the acetate counterion. By utilizing silver trifluoroacetate as a co-catalyst with $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-\mathrm{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}, \mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ was transformed to the desired pyrrolidine 2.36 with moderate enantioselectivity (entry 2 ). Having verified our ability to tune the electronic characteristics of the counterions, we turned to adjusting the steric size of the counterions.

Table 2.6. Counterion Effects on Enantioselectivity.

|  <br> entry <br> X |  | $\frac{$$3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \text { xylyl-BINAP(AuCl) }$ <br> 6 <br> $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgX}$}{ DCE,  $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$} |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n=$ | time (h) | \% ee | \% yield |
| 1 | OAc | 2 | 16 | - | n.r. |
| 2 | OTFA | 2 | 16 | 86 | 57 |
| 3 | OBz | 1 | 24 | 27 | 98 |
| 4 | OPNB | 1 | 24 | 76 | 98 |
| 5 | ODNB | 1 | 24 | 82 | 95 |

We were pleased to find a dramatic amplification of enantiomeric excess when benzoate counterions ${ }^{26}$ were employed. Treating tosylamine $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ with precatalyst $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ and two equivalents of silver benzoate provided $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ with excellent ( $98 \%$ ) ee, but the reaction was low yielding even after extended reaction times (Table 2.6, entry 3). Again, we hypothesized that the poor conversion was due to low equilibrium concentrations of the catalytically active cationic species. ${ }^{27}$ Therefore, an electron-withdrawing group was added to the benzoate in the hopes of improving conversion. The use of silver p-nitrobenzoate (AgOPNB) increased the yield of 2.36 to $76 \%$ with no loss of enantioselectivity (entry 4). Silver 3,5-dinitrobenzoate (AgODNB) further enhanced the yield of the reaction to $82 \%$, but eroded stereoinduction to $95 \%$ ee (entry 5). Presumably this could be explained by the formation of small equilibrium concentrations of dicationic catalyst (type C).

Table 2.7. Optimization of 4,4-Substituted Pyrrolidines.


| entry | $\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{m o l} \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $\mathbf{A g X}$ | solvent | temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | \% ee | \% conv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | AgOBz | DCM | 23 | 94 | 23 |
| 2 | 6 | AgOPNB | DCM | 23 | 88 | 19 |
| 3 | 6 | AgOPNB | DCE | 23 | 89 | 24 |
| 4 | 6 | AgOPNB | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | 23 | 90 | 19 |
| 5 | 6 | AgOPNB | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | 50 | 90 | 95 |

We next screened the benzoate counterions against a tosylamine with backbone substitution (2.40). As expected, the use of silver benzoate as co-catalyst yielded disappointingly small amounts of the desired product, but with good ee (Table 2.7, entry 1). We were surprised to find that the use of silver $p$-nitrobenzoate did not improve the conversion ( $19 \%$, entry 2 ), and led to a slight decrease in ee $(89 \%)$. Next, in the hope that increasing the polarity of the solvent would improve conversion the reaction was screened in dichloroethane and nitromethane (entries 3 and 4). Although at room temperature these solvents gave similar results, gentle heating ( 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in nitromethane increased conversion to near quantitative without degrading the enantioselectivity ( $90 \%$, entry 5).

Again, we thought it would be prudent to investigate the nature of the in situ generated catalyst. As such, we examined the mixture generated from 2.38 and 2 equivalents of silver $p$ nitrobenzoate using ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. Although we expected that $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$ (2.42) would be formed quantitatively, instead a mixture of ( $R$ )-3,5-xylylBINAP( $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{ClOPNB}$ ):2.42:2.38 was observed (Figure 2.2). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR showed four signals $(22.4,21.9,17.7,17.1 \mathrm{ppm})$. The assignment of these peaks to a 1:0.34:2.75 mixture of $(R)-3,5-$ xylyl-BINAP $\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{ClOPNB}\right): \mathbf{2 . 4 2 : 2 . 3 8}$ was supported by independent preparation and characterization of complexes $2.42\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right.$ NMR, 17.7 ppm$)$ and 2.38 ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, 21.9 ppm ). Because the bulk of the in situ generated catalyst mixture was an inactive complex, ${ }^{28}$ we believed the reaction would be improved by using purified $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ as the precatalyst.


Figure 2.2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR of In Situ Generated $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P(A u O P N B)_{2}(\mathbf{2} .42)$.

Since silver $p$-nitrobenzoate is sparingly soluble in halogenated solvents, we attempted sonicating the heterogeneous reaction mixture to further increase the conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$. Sonication increased the ratio of $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{ClOPNB}\right) \mathbf{2 . 4 2 : 2 . 3 8}$ to 1:1:0.25. Gratifyingly, the combination of sonication and 3 equivalents of silver salt produced a quantitative yield of 2.42. Utilizing 2.42, an isolable, bench-stable complex, not only streamlined reaction setup, but also increased the yield of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ to $88 \%$ with a reduced reaction time ( 15 vs. 24 h ), while maintaining enantioselectivity (Table 2.8 , entry 3 ).


Figure 2.3. ORTEP of $(R)-\mathrm{ClMeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 3})$. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

In addition to the usual characterization methods (NMR, HRMS, EA), we were able to confirm the structure of the gold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate catalysts with an x-ray crystal structure of $(R)$-ClMeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 3})$ (Figure 2.3). ${ }^{29}$ The structure conforms to the typical linear, two coordinate geometry with a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond angle of $174^{\circ}$. The Au-O2 bond length ( $2.05 \AA$ ) is slightly shorter than a typical gold chloride complex ( $2.3 \AA$ ). Finally, the P-Au bond length was found to be $2.21 \AA$.

## Final Optimization

Table 2.8. Ligand Optimization for Compound 2.36.


| entry | catalyst | time (h) | $\%$ yield $^{\text {a }}$ | $\% \mathrm{ee}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (R)-BINAP(AuOPNB $)_{2}(R-\mathbf{2 . 4 4})$ | 15 | 82 | 93 |
| 2 | $(S)-\mathrm{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}(S-2.44)$ | 15 | 86 | -94 |
| 3 | $(R)-3,5-\mathrm{xylyl}-\mathrm{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 2 )}$ | 17 | 88 | 98 |
| 4 | (R)-Segphos(AuOPNB) $\mathbf{2}^{(2.45)}$ | 24 | 57 | 83 |
| 5 | (R)-Synphos (AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 6 )}$ | 24 | 47 | 92 |
| 6 | $(R)-\mathrm{ClMeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 3 )}$ | 15 | 85 | 97 |

A variety of gold chloride complexes were amenable to the preparation of bis-pnitrobenzoate complexes (Table 2.8). Although the use of (R)-3,5-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $2_{2}$ yielded the highest enantioselectivity ( $98 \%$, entry 3 ), all of these complexes ( $\mathbf{2 . 4 2 - 2 . 4 6 )}$ were found to catalyze the hydroamination with good enantioselectivity (Table 2.8, entries 1-6).

The use of $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$ as a catalyst produced $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ with similar yields and enantiomeric excess in halogenated solvents (Table 2.9). For example, the use of DCM ( $95 \%, 98 \%$ ee; entry 1) produced a nearly identical outcome to that obtained with DCE ( $88 \%, 98 \%$ ee; entry 2 ). A polar, non-coordinating solvent, nitromethane, also delivered excellent results ( $94 \%$, $98 \%$ ee; entry 3 ). However, polar, coordinating solvents such as acetonitrile (entry 6) and tetrahydrofuran (entry 5) drastically reduced the observed yield (48 and $16 \%$, respectively), while the ee remained unchanged. Employing a non-polar solvent, benzene (entry 4), also resulted in lower yields of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ (27\%) and slightly lower enantioselectivity ( $94 \%$ ).

Table 2.9. Solvent Optimization for Compound 2.36.


| entry | solvent | \% yield ${ }^{a}$ | \% ee $^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DCM | 95 | 98 |
| 2 | DCE | 88 | 98 |
| 3 | MeNO $_{2}$ | 94 | 98 |
| 4 | benzene | 27 | 94 |
| 5 | THF | 16 | 98 |
| 6 | MeCN | 48 | 98 |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC.

## Substrate Scope

The substrate scope was examined utilizing the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2.10). ${ }^{30}$ The allene terminus was found to be particularly amenable to substitution. Both cyclic and linear alkanes were well tolerated (entries 1-5) yielding the corresponding pyrrolidines in good yield and excellent enantiomeric excess. For example, both dimethyl (2.47, entry 1) and diethyl substituted allenes ( $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$, entry 2 ) cyclized with near perfect enantioselectivity ( $99 \%$ ) and good yield ( 98 and $90 \%$ respectively). In similar fashion, cyclohexyl (2.35, entry 4) and cycloheptyl ( $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$, entry 5) substituted allenes produced the desired products with excellent enantioselectivity ( $98 \%$ ). Interestingly, when the reaction conditions were applied to the analogous cyclopentyl substituted allene ( $\mathbf{2 . 4 9}$, entry 3 ), the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 5 5}$ was obtained with reduced enantiomeric excess $(83 \%)$. This decrease in ee could be rationalized by the involvement of the terminal allene substituents in the transition state. In addition, substrates with subtle electronic perturbations (entries 6 and 7 ) required extended reaction times and slightly elevated temperatures.

Table 2.10. Scope of Allene Substitution in the Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Allenes. ${ }^{a}$
entry
${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $\left.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P(A u O P N B)\right)_{2}(2.42), 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ in DCE, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC. ${ }^{d} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst. ${ }^{e} 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The reaction could also be extended to substrates containing substitution in the tether (Table 2.11). However, even with the isolated catalyst 2.42, these substrates required that the reaction be carried out in nitromethane at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst in order to achieve complete conversion (vide supra). For example, gold(I)-catalyzed cyclization of tosylamine $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ in nitromethane at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave dimethyl substituted pyrrolidine 2.41 in $94 \%$ yield and $93 \%$ ee (entry 2 ). While $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP $(A u O P N B)_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 2})$ proved the most general catalyst for the enantioselective synthesis of pyrrolidines, we were curious to see if modifying the ligand would
improve the enantioselectivity. ( $R$ )-ClMeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$ (2.43) was equally competent at catalyzing the cyclization of $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ yielding the product $\mathbf{2 . 4 1}$ with $93 \%$ ee (entry 5). Further screening of $(S)$-BINAP $(A u O P N B)_{2} \quad(S-2.44), \quad(R)$-Segphos(AuOPNB) $)_{2} \quad(2.45)$, and (R)Synphos(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$ (2.46) did not result in additional improvement of ee (entries 1, 3, and 4, respectively).

Table 2.11. Ligand Optimization for Compound 2.41. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | ligand | \% yield ${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | \% ee ${ }^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(S)$-BINAP | 90 | -90 |
| 2 | $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P$ | 94 | 93 |
| 3 | $(R)$-Segphos | 87 | 82 |
| 4 | $(R)$-Synphos | 86 | 88 |
| 5 | $(R)$-ClMeOBiPHEP | 85 | 93 |

${ }^{a} \overline{\text { Reaction Conditions: } 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{~L} *(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, 0.3 \mathrm{M} \text { in } \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} \text { Isolated yield after }}$ column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC.

Modifying the 4,4-dimethyl substitution to cyclohexyl resulted in a sharp drop in
 $(R)-\mathrm{ClMeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 3})$ catalyzed the formation of $\mathbf{2 . 6 0}$ with an enantioselectivity of $70 \%$. The other ligands screened (BINAP, Segphos, Synphos) failed to improve upon this modest result (entries 1, 3, and 4).

Table 2.12. Ligand Optimization for Compound 2.60. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | ligand | \% yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\% \mathrm{ee}^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (S)-BINAP | 92 | -60 |
| 2 | (R)-3,5-xylyl-BINAP | 98 | 70 |
| 3 | (R)-Segphos | 99 | 69 |
| 4 | (R)-Synphos | 81 | 69 |
| 5 | (R)-CIMeOBiPHEP | 85 | 70 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{~L} *(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC.

The ee obtained for the cyclization of $\mathbf{2 . 6 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 6 2}$, was distressingly low ( $53 \%$ ) when $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P(A u O P N B)_{2}$ was employed as the catalyst (Table 2.13, entry 2 ). Fortunately, the enantioselectivity improved to $88 \%$ by replacing 2.42 with (R)SEGPHOS(AuOPNB) $)_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 5})$ as the catalyst (entry 3). Other catalysts, such as ( $S$ )$\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, \quad(R)-\mathrm{Synphos}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, \quad$ and $\quad(R)-\mathrm{ClMeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}$ also catalyzed the formation of $\mathbf{2 . 6 2}$ with moderate ee $(80-81 \%)$.

Table 2.13. Ligand Optimization for Compound 2.62. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | ligand | \% yield ${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | \% ee $^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(S)$-BINAP | 92 | -80 |
| 2 | $(R)-3,5-$ xylyl-BINAP | 98 | 53 |
| 3 | $(R)$-Segphos | 99 | 88 |
| 4 | $(R)$-Synphos | 81 | 82 |
| 5 | $(R)$-ClMeOBiPHEP | 85 | 81 |

${ }^{a} \overline{\text { Reaction Conditions: } 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{~L} *(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, 0.3 \mathrm{M} \text { in } \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} \text { Isolated yield after }}$ column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC.

While a wide variety of complexes, including $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$, catalyzed the formation of chiral piperidines (Table 2.14), the optimal enantioselectivity was obtained by simply switching the catalyst to $(R)$-ClMeOBIPHEP $(A u O P N B)_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 4 3})$. For example, reaction of 2.63 catalyzed by $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 2.43$ afforded piperidine $\mathbf{2 . 6 4}$ in $98 \%$ conversion and $88 \%$ ee.

Table 2.14. Ligand Optimization for Piperidine Formation. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | ligand | \% conv ${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | \% ee $^{\boldsymbol{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(S)$-BINAP | 80 | 75 |
| 2 | $(S)-p-$ tolyl-BINAP | 65 | 80 |
| 3 | $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP | 50 | 40 |
| 4 | $(S)$-BINAPO | 25 | 16 |
| 5 | $(R)$-Segphos | 42 | 85 |
| 6 | $(R)$-Difluorophos | 98 | 82 |
| 7 | $(R)$-ClMeOBiPHEP | 98 | 88 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{~L} *(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC.

Applying the optimized reaction conditions to a series of substrates revealed some interesting trends (Table 2.15). ${ }^{31}$ In addition to elevated temperature $\left(50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) and increased catalyst loading ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), the majority of piperidines (entries 2-4) also required extended reaction times to achieve reasonable conversion. Also, unsubstituted piperidines (entries 1 and 2) were obtained with lower enantioselectivities than the corresponding 4,4-dialkylsubstituted substrates (entries 3 and 5), which were isolated with excellent ee. This was in contrast to the 4,4-disubstituted pyrroldines, which generally were formed with lower ee than their unsubstituted counterparts (vide supra).

Table 2.15. Scope of Piperidine Formation in the Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Allenes. ${ }^{a}$
entry

[^1]Although the pyrrolidine and piperidine products formed by this methodology are simple, the vinyl group provides a functional handle for further modification. Despite the steric bulk of a trisubstituted alkene, the vinyl group of $\mathbf{2 . 5 5}$ was easily ozonolytically cleaved to yield N-p-toluenesulfonyl-L-(-)-proline methyl ester $\mathbf{2 . 7 3}$ (eq 2.8). ${ }^{32}$ Gratifyingly, the basic conditions did not affect the stereochemistry of the product. The absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{2 . 7 3}$ was determined by comparison to the literature values. ${ }^{33}$ The stereochemistry of the remaining products were determined by analogy to $\mathbf{2 . 7 3}$.


Finally, modifying the sulfonyl protecting group to the easily cleaved o-nosyl group ${ }^{34}$ proved successful (eq 2.9), but carbamate derivatives failed to react. It was also imperative to establish a deprotection procedure, especially because toluenesulfonyl protecting groups often are considered difficult to remove. However, tosylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ underwent smooth deprotection under reductive conditions (eq 2.10). ${ }^{35}$ No racemization was observed in the product. ${ }^{36}$


| Ns (2.74) | $83 \% ; 99 \%$ ee |
| :---: | :---: |
| Boc (2.75) | $<5 \%$ |
| Cbz (2.76) | $<5 \%$ |


2.77

## Conclusion

In summary, we have uncovered a remarkable counterion effect on the enantioselectivity of gold-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination of allenes. This discovery resulted in the development of phosphinegold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate complexes as catalysts for enantioselective formation of vinyl-substituted pyrrolidines and piperidines. Application of our chiral gold(I)-pnitrobenzoate complexes to the enantioselective addition of hydrazines and hydroxylamines will be discussed in chapter 3.

## Experimental

## General Information

Unless otherwise noted all commercial materials were used without further purification. 1,2dichloroethane (DCE) and nitromethane $\left(\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}\right)$ utilized in gold(I)-catalyzed reactions was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Company. Gold(I)-catalyzed reactions were conducted in two dram vials equipped with a magnetic stir bar, fitted with a threaded cap, and protected from ambient light. All other reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an inert $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ atmosphere with magnetic stirring and dried solvent. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under nitrogen. Silver p-nitrobenzoate was prepared according to the method of Rubottom. ${ }^{26}$ Chiral gold(I) chloride complexes were prepared according to a procedure previously described by our group. ${ }^{37}$ Substrates 2.40, 2.59, 2.61, 2.67, 2.63, and 2.68 were prepared according to the methods of Widenhoefer. ${ }^{21 a}$ Bis(homoallenic)sulfonamides were prepared according to the methods of Bäckvall. ${ }^{38}$ Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis of reaction mixtures was performed using Merck silica gel 60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ TLC plates, and visualized by a combination of UV and permanganate or anisaldehyde staining. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck 60 silica gel ( $32-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker DRX-500, AVB-400, AVQ-400, and AV-300 spectrometers and referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise noted. IR spectra were recorded with a ThermoNicolete Avatar 370 FTIR spectrometer as thin films on a ZnSe plate. Enantiomeric excess was determined on a Shimadzu VP Series Chiral HPLC. Mass spectral and analytical data were obtained via the Micro-Mass/Analytical Facility operated by the College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley.

## General Procedure for $A u(I)$-Catalyzed Hydroamination

To a solution of tosylamine (1 equiv) in DCE or $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.30 \mathrm{M})$ was added the appropriate gold(I) complex. The resulting homogeneous mixture was protected from ambient light and left to stir at the indicated temperature ( $23^{\circ}$ or $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Upon completion, as judged by TLC analysis of the reaction mixture, the solution was loaded directly onto a silica gel column. Purification by flash column chromatography afforded the desired cyclized product.

## Characterization Data



Tosylamine 2.47. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (8:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.47 as a clear oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.47$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.7$, 143.2, 137.0, 129.6, 127.0, 95.7, 87.4, 42.6, 28.6, 26.0, 21.4, 20.5 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v 3281,2932,1323,1156 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 280.1371, found 280.1370; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 64.48 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.58; N, 5.01; found: C, 64.47; H, 7.75; N, 4.91.


Tosylamine 2.48. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.48 as a clear oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.87(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 7.2 Hz ), $1.86(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53$ (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.90(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.0,143.2,136.9,129.6,127.0,108.6,91.5,42.7$, 28.9, 26.4, 25.5, $21.4,12.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 3275,2965,1323,1157,814 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\right.$: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 308.1684$, found 308.1688; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 66.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.20$; N, 4.56; found: C, 66.45; H, 8.39; N, 4.68.


Tosylamine 2.49. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.49 as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.01-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8$ Hz ), 1.66-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.55 (quintet, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 197.1, 143.3, 137.1, 129.7, 127.1, 104.5, 90.0, 42.7, 31.2, 28.7, 27.0, 26.3, 21.5 ppm ; IR (thin film) v $3277,1322,1156 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 306.1528$, found 306.1532.


Tosylamine 2.35. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.35 as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.41$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.89-4.85 (m, 1H), 4.47 $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4$ Hz ), 1.61-1.43 (m, 8H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.4,143.3,137.0,129.7,127.1$, $103.3,87.3,42.6,31.6,28.6,27.5,26.2,26.1,21.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v $3278,1444,1322,1156$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, $67.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.89 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.38 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.04$; found: C, 67.74; H, 8.09; N, 4.42; S, 10.20.


Tosylamine 2.50. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (16:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.50 as a colorless oil: TLC $^{2}=0.47$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.88-4.83 (m, 1H), 4.48 $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.60-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.8,143.3,137.0,129.7,127.1$, $105.2,87.1,42.7,32.6,29.4,28.7,28.5,26.2,21.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 3276, 1440, 1323, 1156 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 334.1841, found 334.1841; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 68.43$; H, 8.16; N, 4.20; S, 9.62; found: C, 68.20; H, 8.33; N, 4.21; S, 9.83.


Tosylamine 2.40. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5-15\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford 2.40 as a white solid: $\mathrm{TLC}_{f}=0.35$ ( $20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{bt}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.3,143.3,137.2,129.7,127.1$, $94.2,84.2,52.5,39.8,34.5,24.8,21.5,20.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 3283, 2969, 2933, 1315, 1152 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 307.1606$, found 307.1601.


Tosylamine 2.59. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5-15\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford 2.59 as a white solid: $\mathrm{TLC}_{f}=0.42$ ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ (bt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.0,143.2,137.2,129.7$, $127.1,94.4,83.8,49.3,36.7,36.3,33.2,26.1,21.5,21.3,20.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 3288, 2931, 2851, 1316, $1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 347.1919\right.$, found 347.1912.


Tosylamine 2.61. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5-15\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford 2.61 as a white solid: $\mathrm{TLC}_{f}=0.33$ ( $20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{bt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.8,144.4,143.4,136.3$, 129.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.1, 126.7, 94.7, 83.5, 50.0, 49.9, 38.1, 21.6, 20.3 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v$ 3294, 2978, 2934, 1322, $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (FAB) m/z $432(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$;HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 432.1997$, found 432.1992.


Tosylamine 2.79. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.79 as a clear oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.52$ (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.0,142.7,140.5,129.4,126.9,103.3,88.2,57.0,42.2,31.7$, 27.6, 27.5, 26.1, 23.9, 21.5 ppm ; IR (thin film) v 3272, 2926, 2853, 1321, 1151, 663, $551 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: m / z 348.1997\right.$, found 348.1994.


Tosylamine 2.51. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (9:1-6:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ as a colorless syrup: TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.34$ (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-$ $4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.26-2.18 (m, 4H), $1.96(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56$ (quintet, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.8,143.4,142.4,137.0,129.7,129.2,127.1,126.3,106.3,87.0,42.7,36.2$, 33.6, 28.6, 26.1, 21.6 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v 3274,1491,1323,1156,1093 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 382.1841$, found 382.1838.


Tosylamine 2.52. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford $\mathbf{2 . 5 2}$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.43$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{td}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0,1.5$ Hz ), $1.94(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ (quintet, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.5,143.3,136.9,129.6,127.0,108.2,100.7,87.9,64.3,42.5,35.3,28.6$, $28.5,26.0,21.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 3278, 1437, 1325, 1156, $1073 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z ~ 378.1739$, found 378.1738.


Tosylamine 2.65. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $12: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.65 as a clear oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.57$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.87-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.42(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.38-1.29 (m, 2H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.6,143.2,136.9,129.6,127.0$, $95.1,88.0,43.0,28.8,28.5,25.9,21.4,20.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 3281,2972,1322,1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 294.1528, found 294.1533; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 65.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.90$; N, 4.77; found: C, 65.61 ; H, 8.23; N, 4.76.


Tosylamine 2.66. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 2.66 as a clear oil: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.10-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.94(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.39-1.32 (m, 2H), $0.95(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.0,143.3$, $136.9,129.6,127.1,108.2,92.2,43.1,29.0,28.9,26.2,25.6,21.5,12.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v$ 3281, 2966, 1324, $1157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 322.1841$, found 322.1832 .


Tosylamine 2.67. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5-15\% hexanes:EtOAc) to afford Tosylamine 2.67 as a white solid: TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.41(20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{bt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\left.1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(100} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.4,143.3,137.0$, 129.7, 127.1, $95.4,88.9,53.0,38.9,33.8,24.9,23.8,21.6,20.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 3286, 2962, $1325,1159,662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 321.1762$, found 321.1762.


Tosylamine 2.63. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5-15\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford Tosylamine $\mathbf{2 . 6 3}$ as a white solid: TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.37(20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{bt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ठ 201.4, 144.8, 143.5, 136.3, 129.7, 128.5, 127.8, 127.2, 126.7, 95.6, 88.5, 49.7, 49.6, 36.4, 23.9, 21.6, 20.8 ppm ; IR (thin film) v 3286, 2931, 1328, 1161, 909, $730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (FAB) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 445$ $(\mathrm{M})^{+} ;$HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 446.2154$, found 446.2151 .


Tosylamine 2.68. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5-15\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford Tosylamine $\mathbf{2 . 6 8}$ as a white solid: TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.44(20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.4$, $143.3,136.9,129.7,127.1,95.5,88.9,48.9,35.8,34.9,33.5,26.1,22.8,21.6,21.3,20.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 3284,2927,2855,1159,730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 361.2075 , found 361.2082 .


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.53. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 5 3}$ as a white solid ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.54$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.89-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.9,136.0,132.9,129.3,127.4,125.8,58.0,48.5,33.5,25.7,24.2$, $21.4,18.0 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 2956,1336,1155,662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI') calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 279.1293$, found 279.1293; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-70.4$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $95: 5$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 11.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 13.7 min (major): 99\% ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.54. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (15:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 5 4}$ as a white solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.26-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.05-1.81 (m, 5H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.59-1.53 (m, 1H), $1.00(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.7,142.8,136.3,129.3$, $127.4,123.7,57.6,48.4,34.1,28.7,24.3,23.5,21.4,13.3,12.2 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2965, 1336, 1150, $665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI') calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 307.1606, found 307.1606;
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-48.9\left(\mathrm{c}=1.12, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (98:2 hexanes:isopropanol, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 13.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 14.1 min (major): $99 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.55. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (15:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 5 5}$ as a colorless oil ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.54$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.8,142.9,136.2,129.3,127.5,121.0,59.6,48.4,33.7,33.2,28.6,26.4,26.0$, $24.2,21.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 1336,1153,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI ${ }^{+}$) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\right.$: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 305.1449$, found $305.1447 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-39\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HPLC Chiralcel OJ-H column (95:5 hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 12.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 15.6 min (major): $82 \% \mathrm{ee}$.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.36. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine 2.36 as a colorless oil ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.43$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.26-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.0,140.7,136.0,129.4,127.5,122.7,57.2,48.6,36.9$, 34.0, 29.1, 28.2, 27.5, 26.7, 24.2, 21.5 ppm ; IR (thin film) v $1342,1156,1092,814 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right)$calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: m / z\right.$ 319.1606, found 319.1601; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-54$ (c = 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $95: 5$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 10.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 13.4 $\min$ (major): $98 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.56. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (15:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 5 6}$ as a colorless oil ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.66$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
2.32-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.15-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.41 (m, 10H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.9,142.1,136.1,129.4,127.5,126.2,57.6,48.5,37.6,33.7,30.0,29.7$, 29.1, 28.9, 27.1, 24.3, 21.5 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v 2922,1343,1334,1152,1090,816 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{EI}^{+}$) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 333.1762$, found 333.1760; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-64$ (c = 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $95: 5$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 10.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 11.6 min (major): $98 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.41. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5$7.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 4 1}$ as a white solid ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.32\left(20 \%\right.$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=12.5,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.8,136.7$, $132.8,129.2,127.5,126.6,60.9,57.8,47.9,37.4,26.5,26.1,25.7,21.5,17.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2959, 2871, 1338, 1157, 1092, 731, $664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 307.1606$, found $307.1601 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-36\left(\mathrm{c}=2.0 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $98: 2 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOH} ; 1$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 8.9 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 11.6 min (major): $93 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.60. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5$7.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 6 0}$ as a white solid ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.38$ ( $20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.32 $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.51-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.9,136.3,132.6,129.2$, $127.5,126.7,58.5,57.0,45.9,41.2,36.6,34.6,25.9,25.7,23.7,22.9,21.5,18.0 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2925, 2856, 1343, 1161, $664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 347.1919$, found 347.1913; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-34\left(\mathrm{c}=2.0 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (98:2 Hex:EtOH; 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 10.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 14.6 min (major): $70 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.62. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5$7.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to afford the desired pyrrolidine 2.62 as a clear oil ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ): TLC R $f_{f}$ $=0.34$ ( $20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.34$7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.7,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.6,144.8,142.7,137.5,134.5,129.2,128.6,128.5,127.2,126.7$, $126.6,126.5,126.4,125.3,57.8,57.0,52.6,45.8,25.7,21.5,18.1 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 3058, 2926, 1338, 1156, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 431.1919$, found 431.1916; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-29\left(\mathrm{c}=2.0 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column (98:2 Hex:EtOH; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 24.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 28.0 min (major): $87 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.78. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (17:1 hexanes: EtOAc ) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 7 8}$ as a clear oil ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield): TLC R ${ }_{f}$ $=0.57$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.1,140.3,139.8,128.8,127.7,123.8$, $66.1,58.5,41.3,36.7,31.2,29.7,28.7,27.9,27.3,26.6,21.4 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2925, 2853, 1334, 1152, 671, $552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: m / z 347.1919\right.$, found 347.1911; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+43\left(\mathrm{c}=0.82, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (97:3 hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 10.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 11.8 min (minor): $96 \% \mathrm{ee}$.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.57. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (12:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine 2.57 as a colorless oil ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield):

TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-$ 3.37 (m, 2H), 2.93 (ddd, 1H, $J=14.0,8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.86-2.80 (m, 1H), 2.75-2.69 (m, 2H), 2.46$2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.1,142.7,142.5,136.1,129.5,129.1$ (2), 127.5, 126.3, 126.2, $125.9,57.2,48.7,39.1,36.2,35.3,34.1,30.6,24.3,21.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2929, 1336, 1152, $815 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI') calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 381.1762$, found 381.1764; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-70(\mathrm{c}=$ $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $95: 5$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 15.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 17.0 min (major): $98 \%$ ee.


Tosylpyrrolidine 2.58. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired pyrrolidine $\mathbf{2 . 5 8}$ as a colorless oil ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.47(1: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc$) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.0$, 138.0, 136.1, 129.4, 127.5, 124.1, 108.7, 64.3, $57.3,48.6,35.8,35.1,33.9,33.4,25.5,24.3,21.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2925, 1493, 1334, 1151, $1082 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: m / z 377.1661\right.$, found 377.1660; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-55(\mathrm{c}=$ $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $93: 7$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 21.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 24.0 min (minor): $98 \%$ ee.


Tosylpiperidine 2.69. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $15: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc ) to afford the desired piperidine $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ as a white solid ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.65$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.21(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86$ (td, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.41$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.6,137.0,133.9,128.9,127.5$, $119.8,51.4,41.7,31.4,25.7,25.3,21.4,19.0,17.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2938, 1338, 1157, 658 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI') calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 293.1450, found 293.1448; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-70$ (c = 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $97: 3$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 11.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 12.6 min (minor): $81 \%$ ee.


Tosylpiperidine 2.70. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (17:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired piperidine $\mathbf{2 . 7 0}$ as a yellow oil ( $16.2 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.68$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.90(\mathrm{td}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.66(m, 1H), 1.62-1.41 (m, 4H), $0.99(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.6,142.6,137.1,129.0,127.5,117.4,50.9$, $41.6,32.3,28.8,25.4,23.6,21.4,19.0,13.0,11.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2936, 1338, 1156, 657 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI ${ }^{+}$) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 321.1763$, found 321.1759; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-41$ (c = 0.51 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column ( $97: 3$ hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 9.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 10.8 min (minor): $74 \%$ ee.


Tosylpiperidine 2.71. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (57.5\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford the desired piperidine 2.71 as a white solid ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.44\left(20 \%\right.$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.6,137.1,133.8,128.9,127.5$, $119.3,52.2,50.9,32.7,30.6,28.9,28.0,25.7,23.6,21.5,18.0 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2905, 1336, 1157, $663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 321(\mathrm{M})^{+}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 321.1762$, found 321.1758; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+71$ (c = 1.0; $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (98:2 Hex:EtOH; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 8.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 10.5 min (major): $98 \% \mathrm{ee}$.


Tosylpiperidine 2.64. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (57.5\% EtOAc:hexanes) to afford the desired piperidine 2.64 as a colorless oil ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{7 0 \%}$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.42$ ( $20 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.5,144.4,142.9,136.2,134.0,128.9,128.5,128.4,128.3,127.9$, $126.8,126.5,125.9,119.4,51.3,49.8,46.1,29.7,27.9,25.7,21.5,17.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v$ 2951, 1339, 1157, 730, $664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 445.2075$, found 445.2078; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-146\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column (97:3 Hex:EtOH; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 12.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 17.8 min (major): $88 \% \mathrm{ee}$.


Tosylpiperidine 2.72. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (5$7.5 \%$ EtOAc:hexanes) to afford the desired piperidine 2.72 as a white solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield): TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.5\left(20 \%\right.$ EtOAc:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-$ $1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.5,137.2,133.7,128.9,127.4,119.5$, $51.5,49.6,38.0,32.9,31.2,30.9,27.1,26.6,25.7,21.5,21.4$ (2), 18.1 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v$ 2927, 2853, 1338, 1155, 753, $662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: m / z 361.2075$, found 361.2076; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+37\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (97:3 Hex:EtOH; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 8.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 16.6 min (major): $97 \%$ ee.

Determination of Absolute Stereochemistry


To a solution of pyrrolidine $2.55(72.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of NaOH in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M})$. The resulting mixture was cooled to $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ was bubbled through continuously. After approximately 10 min the initially pale yellow solution took on the characteristic blue color of ozone and a yellow precipitate was observed. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warmed to rt . The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x}, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford N -tosylproline methyl ester 2.73 as a white solid ( $60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}) 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-$ $1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.6,143.6,135.2,129.7,127.5,60.4,52.4$,
$48.4,30.9,24.7,21.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 2954,1739,1341,1155 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-73.3(\mathrm{c}=1.0$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) (lit. -93.3 ( $\mathrm{c}=1.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{5}$ HPLC Chiralpak AS column (90:10 hexanes:isopropanol, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 26.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 32.5 min (major): $82 \%$ ee. Spectral data are consistent with previously published literature values. ${ }^{33}$

## Tosyl Deprotection



The tosylpyrrolidine 2.36 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ and was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~atm})$. After 1 h , the solution was filtered through a celite pad and resulting filtrate was concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography ( $10: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) gave the hydrogenated tosylpyrrolidine as a white solid ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). To a solution of the hydrogenated tosylpyrrolidine ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}$ amalgam ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ ). The mixture was refluxed for 20 h . Aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ (38\%) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the desired pyrrolidine 2.77 as a colorless oil ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ): $\mathrm{TLC}_{f}=0.30(5: 1 \mathrm{DCM}: M e O H) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.07-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90-1.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 56.7,46.5,44.3,35.8,33.9,33.4,32.3,26.6,26.3,26.3,25.4 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 2920, 2850, 1447, 1403, $593 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI') calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 168.1752, found 168.1757; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-$ 13 ( $\mathrm{c}=0.92 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## Representative Procedures for the Preparation of Phosphinegold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate

## Complexes


(S)-BINAP(AuOPNB $)_{2} S$-2.44. A suspension of $(S)$ - $\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol})$ and AgOPNB ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) in chloroform ( 1.5 mL ) was sonicated for 5 min . The resulting off-white dispersion was filtered thru a plug of celite ( $0.5 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and concentrated to approximately 1 mL . A white solid formed upon dropwise addition of hexanes. The remaining solvent was removed in vacuo to afford $\mathbf{6}$ as an analytically pure white solid ( 100 mg ; $98 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.23$ (d, 4H, J $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39$
$(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (160 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 51.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.99$; N, 2.08; found: C, 51.38; H, 3.19; N, 1.87.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ was obtained as an off-white solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{9 2 \%}$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24$ (s, 12H), 2.23 (s, 12H) ppm; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 54.26; H, 3.86; N, 1.92; found: C, $54.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.20 ; \mathrm{N}, 1.83$.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-SEGPHOS(AuOPNB) $)_{2} \mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ was obtained as an off-white solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.7 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 46.72; H, 2.71; N, 2.10; found: C, $46.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.98 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.02$.

$(\boldsymbol{R})$-SYNPHOS(AuOPNB) $\mathbf{2}_{2} 2.46$ was obtained as an off-white solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{9 9 \%}$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.1 \mathrm{ppm}$; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 47.45; H, 3.10; N, 2.05; found: C, 47.60; H, 3.27; N, 1.94.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-CIMeOBIPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2} \mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ was obtained as an off-white solid ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{9 3 \%}$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; Anal calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 45.33 ; \mathrm{H}$, 2.78; N, 2.03; found: C, 45.66; H, 2.79; N, 1.97.
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## Appendix 2A

Data acquisition details for X-ray crystal structure of $(R)$ - $\operatorname{BINAP}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathbf{2 . 3 9}$


Figure A2.1. ORTEP of $(R)-\operatorname{BINAP}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right) \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ 2.39. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

## Introduction

The crystal structure consists of chloride bridged molecules of the ligand coordinated to gold atoms to form infinite linear chains along the c-axis of the hexagonal unit cell. The chloride and the center of the 2 -fold axis of the ligand lie on crystallographic two-fold axes of the space group R32, as does the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ counter-ion and one of the two chloroform molecules of solvation. The other chloroform and the acetonitrile of solvation lie on the three-fold axis, and the acetonitrile is further disordered by an intersecting two-fold axis. It was necessary to apply constraints to the occupancies of the chloroform chlorine atoms and to constrain the thermal parameters of the acetonitrile.
We observed a lot of thermal motion in the model, undoubtedly indicative of the disorder observed in the solvent molecules. As a result of this, the bond distances and angles in the molecule are not all that well determined. The structure is, however, more than enough to determine the overall form of the molecule and to confirm the stereochemistry in the ligand.

## Experimental

## Data Collection

A fragment of a colorless rod-like crystal of C46.33 H35.33 Au2 B C16 F4 N0.33 P2 having approximate dimensions of $0.35 \times 0.05 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a Kapton loop using Paratone N hydrocarbon oil. All measurements were made on a Bruker SMART $1000 \mathrm{CCD}^{1}$ area detector with graphite monochromated $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation.

Cell constants and an orientation matrix, obtained from a least-squares refinement using the measured positions of 5550 centered reflections with I $>10 \sigma$ (I) in the range $3.24<\theta<26.0^{\circ}$ corresponded to a R-centered Hexagonal cell with dimensions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}=22.057(6) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{b}=22.057(6) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{c}=25.443(7) \AA & \gamma=120^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{V}=10719(5) \AA \AA^{3} &
\end{array}
$$

For $\mathrm{Z}=9$ and F.W. $=1352.13$, the calculated density is $1.885 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
Analysis of the systematic absences allowed the space group to be uniquely determined to be:
R 32
The data were collected at a temperature of 108(2) K. Frames corresponding to an arbitrary hemisphere of data were collected using $\omega$ scans of 0.30 counted for a total of 10 seconds per frame.

## Data Reduction

Data were integrated by the program $\mathrm{SAINT}^{2}$ to a maximum $\theta$ value of 26.780 . The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Data were analyzed for agreement and possible absorption using XPREP. ${ }^{3}$ An empirical absorption correction based on comparison of redundant and equivalent reflections was applied using SADABS. ${ }^{4}(\operatorname{Tmax}=0.38, \mathrm{Tmin}=0.24)$. Of the 17233 reflections that were collected, 4696 were unique ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0627$ ); equivalent reflections were merged. No decay correction was applied.

## Structure Solution and Refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods ${ }^{5}$ and expanded using Fourier techniques. ${ }^{6}$ Some nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while the rest were refined isotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included but not refined. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement ${ }^{7}$ was based on 4696 reflections (all data) and 299 variable parameters and converged (largest parameter shift was 0.001 times its esd) with conventional unweighted and weighted agreement factors of:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{Fol}-|\mathrm{Fc} \| / \Sigma| \mathrm{Fol}=0.0418 \text { for } 3204 \text { data with } \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})
$$

$$
\mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\left(\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(|\mathrm{Fo}|^{2}-|\mathrm{Fc}|^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w}|\mathrm{Fol}|^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0848
$$

The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight ${ }^{8}$ was 1.003 . The weighting scheme was based on counting statistics and included a factor ( $\mathrm{q}=0.05$ ) to downweight the intense reflections. The maximum and minimum peaks on the final difference Fourier map corresponded to 1.830 and $-1.106 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$, respectively.
Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber. ${ }^{9}$ Anomalous dispersion effects were included in Fcalc; ${ }^{10}$ the values for $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}$ were those of Creagh and McAuley. ${ }^{11}$ The values for the mass attenuation coefficients are those of Creagh and Hubbel. ${ }^{12}$ All calculations were performed using the SHELXTL ${ }^{13}$ crystallographic software package of Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems Inc.

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46.33} \mathrm{H}_{35.33} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{BC}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{0.33} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula Weight | 1352.13 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | colorless, rod |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.35 \times 0.05 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | Hexagonal |
| Lattice Type | R-centered |
| Lattice Parameters | $\mathrm{a}=22.057(6) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=22.057(6) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=25.443(7) \AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\gamma=120^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}=10719(5) \AA \AA^{3}$ |
|  | R 32 |
| Space Group | 9 |
| Z value | $1.885 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| D $_{\text {calc }}$ | 5820 |
| F000 | $6.61 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mu($ MoK) |  |

## Intensity Measurements

Diffractometer
Radiation

Detector Position
Exposure Time

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD
$\operatorname{MoK}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}=0.71073 \boldsymbol{\dagger})$
graphite monochromated
60.00 mm

10 seconds per frame.

| Scan Type | $\omega$ (0.3 degrees per frame) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}$ | $26.78{ }^{\circ}$ |
| No. of Reflections Measured | Total: 17233 |
|  | Unique: $4696\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0627\right)$ |
| Corrections | Lorentz-polarization |
|  | Absorption (Tmax $=0.38$, |
|  | $\mathrm{Tmin}=0.24)$ |
| Structure Solution and Refinement |  |
| Structure Solution | direct (SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008)) |
| Refinement | Full-matrix least-squares |
| Function Minimized | $\Sigma w\left(\left\|\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\| 2-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\| 2\right)^{2}$ |
| Least Squares Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\mathrm{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{qP})^{2}+0.000 \mathrm{P}\right]$ |
|  | where $\mathrm{P}=\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ |
| q-factor | 0.05 |
| Anomalous Dispersion | All non-hydrogen atoms |
| No. Observations ( $\mathrm{I}>2.00 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 3204 |
| No. Variables | 299 |
| Reflection/Parameter Ratio | 10.7 |
| Residuals: R; $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$; Rall | 0.0418; $0.0848 ; 0.0832$ |
| Goodness of Fit Indicator | 1.003 |
| Max Shift/Error in Final Cycle | 0.001 |
| Maximum peak in Final Diff. Map | $1.830 \mathrm{e}^{-/} \mathrm{A}^{3}$ |
| Minimum peak in Final Diff. Map | $-1.106 \mathrm{e}^{-/ \AA^{3}}$ |

Table 2A.1. Atomic coordinates and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{iso}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ and occupancy

| atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{a}$ | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au 1 | $0.2892(1)$ | $0.3318(1)$ | $-0.2753(1)$ | $0.031(1)$ | 1 |
| C 11 | 0.3333 | $0.4262(2)$ | -0.3333 | $0.039(1)$ | 1 |
| P 1 | $0.2402(1)$ | $0.2432(1)$ | $-0.2181(1)$ | $0.030(1)$ | 1 |
| C 1 | $0.2961(5)$ | $0.2421(5)$ | $-0.1649(4)$ | $0.033(3)$ | 1 |
| C 2 | $0.2704(6)$ | $0.1782(5)$ | $-0.1380(4)$ | $0.041(3)$ | 1 |
| C3 | $0.3080(6)$ | $0.1715(6)$ | $-0.0974(4)$ | $0.042(3)$ | 1 |
| C4 | $0.3733(7)$ | $0.2288(6)$ | $-0.0825(4)$ | $0.042(3)$ | 1 |
| C5 | $0.4115(8)$ | $0.2219(7)$ | $-0.0406(5)$ | $0.062(4)$ | 1 |
| C6 | $0.4743(10)$ | $0.2770(9)$ | $-0.0273(6)$ | $0.093(6)$ | 1 |
| C7 | $0.5017(8)$ | $0.3400(7)$ | $-0.0553(6)$ | $0.075(5)$ | 1 |
| C8 | $0.4652(7)$ | $0.3491(7)$ | $-0.0949(5)$ | $0.058(4)$ | 1 |
| C9 | $0.3993(6)$ | $0.2917(6)$ | $-0.1105(4)$ | $0.039(3)$ | 1 |
| C10 | $0.3561(4)$ | $0.2970(4)$ | $-0.1530(3)$ | $0.021(2)$ | 1 |
| C11 | $0.1627(6)$ | $0.2388(5)$ | $-0.1871(4)$ | $0.036(3)$ | 1 |
| C12 | $0.1447(6)$ | $0.2149(6)$ | $-0.1359(4)$ | $0.041(3)$ | 1 |
| C13 | $0.0835(6)$ | $0.2121(6)$ | $-0.1148(5)$ | $0.052(4)$ | 1 |
| C14 | $0.0458(7)$ | $0.2307(6)$ | $-0.1452(5)$ | $0.060(4)$ | 1 |
| C15 | $0.0640(6)$ | $0.2532(6)$ | $-0.1963(5)$ | $0.054(3)$ | 1 |
| C16 | $0.1238(6)$ | $0.2594(6)$ | $-0.2167(4)$ | $0.041(3)$ | 1 |
| C17 | $0.2114(6)$ | $0.1589(5)$ | $-0.2498(4)$ | $0.038(3)$ | 1 |
| C18 | $0.1444(5)$ | $0.1054(5)$ | $-0.2474(4)$ | $0.039(3)$ | 1 |
| C19 | $0.1266(6)$ | $0.0415(6)$ | $-0.2719(5)$ | $0.047(3)$ | 1 |
| C20 | $0.1767(6)$ | $0.0325(7)$ | $-0.2970(5)$ | $0.049(3)$ | 1 |
| C21 | $0.2437(7)$ | $0.0881(6)$ | $-0.3002(5)$ | $0.057(4)$ | 1 |
| C22 | $0.2611(7)$ | $0.1506(6)$ | $-0.2763(4)$ | $0.050(3)$ | 1 |
| B1 | $-0.0433(11)$ | 0.3333 | -0.6667 | $0.053(5)$ | 1 |
| F1 | $-0.040(2)$ | $0.2771(17)$ | $-0.6650(13)$ | $0.150(14)$ | 0.50 |
| F2 | $0.0038(13)$ | $0.3859(17)$ | $-0.6348(10)$ | $0.110(10)$ | 0.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| F3 | $-0.1104(9)$ | $0.3125(12)$ | $-0.6515(10)$ | $0.108(9)$ | 0.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4 | $-0.0262(12)$ | $0.3641(9)$ | $-0.7168(6)$ | $0.084(6)$ | 0.50 |
| C23 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $0.0771(13)$ | $0.102(10)$ | 1 |
| Cl2A | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $0.1487(8)$ | $0.063(14)$ | $0.37(7)$ |
| C12B | $-0.040(2)$ | $-0.0260(18)$ | $0.1453(9)$ | $0.083(8)$ | $0.21(2)$ |
| Cl3 | $0.0525(4)$ | $0.0905(4)$ | $0.0640(3)$ | $0.106(2)$ | 0.67 |
| C24 | $0.018(3)$ | $0.241(2)$ | $-0.4767(16)$ | $0.123(15)$ | 0.50 |
| C14 | $-0.0720(6)$ | $0.2178(6)$ | $-0.4617(4)$ | $0.135(3)$ | $0.608(9)$ |
| C15 | $0.0067(8)$ | $0.2774(8)$ | $-0.5372(5)$ | $0.135(3)$ | $0.484(10)$ |
| Cl6 | $0.0235(8)$ | $0.1751(8)$ | $-0.4698(6)$ | $0.135(3)$ | $0.409(9)$ |
| N1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $-0.432(3)$ | $0.194(18)$ | 0.50 |
| C25 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $-0.476(3)$ | $0.194(18)$ | 0.50 |
| C26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $-0.533(3)$ | $0.194(18)$ | 0.50 |
| H2A | 0.2267 | 0.1392 | -0.1478 | 0.049 | 1 |
| H3A | 0.2900 | 0.1282 | -0.0794 | 0.051 | 1 |
| H5A | 0.3933 | 0.1789 | -0.0220 | 0.074 | 1 |
| H6A | 0.5001 | 0.2731 | 0.0011 | 0.112 | 1 |
| H7A | 0.5468 | 0.3775 | -0.0465 | 0.090 | 1 |
| H8A | 0.4836 | 0.3932 | -0.1119 | 0.069 | 1 |
| H12A | 0.1719 | 0.2009 | -0.1158 | 0.050 | 1 |
| H13A | 0.0696 | 0.1973 | -0.0796 | 0.063 | 1 |
| H14A | 0.0049 | 0.2282 | -0.1308 | 0.071 | 1 |
| H15A | 0.0350 | 0.2642 | -0.2171 | 0.064 | 1 |
| H16A | 0.1386 | 0.2778 | -0.2510 | 0.050 | 1 |
| H18A | 0.1098 | 0.1110 | -0.2294 | 0.047 | 1 |
| H19A | 0.0795 | 0.0041 | -0.2710 | 0.057 | 1 |
| H20A | 0.1651 | -0.0114 | -0.3120 | 0.059 | 1 |
| H21A | 0.2783 | 0.0834 | -0.3190 | 0.068 | 1 |
| H22A | 0.3079 | 0.1884 | -0.2781 | 0.060 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |

[^2]Table 2A.2. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters

| atom | U11 | U22 | U33 | $\mathbf{U 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{U 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{U 2 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au1 | $0.030(1)$ | $0.027(1)$ | $0.035(1)$ | $-0.002(1)$ | $-0.001(1)$ | $0.013(1)$ |
| C11 | $0.042(2)$ | $0.034(1)$ | $0.043(2)$ | $0.003(1)$ | $0.006(2)$ | $0.021(1)$ |
| P1 | $0.024(1)$ | $0.026(1)$ | $0.033(2)$ | $-0.001(1)$ | $0.001(1)$ | $0.007(1)$ |
| C1 | $0.036(7)$ | $0.029(6)$ | $0.040(6)$ | $0.002(5)$ | $-0.002(5)$ | $0.022(5)$ |
| C2 | $0.040(7)$ | $0.033(6)$ | $0.042(6)$ | $-0.005(5)$ | $0.005(6)$ | $0.013(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.065(8)$ | $0.034(7)$ | $0.042(7)$ | $-0.004(6)$ | $-0.007(6)$ | $0.036(7)$ |
| C4 | $0.064(9)$ | $0.040(8)$ | $0.040(6)$ | $-0.011(6)$ | $-0.012(6)$ | $0.039(8)$ |
| C5 | $0.096(11)$ | $0.033(8)$ | $0.069(8)$ | $-0.016(7)$ | $-0.030(9)$ | $0.042(7)$ |
| C6 | $0.127(15)$ | $0.072(12)$ | $0.115(13)$ | $-0.030(11)$ | $-0.069(12)$ | $0.076(11)$ |
| C7 | $0.074(10)$ | $0.046(9)$ | $0.106(13)$ | $-0.031(8)$ | $-0.051(9)$ | $0.031(8)$ |
| C8 | $0.080(9)$ | $0.042(8)$ | $0.069(9)$ | $-0.020(7)$ | $-0.041(8)$ | $0.043(8)$ |
| C9 | $0.048(7)$ | $0.030(6)$ | $0.043(7)$ | $-0.019(5)$ | $-0.021(6)$ | $0.023(6)$ |
| C10 | $0.026(5)$ | $0.014(5)$ | $0.026(5)$ | $-0.002(4)$ | $-0.002(3)$ | $0.014(5)$ |
| C11 | $0.035(7)$ | $0.030(6)$ | $0.039(7)$ | $-0.013(5)$ | $0.001(5)$ | $0.012(5)$ |
| C12 | $0.045(7)$ | $0.034(6)$ | $0.037(7)$ | $-0.018(5)$ | $-0.011(5)$ | $0.015(6)$ |
| C13 | $0.043(7)$ | $0.071(10)$ | $0.044(7)$ | $0.004(6)$ | $0.012(6)$ | $0.030(7)$ |
| C14 | $0.040(8)$ | $0.052(9)$ | $0.073(10)$ | $-0.019(7)$ | $0.005(7)$ | $0.013(6)$ |
| C15 | $0.052(8)$ | $0.057(8)$ | $0.049(8)$ | $-0.021(6)$ | $-0.009(6)$ | $0.025(7)$ |
| C16 | $0.039(6)$ | $0.049(8)$ | $0.042(6)$ | $-0.019(6)$ | $0.001(5)$ | $0.026(6)$ |
| C17 | $0.034(7)$ | $0.027(6)$ | $0.037(6)$ | $0.001(5)$ | $0.002(5)$ | $0.005(5)$ |
| C18 | $0.019(6)$ | $0.031(6)$ | $0.057(8)$ | $0.002(6)$ | $-0.013(5)$ | $0.005(5)$ |
| C19 | $0.030(7)$ | $0.031(6)$ | $0.067(8)$ | $0.002(6)$ | $-0.009(6)$ | $0.005(5)$ |
| C20 | $0.051(8)$ | $0.045(8)$ | $0.050(7)$ | $-0.015(6)$ | $-0.007(6)$ | $0.021(7)$ |
| C21 | $0.053(10)$ | $0.042(7)$ | $0.077(9)$ | $-0.015(6)$ | $0.005(7)$ | $0.025(7)$ |
| C22 | $0.041(8)$ | $0.041(6)$ | $0.061(7)$ | $-0.019(5)$ | $-0.002(7)$ | $0.015(7)$ |
| F1 | $0.23(4)$ | $0.11(2)$ | $0.16(3)$ | $0.06(3)$ | $0.05(3)$ | $0.12(2)$ |
| F2 | $0.064(16)$ | $0.16(3)$ | $0.074(15)$ | $-0.036(18)$ | $-0.040(14)$ | $0.031(17)$ |
| F3 | $0.048(11)$ | $0.12(3)$ | $0.13(3)$ | $-0.038(16)$ | $0.006(12)$ | $0.023(12)$ |
| F4 | $0.129(16)$ | $0.057(11)$ | $0.045(10)$ | $-0.006(8)$ | $-0.029(10)$ | $0.031(11)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{Cl} 3 & 0.083(5) & 0.100(5) & 0.123(6) & 0.004(5) & -0.013(4) & 0.035(4)\end{array}$

The general temperature factor expression:
$\exp \left(-2 \Pi^{2}\left(a^{*}{ }^{2} U_{11} h^{2}+b^{*} U_{22} k^{2}+c^{*}{ }^{2} U_{33} 1^{2}+2 a^{*} b^{*} U_{12} h k+2 a * c * U_{13} h l+2 b * c * U_{23} k l\right)\right)$

Table 2A.3. Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ )

| atom | atom | distance | atom | atom | distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au1 | P1 | 2.235(3) | Au1 | Cl 1 | 2.332(2) |
| Cl1 | Au1\#1 | 2.331(2) | P1 | C17 | 1.824(11) |
| P1 | C1 | 1.839(10) | P1 | C11 | 1.839(11) |
| C1 | C10 | 1.306(12) | C1 | C2 | 1.407(13) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.376(14) | C2 | H2A | 0.95 |
| C3 | C4 | 1.412(17) | C3 | H3A | 0.95 |
| C4 | C9 | 1.401(15) | C4 | C5 | 1.415(15) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.35 (2) | C5 | H5A | 0.95 |
| C6 | C7 | 1.40 (2) | C6 | H6A | 0.95 |
| C7 | C8 | 1.364(16) | C7 | H7A | 0.95 |
| C8 | C9 | $1.426(15)$ | C8 | H8A | 0.95 |
| C9 | C10 | $1.485(13)$ | C10 | C10\#2 | 1.553(16) |
| C11 | C16 | 1.379 (15) | C11 | C12 | $1.386(14)$ |
| C12 | C13 | $1.425(15)$ | C12 | H12A | 0.95 |
| C13 | C14 | 1.340 (16) | C13 | H13A | 0.95 |
| C14 | C15 | 1.380 (17) | C14 | H14A | 0.95 |
| C15 | C16 | 1.357(15) | C15 | H15A | 0.95 |
| C16 | H16A | 0.95 | C17 | C18 | $1.355(14)$ |
| C17 | C22 | 1.375(17) | C18 | C19 | 1.405(15) |
| C18 | H18A | 0.95 | C19 | C20 | 1.374(16) |
| C19 | H19A | 0.95 | C20 | C21 | 1.372(16) |
| C20 | H20A | 0.95 | C21 | C22 | 1.374(14) |
| C21 | H21A | 0.95 | C22 | H22A | 0.95 |
| B1 | F1 | 1.28(3) | B1 | F1\#3 | 1.28(3) |
| B1 | F3\#3 | 1.37(3) | B1 | F3 | 1.37(3) |
| B1 | F2\#3 | 1.37(2) | B1 | F2 | 1.37(2) |
| B1 | F4 | $1.406(16)$ | B1 | F4\#3 | $1.406(16)$ |
| F1 | F2\#3 | 0.89(3) | F1 | F4\#3 | 1.48 (3) |


| F2 | F1\#3 | 0.89(3) | F2 | F4\#3 | 1.71(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F3 | F3\#3 | 1.11(4) | F3 | F4\#3 | 1.58(3) |
| F4 | F1\#3 | 1.48(3) | F4 | F3\#3 | 1.58(3) |
| F4 | F2\#3 | 1.71(3) | C23 | Cl3\#4 | 1.768(10) |
| C23 | Cl 3 | 1.768(10) | C23 | Cl3\#5 | 1.768(10) |
| C23 | Cl 2 A | 1.82(4) | C23 | Cl2B\#5 | 1.90(4) |
| C23 | Cl2B\#4 | 1.90(4) | C23 | Cl2B | 1.90(4) |
| Cl 2 A | Cl2B | 0.78(4) | Cl 2 A | Cl2B\#5 | 0.78(4) |
| Cl 2 A | Cl2B\#4 | 0.78(4) | Cl2B | Cl2B\#5 | 1.35(8) |
| Cl2B | Cl2B\#4 | 1.35(8) | Cl2B | Cl3\#4 | 2.30 (3) |
| C13 | C12B\#5 | 2.30(3) | C24 | C15\#6 | 1.09 (5) |
| C24 | C24\#6 | 1.37(8) | C24 | C16 | 1.53(5) |
| C24 | C15 | 1.81(4) | C24 | C14 | 1.82(5) |
| C24 | Cl4\#6 | 1.94(5) | C24 | Cl6\#6 | 2.19(4) |
| C14 | C15\#6 | $1.325(15)$ | C14 | C24\#6 | 1.94(5) |
| Cl 5 | C24\#6 | 1.09 (5) | C15 | Cl4\#6 | $1.325(15)$ |
| C15 | C15\#6 | 1.91(3) | C16 | C16\#6 | 1.78(3) |
| C16 | C24\#6 | 2.19(4) | N1 | C25 | 1.142(9) |
| C25 | C26 | 1.440(9) |  |  |  |

Table 2A.4. Bond Angles ( ${ }^{( }$)

| atom | atom | atom | angle | atom | atom | atom | angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | Au1 | Cl1 | 175.49(9) | Au1 | Cl 1 | Au1\#1 | 93.68(12) |
| C17 | P1 | C1 | 101.9(5) | C17 | P1 | C11 | 107.2(5) |
| C1 | P1 | C11 | 107.2(5) | C17 | P1 | Au1 | 111.9(3) |
| C1 | P1 | Au1 | 117.1(3) | C11 | P1 | Au1 | 110.8(4) |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | 122.0(9) | C10 | C1 | P1 | 122.2(8) |
| C2 | C1 | P1 | 115.8(8) | C3 | C2 | C1 | 120.6(10) |
| C3 | C2 | H2A | 119.7 | C1 | C2 | H2A | 119.7 |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 120.3(10) | C2 | C3 | H3A | 119.8 |
| C4 | C3 | H3A | 119.8 | C9 | C4 | C3 | 118.7(10) |
| C9 | C4 | C5 | 121.2(12) | C3 | C4 | C5 | 120.1(11) |
| C6 | C5 | C4 | 119.3(13) | C6 | C5 | H5A | 120.3 |
| C4 | C5 | H5A | 120.3 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 120.3(13) |
| C5 | C6 | H6A | 119.9 | C7 | C6 | H6A | 119.9 |
| C8 | C7 | C6 | 121.9(14) | C8 | C7 | H7A | 119.1 |
| C6 | C7 | H7A | 119.1 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 119.3(12) |
| C7 | C8 | H8A | 120.4 | C9 | C8 | H8A | 120.4 |
| C4 | C9 | C8 | 118.0(10) | C4 | C9 | C10 | 119.1(10) |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | 122.9(10) | C1 | C10 | C9 | 119.3(9) |
| C1 | C10 | C10\#2 | 127.9(8) | C9 | C10 | C10\#2 | 112.7(7) |
| C16 | C11 | C12 | 122.1(11) | C16 | C11 | P1 | 117.7(8) |
| C12 | C11 | P1 | 120.3(9) | C11 | C12 | C13 | 117.1(11) |
| C11 | C12 | H12A | 121.4 | C13 | C12 | H12A | 121.4 |
| C14 | C13 | C12 | 119.2(12) | C14 | C13 | H13A | 120.4 |
| C12 | C13 | H13A | 120.4 | C13 | C14 | C15 | 122.7(13) |
| C13 | C14 | H14A | 118.6 | C15 | C14 | H14A | 118.6 |
| C16 | C15 | C14 | 119.3(13) | C16 | C15 | H15A | 120.3 |
| C14 | C15 | H15A | 120.3 | C15 | C16 | C11 | 119.4(11) |
| C15 | C16 | H16A | 120.3 | C11 | C16 | H16A | 120.3 |


| C18 | C17 | C22 | 120.0(10) | C18 | C17 | P1 | 122.7(9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C22 | C17 | P1 | 117.3(8) | C17 | C18 | C19 | 119.4(11) |
| C17 | C18 | H18A | 120.3 | C19 | C18 | H18A | 120.3 |
| C20 | C19 | C18 | 120.5(10) | C20 | C19 | H19A | 119.7 |
| C18 | C19 | H19A | 119.7 | C21 | C20 | C19 | 118.9(11) |
| C21 | C20 | H20A | 120.5 | C19 | C20 | H20A | 120.5 |
| C20 | C21 | C22 | 120.5(13) | C20 | C21 | H21A | 119.8 |
| C22 | C21 | H21A | 119.8 | C21 | C22 | C17 | 120.6(12) |
| C21 | C22 | H22A | 119.7 | C17 | C22 | H22A | 119.7 |
| F1 | B1 | F1\#3 | 115(4) | F1 | B1 | F3\#3 | 138(3) |
| F1\#3 | B1 | F3\#3 | 104(2) | F1 | B1 | F3 | 104(2) |
| F1\#3 | B1 | F3 | 138(3) | F3\#3 | B1 | F3 | 48(2) |
| F1 | B1 | F2\#3 | 38.9(14) | F1\#3 | B1 | F2\#3 | 114(2) |
| F3\#3 | B1 | F2\#3 | 110.7(19) | F3 | B1 | F2\#3 | 105(2) |
| F1 | B1 | F2 | 114(2) | F1\#3 | B1 | F2 | 38.9(14) |
| F3\#3 | B1 | F2 | 105(2) | F3 | B1 | F2 | 110.7(19) |
| F2\#3 | B1 | F2 | 141(3) | F1 | B1 | F4 | 111.5(18) |
| F1\#3 | B1 | F4 | 66.7(16) | F3\#3 | B1 | F4 | 69.3(13) |
| F3 | B1 | F4 | 114(2) | F2\#3 | B1 | F4 | 76.1(14) |
| F2 | B1 | F4 | 102.8(15) | F1 | B1 | F4\#3 | 66.6(16) |
| F1\#3 | B1 | F4\#3 | 111.5(18) | F3\#3 | B1 | F4\#3 | 114(2) |
| F3 | B1 | F4\#3 | 69.3(13) | F2\#3 | B1 | F4\#3 | 102.8(15) |
| F2 | B1 | F4\#3 | 76.1(14) | F4 | B1 | F4\#3 | 177(3) |
| F2\#3 | F1 | B1 | 76(3) | F2\#3 | F1 | F4\#3 | 132(4) |
| B1 | F1 | F4\#3 | 60.8(15) | F1\#3 | F2 | B1 | 65(3) |
| F1\#3 | F2 | F4\#3 | 114(4) | B1 | F2 | F4\#3 | 52.9(11) |
| F3\#3 | F3 | B1 | 66.0(10) | F3\#3 | F3 | F4\#3 | 118.6(15) |
| B1 | F3 | F4\#3 | 56.5(9) | B1 | F4 | F1\#3 | 52.5(12) |
| B1 | F4 | F3\#3 | 54.2(13) | F1\#3 | F4 | F3\#3 | 85.9(18) |
| B1 | F4 | F2\#3 | 51.0(10) | F1\#3 | F4 | F2\#3 | 88.3(16) |
| F3\#3 | F4 | F2\#3 | 86.3(14) | Cl3\#4 | C23 | Cl 3 | 116.5(7) |
| Cl3\#4 | C23 | Cl3\#5 | 116.5(7) | Cl 3 | C23 | Cl3\#5 | 116.5(7) |


| Cl3\#4 | C23 | Cl 2 A | 100.9(11) | Cl 3 | C23 | Cl 2 A | 100.9(11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl3\#5 | C23 | Cl 2 A | 100.9(11) | Cl3\#4 | C23 | C12B\#5 | 106.1(13) |
| Cl 3 | C23 | C12B\#5 | $77.5(15)$ | Cl3\#5 | C23 | C12B\#5 | 117.2(16) |
| Cl 2 A | C23 | Cl2B\#5 | 24.2(13) | Cl3\#4 | C23 | Cl2B\#4 | 117.2(16) |
| Cl 3 | C23 | C12B\#4 | 106.1(13) | Cl3\#5 | C23 | C12B\#4 | $77.5(15)$ |
| Cl2A | C23 | C12B\#4 | 24.2(13) | Cl2B\#5 | C23 | C12B\#4 | 42(2) |
| Cl3\#4 | C23 | C12B | 77.5(15) | Cl 3 | C23 | Cl2B | 117.2(16) |
| Cl3\#5 | C23 | Cl2B | 106.1(13) | Cl 2 A | C23 | Cl2B | 24.2(14) |
| C12B\#5 | C23 | Cl2B | 42(2) | Cl2B\#4 | C23 | Cl2B | 42(2) |
| Cl2B | Cl2A | Cl2B\#5 | 118.8(10) | Cl2B | C12A | Cl2B\#4 | 118.8(10) |
| Cl2B\#5 | Cl 2 A | Cl2B\#4 | 118.8(10) | C12B | C12A | C23 | 84(3) |
| Cl2B\#5 | Cl 2 A | C23 | 84(3) | Cl2B\#4 | C12A | C23 | 84(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cl2A}$ | Cl2B | Cl2B\#5 | 30.6(5) | $\mathrm{Cl2A}$ | Cl2B | Cl2B\#4 | 30.6(5) |
| Cl2B\#5 | Cl2B | Cl2B\#4 | 60.000(5) | Cl 2 A | Cl2B | C23 | 72(3) |
| Cl2B\#5 | Cl2B | C 23 | 69.2(11) | Cl2B\#4 | Cl2B | C23 | 69.2(11) |
| Cl 2 A | Cl2B | Cl3\#4 | 119(3) | Cl2B\#5 | Cl2B | Cl3\#4 | 104.0(14) |
| Cl2B\#4 | Cl2B | Cl3\#4 | 115.8(9) | C23 | C12B | Cl3\#4 | 48.7(7) |
| C23 | Cl3 | C12B\#5 | 53.8(15) | C15\#6 | C24 | C24\#6 | 94(3) |
| Cl5\#6 | C24 | C16 | 146(4) | C24\#6 | C24 | C16 | 97.7(16) |
| C15\#6 | C24 | C15 | 78(3) | C24\#6 | C24 | C15 | 37(2) |
| C16 | C24 | C15 | 128(3) | C15\#6 | C24 | C14 | 46(2) |
| C24\#6 | C24 | C14 | 73(4) | C16 | C24 | C14 | 108(3) |
| C15 | C24 | Cl4 | 86(2) | C15\#6 | C24 | Cl4\#6 | 106(3) |
| C24\#6 | C24 | Cl4\#6 | 64(4) | C16 | C24 | Cl4\#6 | 107(3) |
| C15 | C24 | C14\#6 | 41.3(10) | C14 | C24 | Cl4\#6 | 128(3) |
| Cl5\#6 | C24 | C16\#6 | 129(3) | C24\#6 | C24 | Cl6\#6 | 44.0(12) |
| C16 | C24 | C16\#6 | 53.7(17) | C15 | C24 | Cl6\#6 | 77.4(14) |
| C14 | C24 | Cl6\#6 | 88.4(17) | Cl4\#6 | C24 | Cl6\#6 | 82.0(17) |
| Cl5\#6 | C14 | C24 | 36.6(15) | C15\#6 | C14 | C24\#6 | 64.1(14) |
| C24 | C14 | C24\#6 | 42(2) | C24\#6 | C15 | C14\#6 | 97(3) |
| C24\#6 | C15 | C24 | 49(3) | C14\#6 | Cl 5 | C24 | 74.6(16) |


| C24\#6 | C 15 | $\mathrm{C} 15 \# 6$ | $68(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 14 \# 6$ | Cl 5 | $\mathrm{C} 15 \# 6$ | $98.4(11)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 24 | C 15 | $\mathrm{C} 15 \# 6$ | $34.1(17)$ | C 24 | Cl 6 | $\mathrm{Cl} 6 \# 6$ | $82.3(16)$ |
| C 24 | C 16 | $\mathrm{C} 24 \# 6$ | $38(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cl} 16 \# 6$ | Cl 6 | $\mathrm{C} 24 \# 6$ | $44.0(12)$ |
| N 1 | C 25 | C 26 | $180.000(12)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2A.5. Torsion Angles( ${ }^{( }$).

| atom | at | ato | atom | angle | atom | atom | atom | atom | an |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | Au1 | Cl1 | Au1\#1 | -149.0(9) | Cl1 | Au1 | P1 | C17 | 118.9(10) |
| Cl 1 | Au1 | P1 | C1 | -124.1(10) | Cl 1 | Au1 | P1 | C11 | -0.6(11) |
| C17 | P1 | C1 | C10 | 137.7(9) | C11 | P1 | C1 | C10 | -109.9(9) |
| Au1 | P1 | C1 | C10 | 15.3(10) | C17 | P1 | C1 | C2 | -42.0(9) |
| C11 | P1 | C1 | C2 | 70.4(8) | Au1 | P1 | C1 | C2 | -164.4(7) |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 0.4(16) | P1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -179.9(8) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -0.4(16) | C2 | C3 | C4 | C9 | -1.3(16) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 179.6(10) | C9 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 0.1(18) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 179.2(12) | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -1(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 3(2) | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | -4(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C8 | 179.9(11) | C5 | C4 | C9 | C8 | -1.0(17) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C10 | 2.8(16) | C5 | C4 | C9 | C10 | -178.1(10) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C4 | 2.8(19) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | 179.8(11) |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | C9 | 1.2(15) | P1 | C1 | C10 | C9 | -178.5(7) |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | C10\#2 | 177.5(9) | P1 | C1 | C10 | C10\#2 | -2.2(14) |
| C4 | C9 | C10 | C1 | -2.9(15) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C1 | -179.8(11) |
| C4 | C9 | C10 | C10\#2 | -179.7(9) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C10\#2 | 3.4(15) |
| C17 | P1 | C11 | C16 | -90.1(9) | C1 | P1 | C11 | C16 | 161.2(8) |
| Au1 | P1 | C11 | C16 | 32.2(9) | C17 | P1 | C11 | C12 | 89.4(9) |
| C1 | P1 | C11 | C12 | -19.3(10) | Au1 | P1 | C11 | C12 | -148.3(7) |
| C16 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 0.2(15) | P1 | C11 | C12 | C13 | -179.2(8) |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | 1.6(16) | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | -0.6(19) |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | C16 | -2.4(19) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C11 | 4.3(17) |
| C12 | C11 | C16 | C15 | -3.2(16) | P1 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 176.3(8) |
| C1 | P1 | C17 | C18 | 110.8(10) | C11 | P1 | C17 | C18 | -1.7(11) |
| Au1 | P1 | C17 | C18 | -123.3(9) | C1 | P1 | C17 | C22 | -68.4(10) |
| C11 | P1 | C17 | C22 | 179.2(9) | Au1 | P1 | C17 | C22 | 57.5(10) |
| C22 | C17 | C18 | C19 | 0.6(17) | P1 | C17 | C18 | C19 | -178.6(8) |
| C17 | C18 | C19 | C20 | 1.4(17) | C18 | C19 | C20 | C21 | -3.3(18) |


| C 19 | C 20 | C 21 | C 22 | $3(2)$ | C 20 | C 21 | C 22 | C 17 | $-1(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 18 | C 17 | C 22 | C 21 | $-0.6(18)$ | P 1 | C 17 | C 22 | C 21 | $178.6(10)$ |

The ADC (atom designator code) specifies the position of an atom in a crystal. The 5-digit number shown in the table is a composite of three one-digit numbers and one two-digit number: TA (first digit) + TB (second digit) + TC (third digit) + SN (last two digits). TA, TB and TC are the crystal lattice translation digits along cell edges $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c . A translation digit of 5 indicates the origin unit cell. If $\mathrm{TA}=4$, this indicates a translation of one unit cell length along the a -axis in the negative direction. Each translation digit can range in value from 1 to 9 and thus +4 lattice translations from the origin $(\mathrm{TA}=5, \mathrm{~TB}=5, \mathrm{TC}=5)$ can be represented.
The SN, or symmetry operator number, refers to the number of the symmetry operator used to generate the coordinates of the target atom. A list of symmetry operators relevant to this structure are given below.
For a given intermolecular contact, the first atom (origin atom) is located in the origin unit cell and its position can be generated using the identity operator ( $\mathrm{SN}=1$ ). Thus, the ADC for an origin atom is always 55501. The position of the second atom (target atom) can be generated using the ADC and the coordinates of the atom in the parameter table. For example, an ADC of 47502 refers to the target atom moved through symmetry operator two, then translated -1 cell translations along the a axis, +2 cell translations along the b axis, and 0 cell translations along the c axis.
An ADC of 1 indicates an intermolecular contact between two fragments (eg. cation and anion) that reside in the same asymmetric unit.

Table 2A.6. Symmetry Operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
x, y, z \\
-y, x-y, z \\
-x+y,-x, z \\
y, x,-z \\
x-y,-y,-z \\
-x,-x+y,-z \\
x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3 \\
-y+2 / 3, x-y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3 \\
-x+y+2 / 3, \\
-x+1 / 3, z+1 / 3
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3 \\
x-y+2 / 3,-y+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3 \\
-x+2 / 3 \\
-x+y+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3 \\
x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3 \\
-y+1 / 3, x-y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3 \\
-x+y+1 / 3,-x+2 / 3, z+2 / 3 \\
y+1 / 3, x+2 / 3,-z+2 / 3 \\
x-y+1 / 3,-y+2 / 3,-z+2 / 3 \\
-x+1 / 3,-x+y+2 / 3,-z+2 / 3
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Appendix 2B

Data acquisition details for X-ray crystal structure of $(R)-\mathrm{ClMeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2} \mathbf{2 . 4 3}$


Figure 2B.1. ORTEP of ( $R$ )-ClMeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$ 2.43. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

## Introduction

This is a chemically simple, crystallographically complex, structure. The molecule of interest was found to be as expected, and the chirality of the molecule and enantiomorph of the space group were confirmed by refinement of the Flack parameter. The methoxy methyl group is disordered over two positions in an approximate 60:40 ratio. The nitrobenzoate ligand is loosely coordinated by the gold and shows increasingly large thermal motion as the distance to the gold atom increases. As a result, distances and angles in the nitrobenzoate are not particularly accurate.

The molecule crystallizes in the chiral space group $\mathrm{P} 6(5) 22$ with one half molecule in the asymmetric unit. A crystallographic two-fold axis bisects the bond (C6-C6') between the two halves of the chiral ligand. The packing of the molecules leaves large channels centered on the $6(5)$ axis at $(0,0)$ (see Figures). There is diffuse electron density filling this cavity. The electron density was modeled as partially occupied carbon atoms refined with a fixed Uiso of 0.15 (arbitrarily chosen as an appropriate Uiso for solvent in a structure of this quality) and refined positional and occupancy factors. This model gives two interlocked spiral chains of carbon atoms running up adding up to approximately 3 carbon atoms per asymmetric unit, approximately 36 carbons in the unit cell. Attempts to refine the common Uiso "thermal" parameter led to small decreases of the residuals and to very large values of both Uiso and the occupancy factors of the model carbon atoms.

Since the compound was crystallized from hexane, I postulate that this electron density is disordered hexane, with approximately 6 molecules of hexane in the unit cell, one molecule of hexane per molecule of the compound of interest. The formula is reported on that basis, and of course no hydrogen atoms were included for the solvent. Other possibilities exist - it could be Paratone N (long chain hydrocarbon oil that we use for mounting crystals) that has diffused in (the particular crystal sample soaked in Paratone for a month or so), or it could be air molecules (less likely because of the ease with which they could leave, yielding an open channel which could then collapse).

While the spiral form of the solvent electron density is aesthetic, it is not worth discussing because it is demanded by the symmetry operations of the space group running through and along the c-axis. (There is a 6(5) axis and numerous intersecting two-fold axes.) The contents of the cavity are not ordered in the cell in any commensurate way, but rather occupy the cavity ad libitum. What is of note is the fact that the model carbons are not at all unusually close to the molecule of interest, and the two chains are well separated from each other, which lends credence to the hypothesis that they represent something real. It is probable that any editor will want an explanation of the disorder, which is the reason for this lengthy discussion.

## Experimental

## Data Collection

A fragment of a colorless block-like crystal of $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ having approximate dimensions of $0.38 \times 0.36 \times 0.33 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a nylon loop using Paratone N hydrocarbon oil. All measurements were made on a Bruker SMART $1000 \mathrm{CCD}^{1}$ area detector with graphite monochromated $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation. ${ }^{2}$

Cell constants and an orientation matrix, obtained from a least-squares refinement using the measured positions of 9918 centered reflections with I $>10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ in the range $2.75<\theta<26.1^{\circ}$ corresponded to a primitive hexagonal cell with dimensions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}=24.925(2) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \mathrm{o} \\
\mathrm{~b}=24.925(2) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{c}=15.631(1) \AA & \gamma=120^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{V}=8409.4(11) \AA^{3} &
\end{array}
$$

For $\mathrm{Z}=6$ and F.W. $=1462.78$, the calculated density is $1.733 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
Analysis of the systematic absences allowed the space group to be uniquely determined to be:

$$
\text { P 6(5) } 22
$$

The data were collected at a temperature of 133(2) K. Frames corresponding to an arbitrary hemisphere of data were collected using $\omega$ scans of 0.30 counted for a total of 20 seconds per frame.

## Data Reduction

Data were integrated by the program $\operatorname{SAINT}^{1}$ to a maximum $\theta$ value of 26.360 . The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Data were analyzed for agreement and possible absorption using XPREP ${ }^{3}$. An empirical absorption correction based on comparison of redundant and equivalent reflections was applied using SADABS. ${ }^{4}$ ( $\operatorname{Tmax}=0.2669$, Tmin $=0.2349$ ). Of the 76285 reflections that were collected, 5718 were unique ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0358$ ); equivalent reflections were merged. No decay correction was applied.

## Structure Solution and Refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods ${ }^{5}$ and expanded using Fourier techniques. ${ }^{6}$ Nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically except for those that were disordered. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated idealized positions but not refined. The final cycle of fullmatrix least-squares refinement ${ }^{7}$ was based on 5718 reflections (all data) and 349 variable parameters and converged (largest parameter shift was 0.008 times its esd) with conventional unweighted and weighted agreement factors of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma\|\mathrm{Fol}-|\mathrm{Fc} \| / \Sigma| \mathrm{Fol}=0.0302 \text { for } 5124 \text { data with } \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \\
& \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\left(\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(|\mathrm{Fo}|^{2}-|\mathrm{Fc}|^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w}|\mathrm{Fo}|^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0763
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight ${ }^{8}$ was 1.246 . The weighting scheme was based on counting statistics and included a factor ( $\mathrm{q}=0.05$ ) to downweight the intense reflections. The maximum and minimum peaks on the final difference Fourier map corresponded to 1.024 and $-0.369 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$, respectively.

Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber. ${ }^{9}$ Anomalous dispersion effects were included in Fcalc; ${ }^{10}$ the values for $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}$ were those of Creagh and McAuley. ${ }^{11}$ The values for the mass attenuation coefficients are those of Creagh and Hubbel. ${ }^{12}$ All calculations were performed using the SHELXTL ${ }^{13}$ crystallographic software package of Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems Inc.

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula Weight | 1462.78 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | colorless, block |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.38 \times 0.36 \times 0.33 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | Hexagonal |
| Lattice Type | primitive |
| Lattice Parameters | $\mathrm{a}=24.925(2) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=24.925(2) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=15.631(1) \AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=90{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=90{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\gamma=120^{\circ}$ |
|  | $V=8409.4(11) \AA^{3}$ |
| Space Group | P 6522 |
| Z value | 6 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.733 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0} 00$ | 4290 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{MoK})$ | $5.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| Intensity Measurements |  |
| Diffractometer | Bruker SMART 1000 CCD |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK}(\lambda=0.71073 \dagger)$ |
|  | graphite monochromated |
| Detector Position | 60.00 mm |
| Exposure Time | 20 seconds per frame. |


| Scan Type | $\omega$ (0.3 degrees per frame) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\theta$ max | 26.360 |
| No. of Reflections Measured | Total: 76285 |
|  | Unique: $5718\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0358\right)$ |
| Corrections | Lorentz-polarization |
|  | Absorption (Tmax $=0.2669$, |
|  | $\mathrm{Tmin}=0.2349)$ |
| Structure Solution and Refinement |  |
| Structure Solution | direct (SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008)) |
| Refinement | Full-matrix least-squares |
| Function Minimized | $\Sigma w\left(\left\|\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\| 2-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Least Squares Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\mathrm{o}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{qP})^{2}+0.000 \mathrm{P}\right]$ |
|  | where $\mathrm{P}=\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ |
| q-factor | 0.05 |
| Anomalous Dispersion | All non-hydrogen atoms |
| No. Observations ( $\mathrm{I}>2.00 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 5124 |
| No. Variables | 349 |
| Reflection/Parameter Ratio | 14.7 |
| Residuals: R; wR ${ }_{2}$; Rall | 0.0302; 0.0763; 0.0401 |
| Goodness of Fit Indicator | 1.247 |
| Max Shift/Error in Final Cycle | 0.008 |
| Maximum peak in Final Diff. Map | $1.024 \mathrm{e}^{-/} \mathrm{A}^{3}$ |
| Minimum peak in Final Diff. Map | $-0.369 \mathrm{e}^{-/} \AA^{3}$ |

Table 2B.1. Atomic coordinates and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{iso}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ and occupancy

| atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | y | z | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{a}$ | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au1 | 0.4383(1) | 0.2595(1) | 0.0878(1) | 0.042(1) | 1 |
| Cl1 | 0.2511(1) | 0.1679(1) | -0.3264(1) | 0.096(1) | 1 |
| P1 | $0.4505(1)$ | 0.3081(1) | -0.0354(1) | 0.035(1) | 1 |
| O1 | 0.2769(3) | 0.1065(2) | -0.1799(3) | 0.071(2) | 1 |
| O2 | 0.4191(2) | 0.2152(2) | 0.2039(2) | 0.053(1) | 1 |
| O3 | 0.5092(2) | 0.2163(2) | 0.2047(3) | 0.058(1) | 1 |
| O4 | 0.3381(5) | 0.0495(3) | 0.5781(4) | 0.128(4) | 1 |
| O5 | 0.4281(6) | 0.0606(6) | 0.5880(5) | 0.171(5) | 1 |
| N1 | 0.3879(7) | 0.0681(4) | 0.5510(4) | 0.113(4) | 1 |
| C1 | 0.3933(3) | 0.2643(3) | -0.1176(3) | 0.038(1) | 1 |
| C2 | 0.3850(3) | 0.2970(3) | -0.1837(4) | 0.048(1) | 1 |
| C3 | 0.3425(3) | 0.2676(3) | -0.2473(4) | 0.056(2) | 1 |
| C4 | 0.3061(3) | 0.2054(3) | -0.2463(4) | 0.053(2) | 1 |
| C5 | 0.3133(3) | 0.1701(3) | -0.1830(4) | 0.049(2) | 1 |
| C6 | 0.3578(3) | 0.1998(3) | -0.1182(3) | 0.039(1) | 1 |
| C7 | 0.2741(6) | 0.0689(4) | -0.2499(8) | 0.061(4) | 0.587(15) |
| C7B | 0.2219(12) | 0.0789(12) | -0.1486(17) | 0.103(8) | 0.413(15) |
| C8 | 0.4448(3) | 0.3774(3) | -0.0190(3) | 0.039(1) | 1 |
| C9 | 0.4034(3) | 0.3749(4) | 0.0421(4) | 0.054(2) | 1 |
| C10 | 0.3960(4) | 0.4274(5) | 0.0523(5) | 0.074(2) | 1 |
| C11 | 0.4310(4) | $0.4807(4)$ | 0.0051(5) | 0.072(2) | 1 |
| C12 | 0.4732(4) | 0.4823(3) | -0.0518(5) | 0.066(2) | 1 |
| C13 | 0.4799(3) | 0.4303(3) | -0.0651(4) | 0.056(2) | 1 |
| C14 | 0.5266(2) | $0.3366(2)$ | -0.0843(4) | 0.039(1) | 1 |
| C15 | 0.5773(3) | 0.3657(4) | -0.0300(4) | 0.054(2) | 1 |
| C16 | 0.6365(3) | 0.3927(3) | -0.0610(5) | 0.060(2) | 1 |
| C17 | 0.6460(3) | 0.3893(3) | -0.1483(5) | 0.058(2) | 1 |
| C18 | 0.5957(3) | 0.3604(3) | -0.2029(4) | 0.056(2) | 1 |


| C19 | 0.5356(3) | 0.3337(3) | -0.1715(4) | 0.045(1) | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C20 | 0.4587(3) | 0.2028(3) | 0.2359(3) | 0.043(1) | 1 |
| C21 | 0.4391(3) | 0.1681(3) | 0.3200(3) | 0.050(2) | 1 |
| C22 | 0.4838(4) | 0.1665(3) | 0.3712(4) | 0.066(2) | 1 |
| C23 | 0.4666(6) | 0.1343(4) | 0.4474 (5) | 0.082(3) | 1 |
| C24 | 0.4062(6) | 0.1028(4) | 0.4702(4) | 0.076(3) | 1 |
| C25 | 0.3597(5) | 0.1028(3) | 0.4192(5) | 0.076(2) | 1 |
| C26 | 0.3778(4) | 0.1364(3) | 0.3440 (4) | 0.059(2) | 1 |
| C101 | 0.1652(10) | 0.0307(10) | 0.5073(17) | 0.15 | 0.70(3) |
| C102 | 0.201(2) | 0.081(2) | 0.390(3) | 0.15 | 0.37(3) |
| C103 | 0.1659(16) | $0.0239(17)$ | 0.424(3) | 0.15 | 0.47(3) |
| C104 | 0.156(3) | 0.0781(13) | 0.4167 | 0.15 | 0.51(4) |
| C111 | -0.0711(18) | -0.079(2) | 0.372(2) | 0.15 | 0.42(3) |
| C112 | -0.0544(17) | -0.027(2) | 0.380(2) | 0.15 | 0.41(3) |
| C113 | -0.1129(19) | -0.0734(19) | $0.465(3)$ | 0.15 | 0.41(3) |
| H2A | 0.4097 | 0.3409 | -0.1846 | 0.057 | 1 |
| H3A | 0.3386 | 0.2911 | -0.2922 | 0.068 | 1 |
| H7A | 0.2737 | 0.0317 | -0.2283 | 0.092 | 0.587(15) |
| H7B | 0.3104 | 0.0922 | -0.2866 | 0.092 | 0.587(15) |
| H7C | 0.2363 | 0.0567 | -0.283 | 0.092 | 0.587(15) |
| H7BA | 0.2096 | 0.1096 | -0.1345 | 0.154 | 0.413(15) |
| H7BB | 0.2211 | 0.0565 | -0.0967 | 0.154 | 0.413(15) |
| H7BC | 0.193 | 0.0496 | -0.1908 | 0.154 | 0.413(15) |
| H9A | 0.3805 | 0.3389 | 0.0763 | 0.065 | 1 |
| H10A | 0.3665 | 0.4259 | 0.0922 | 0.089 | 1 |
| H11A | 0.4257 | 0.5156 | 0.0122 | 0.087 | 1 |
| H12A | 0.4985 | 0.5192 | -0.0829 | 0.08 | 1 |
| H13A | 0.5088 | 0.4319 | -0.1061 | 0.067 | 1 |
| H15A | 0.5708 | 0.3669 | 0.0297 | 0.065 | 1 |
| H16A | 0.6709 | 0.4137 | -0.0234 | 0.072 | 1 |
| H17A | 0.6869 | 0.4067 | -0.1703 | 0.07 | 1 |
| H18A | 0.6023 | 0.3588 | -0.2625 | 0.067 | 1 |


| H19A | 0.5012 | 0.3138 | -0.2091 | 0.054 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H22A | 0.526 | 0.1875 | 0.3539 | 0.079 | 1 |
| H23A | 0.4972 | 0.1342 | 0.4837 | 0.098 | 1 |
| H25A | 0.3174 | 0.0804 | 0.4358 | 0.091 | 1 |
| H26A | 0.3475 | 0.1377 | 0.3084 | 0.071 | 1 |

${ }^{\text {a. }} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor

Table 2B.2. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters

| atom | U11 | U22 | U33 | $\mathbf{U 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{U 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{U 2 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au1 | $0.052(1)$ | $0.060(1)$ | $0.020(1)$ | $0.008(1)$ | $0.003(1)$ | $0.033(1)$ |
| C11 | $0.105(2)$ | $0.071(1)$ | $0.070(1)$ | $0.014(1)$ | $-0.053(1)$ | $0.013(1)$ |
| P1 | $0.044(1)$ | $0.046(1)$ | $0.020(1)$ | $0.002(1)$ | $0.000(1)$ | $0.025(1)$ |
| O1 | $0.080(4)$ | $0.042(3)$ | $0.056(3)$ | $0.018(2)$ | $-0.022(3)$ | $0.005(2)$ |
| O2 | $0.075(3)$ | $0.070(3)$ | $0.022(2)$ | $0.014(2)$ | $0.009(2)$ | $0.042(3)$ |
| O3 | $0.068(3)$ | $0.060(3)$ | $0.041(2)$ | $0.008(2)$ | $-0.005(2)$ | $0.029(2)$ |
| O4 | $0.228(10)$ | $0.064(4)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $0.011(3)$ | $0.028(5)$ | $0.032(5)$ |
| O5 | $0.308(15)$ | $0.216(11)$ | $0.063(4)$ | $0.073(6)$ | $0.033(6)$ | $0.186(11)$ |
| N1 | $0.251(13)$ | $0.078(5)$ | $0.025(3)$ | $0.010(3)$ | $0.010(6)$ | $0.093(7)$ |
| C1 | $0.043(3)$ | $0.045(3)$ | $0.028(2)$ | $0.011(2)$ | $0.001(2)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| C2 | $0.071(4)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $0.038(3)$ | $0.003(2)$ | $-0.011(3)$ | $0.031(3)$ |
| C3 | $0.081(4)$ | $0.047(3)$ | $0.034(3)$ | $0.007(3)$ | $-0.016(3)$ | $0.027(3)$ |
| C4 | $0.058(4)$ | $0.056(3)$ | $0.037(3)$ | $0.007(3)$ | $-0.017(3)$ | $0.022(3)$ |
| C5 | $0.049(3)$ | $0.047(3)$ | $0.040(3)$ | $0.014(3)$ | $-0.009(2)$ | $0.016(3)$ |
| C6 | $0.041(3)$ | $0.048(3)$ | $0.027(2)$ | $0.011(2)$ | $0.001(2)$ | $0.021(3)$ |
| C7 | $0.073(8)$ | $0.027(5)$ | $0.066(7)$ | $-0.001(5)$ | $-0.028(6)$ | $0.012(5)$ |
| C8 | $0.048(3)$ | $0.048(3)$ | $0.029(2)$ | $-0.009(2)$ | $-0.007(2)$ | $0.030(3)$ |
| C9 | $0.046(4)$ | $0.073(4)$ | $0.041(3)$ | $-0.006(3)$ | $-0.006(3)$ | $0.028(3)$ |
| C10 | $0.079(5)$ | $0.099(7)$ | $0.071(5)$ | $-0.043(5)$ | $-0.019(4)$ | $0.064(5)$ |
| C11 | $0.081(5)$ | $0.073(5)$ | $0.083(5)$ | $-0.045(5)$ | $-0.042(5)$ | $0.053(5)$ |
| C12 | $0.085(5)$ | $0.052(4)$ | $0.069(5)$ | $-0.016(3)$ | $-0.025(4)$ | $0.038(4)$ |
| C13 | $0.072(4)$ | $0.066(4)$ | $0.042(3)$ | $-0.005(3)$ | $0.000(3)$ | $0.044(4)$ |
| C14 | $0.046(3)$ | $0.046(3)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $0.005(2)$ | $0.005(2)$ | $0.025(2)$ |
| C15 | $0.048(4)$ | $0.084(5)$ | $0.028(3)$ | $0.014(3)$ | $0.004(2)$ | $0.031(3)$ |
| C16 | $0.041(3)$ | $0.075(5)$ | $0.054(4)$ | $0.004(3)$ | $-0.008(3)$ | $0.023(3)$ |
| C17 | $0.055(4)$ | $0.066(4)$ | $0.060(4)$ | $0.011(3)$ | $0.023(3)$ | $0.035(3)$ |
| C18 | $0.073(4)$ | $0.047(3)$ | $0.041(3)$ | $-0.005(3)$ | $0.020(3)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| C19 | $0.057(4)$ | $0.041(3)$ | $0.030(3)$ | $-0.002(2)$ | $0.004(2)$ | $0.019(3)$ |
| C20 | $0.053(3)$ | $0.052(3)$ | $0.021(2)$ | $0.001(2)$ | $-0.001(2)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| C21 | $0.092(5)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $0.022(2)$ | $-0.003(2)$ | $-0.003(3)$ | $0.036(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C22 | $0.114(6)$ | $0.048(4)$ | $0.037(3)$ | $-0.001(3)$ | $-0.019(4)$ | $0.041(4)$ |
| C23 | $0.153(9)$ | $0.046(4)$ | $0.038(4)$ | $-0.005(3)$ | $-0.039(5)$ | $0.044(5)$ |
| C24 | $0.160(9)$ | $0.050(4)$ | $0.019(3)$ | $0.005(3)$ | $-0.005(4)$ | $0.053(6)$ |
| C25 | $0.131(7)$ | $0.050(4)$ | $0.054(4)$ | $0.022(3)$ | $0.043(5)$ | $0.051(4)$ |
| C26 | $0.095(5)$ | $0.060(4)$ | $0.029(3)$ | $0.013(3)$ | $0.012(3)$ | $0.044(4)$ |

The general temperature factor expression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\exp \left(-2 \Pi^{2}\left(a^{*} \mathrm{U}_{11} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{22} \mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{*} 2 \mathrm{U}_{33} \mathrm{l}^{2}+2 \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12} \mathrm{hk}+2 \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{c}^{*} * \mathrm{U}_{23} \mathrm{Ukl}\right)\right) \mathrm{hl}+ \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

| atom | Table 2B.3. Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | atom | distance | atom | atom | distance |
| Au1 | O2 | 2.054(4) | Au1 | P1 | 2.2139(13) |
| Cl1 | C4 | 1.742(6) | P1 | C8 | 1.822(6) |
| P1 | C1 | 1.822(6) | P1 | C14 | 1.827(5) |
| O1 | C7B | 1.28(3) | O1 | C5 | 1.377(7) |
| O1 | C7 | 1.420 (13) | O2 | C20 | 1.273(7) |
| O3 | C20 | $1.230(7)$ | O4 | N1 | 1.167(15) |
| O5 | N1 | 1.248(15) | N1 | C24 | 1.468(9) |
| C1 | C2 | $1.392(7)$ | C1 | C6 | $1.396(8)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.368(8)$ | C2 | H2A | 0.95 |
| C3 | C4 | 1.351(9) | C3 | H3A | 0.95 |
| C4 | C5 | $1.394(8)$ | C5 | C6 | $1.408(8)$ |
| C6 | C6\#1 | 1.507(10) | C7 | H7A | 0.98 |
| C7 | H7B | 0.98 | C7 | H7C | 0.98 |
| C7B | H7BA | 0.98 | C7B | H7BB | 0.98 |
| C7B | H7BC | 0.98 | C8 | C13 | 1.367(9) |
| C8 | C9 | $1.384(8)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.418(11)$ |
| C9 | H9A | 0.95 | C10 | C11 | $1.383(13)$ |
| C10 | H10A | 0.95 | C11 | C12 | $1.362(12)$ |
| C11 | H11A | 0.95 | C12 | C13 | $1.402(10)$ |
| C12 | H12A | 0.95 | C13 | H13A | 0.95 |
| C14 | C15 | 1.388 (8) | C14 | C19 | 1.390 (8) |
| C15 | C16 | $1.369(9)$ | C15 | H15A | 0.95 |
| C16 | C17 | 1.395(10) | C16 | H16A | 0.95 |
| C17 | C18 | $1.384(11)$ | C17 | H17A | 0.95 |
| C18 | C19 | $1.389(9)$ | C18 | H18A | 0.95 |
| C19 | H19A | 0.95 | C20 | C21 | 1.514(7) |
| C21 | C26 | 1.375(10) | C21 | C22 | 1.389(10) |
| C22 | C23 | 1.379(10) | C22 | H22A | 0.95 |
| C23 | C24 | 1.353(14) | C23 | H23A | 0.95 |
| C24 | C25 | $1.406(13)$ | C25 | C26 | 1.382(9) |


| C 25 | H 25 A | 0.95 | C 26 | H 26 A | 0.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 101 | C 103 | $1.32(4)$ | C 101 | $\mathrm{C} 101 \# 2$ | $1.34(4)$ |
| C 101 | $\mathrm{C} 103 \# 2$ | $1.60(4)$ | C 101 | C 104 | $1.93(3)$ |
| C 102 | C 104 | $1.16(5)$ | C 102 | $\mathrm{C} 102 \# 3$ | $1.27(8)$ |
| C 102 | C 103 | $1.35(5)$ | C 103 | C 104 | $1.49(4)$ |
| C 103 | $\mathrm{C} 101 \# 2$ | $1.60(4)$ | C 104 | $\mathrm{C} 102 \# 3$ | $1.16(5)$ |
| C 104 | $\mathrm{C} 103 \# 3$ | $1.49(4)$ | C 104 | $\mathrm{C} 101 \# 3$ | $1.93(3)$ |
| C 111 | C 112 | $1.17(6)$ | C 111 | $\mathrm{C} 111 \# 4$ | $1.26(7)$ |
| C 111 | $\mathrm{C} 112 \# 4$ | $1.64(5)$ | C 111 | $\mathrm{C} 112 \# 3$ | $1.71(6)$ |
| C 111 | $\mathrm{C} 113 \# 3$ | $1.76(6)$ | C 111 | $\mathrm{C} 113 \# 5$ | $1.82(6)$ |
| C 111 | C 113 | $1.84(6)$ | C 112 | $\mathrm{C} 112 \# 3$ | $1.15(8)$ |
| C 112 | $\mathrm{C} 113 \# 3$ | $1.34(5)$ | C 112 | $\mathrm{C} 111 \# 4$ | $1.64(5)$ |
| C 112 | $\mathrm{C} 111 \# 3$ | $1.71(6)$ | C 112 | $\mathrm{C} 112 \# 4$ | $1.88(8)$ |
| C 112 | C 113 | $1.88(5)$ | C 113 | $\mathrm{C} 112 \# 3$ | $1.34(5)$ |
| C 113 | $\mathrm{C} 113 \# 3$ | $1.73(8)$ | C 113 | $\mathrm{C} 111 \# 3$ | $1.76(6)$ |
| C 113 | $\mathrm{C} 111 \# 6$ | $1.82(6)$ |  |  |  |

Table 2B.4. Bond Angles ( ${ }^{( }$)

| atom | atom | atom | angle | atom | atom | atom | angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O2 | Au1 | P1 | 174.23(13) | C8 | P1 | C1 | 104.9(2) |
| C8 | P1 | C14 | 104.5(3) | C1 | P1 | C14 | 106.8(3) |
| C8 | P1 | Au1 | 110.01(18) | C1 | P1 | Au1 | 115.61(18) |
| C14 | P1 | Au1 | 114.10(18) | C7B | O1 | C5 | 122.9(13) |
| C7B | O1 | C7 | 104.6(13) | C5 | O1 | C7 | 121.3(6) |
| C20 | O2 | Au1 | 118.6(4) | O4 | N1 | O5 | 123.1(8) |
| O4 | N1 | C24 | 120.1(12) | O5 | N1 | C24 | 116.8(12) |
| C2 | C1 | C6 | 118.4(5) | C2 | C1 | P1 | 118.2(4) |
| C6 | C1 | P1 | 123.4(4) | C3 | C2 | C1 | 121.9(6) |
| C3 | C2 | H2A | 119.1 | C1 | C2 | H2A | 119.1 |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | 120.1(5) | C4 | C3 | H3A | 119.9 |
| C2 | C3 | H3A | 119.9 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 120.6(5) |
| C3 | C4 | Cl1 | 120.3(5) | C5 | C4 | Cl1 | 119.1(5) |
| O1 | C5 | C4 | 122.1(5) | O1 | C5 | C6 | 118.2(5) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 119.7(5) | C1 | C6 | C5 | 119.3(5) |
| C1 | C6 | C6\#1 | 124.9(5) | C5 | C6 | C6\#1 | 115.4(5) |
| O1 | C7 | H7A | 109.5 | O1 | C7 | H7B | 109.5 |
| O1 | C7 | H7C | 109.5 | O1 | C7B | H7BA | 109.5 |
| O1 | C7B | H7BB | 109.5 | H7BA | C7B | H7BB | 109.5 |
| O1 | C7B | H7BC | 109.5 | H7BA | C7B | H7BC | 109.5 |
| H7BB | C7B | H7BC | 109.5 | C13 | C8 | C9 | 120.7(6) |
| C13 | C8 | P1 | 121.6(4) | C9 | C8 | P1 | 117.7(5) |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | 118.2(7) | C8 | C9 | H9A | 120.9 |
| C10 | C9 | H9A | 120.9 | C11 | C10 | C9 | 121.0(7) |
| C11 | C10 | H10A | 119.5 | C9 | C10 | H10A | 119.5 |
| C12 | C11 | C10 | 118.9(7) | C12 | C11 | H11A | 120.5 |
| C10 | C11 | H11A | 120.5 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 121.1(8) |
| C11 | C12 | H12A | 119.4 | C13 | C12 | H12A | 119.4 |


| C 8 | C 13 | C 12 | $119.8(7)$ | C 8 | C 13 | H 13 A | 120.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 12 | C 13 | H 13 A | 120.1 | C 15 | C 14 | C 19 | $120.0(5)$ |
| C 15 | C 14 | P 1 | $116.3(4)$ | C 19 | C 14 | P 1 | $123.6(4)$ |
| C 16 | C 15 | C 14 | $121.1(6)$ | C 16 | C 15 | H 15 A | 119.5 |
| C 14 | C 15 | H 15 A | 119.5 | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $119.3(6)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | H 16 A | 120.3 | C 17 | C 16 | H 16 A | 120.3 |
| C 18 | C 17 | C 16 | $119.9(6)$ | C 18 | C 17 | H 17 A | 120.1 |
| C 16 | C 17 | H 17 A | 120.1 | C 17 | C 18 | C 19 | $120.7(6)$ |
| C 17 | C 18 | H 18 A | 119.6 | C 19 | C 18 | H 18 A | 119.6 |
| C 18 | C 19 | C 14 | $119.0(6)$ | C 18 | C 19 | H 19 A | 120.5 |
| C 14 | C 19 | H 19 A | 120.5 | O 3 | C 20 | O 2 | $126.1(5)$ |
| O 3 | C 20 | C 21 | $119.6(6)$ | O 2 | C 20 | C 21 | $114.3(5)$ |
| C 26 | C 21 | C 22 | $120.3(6)$ | C 26 | C 21 | C 20 | $120.8(6)$ |
| C 22 | C 21 | C 20 | $118.8(7)$ | C 23 | C 22 | C 21 | $119.6(9)$ |
| C 23 | C 22 | H 22 A | 120.2 | C 21 | C 22 | H 22 A | 120.2 |
| C 24 | C 23 | C 22 | $119.8(8)$ | C 24 | C 23 | H 23 A | 120.1 |
| C 22 | C 23 | H 23 A | 120.1 | C 23 | C 24 | C 25 | $121.9(6)$ |
| C 23 | C 24 | N 1 | $119.7(10)$ | C 25 | C 24 | N 1 | $118.4(10)$ |
| C 26 | C 25 | C 24 | $117.7(8)$ | C 26 | C 25 | H25A | 121.2 |
| C 24 | C 25 | H 25 A | 121.2 | C 21 | C 26 | C 25 | $120.7(7)$ |
| C 21 | C 26 | H 26 A | 119.6 | C 25 | C 26 | H26A | 119.6 |

Table 2A.5. Torsion Angles $\left(^{( }\right)$.

| at | atom | atom | atom | angle | atom | atom | atom | atom | angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O2 | Au1 | P1 | C8 | 48.6(14) | O2 | Au1 | P1 | C1 | -70.0(14) |
| O2 | Au1 | P1 | C14 | 165.6(14) | P1 | Au1 | O2 | C20 | -171.8(11) |
| C8 | P1 | C1 | C2 | 37.5(5) | C14 | P1 | C1 | C2 | -73.0(5) |
| Au1 | P1 | C1 | C2 | 158.8(4) | C8 | P1 | C1 | C6 | -143.5(5) |
| C14 | P1 | C1 | C6 | 106.0(5) | Au1 | P1 | C1 | C6 | -22.1(5) |
| C6 | C1 | C2 | C3 | $1.6(10)$ | P1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -179.3(6) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 1.3(11) | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -3.0(11) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | Cl1 | 179.1(6) | C7B | O1 | C5 | C4 | -80.3(16) |
| C7 | O1 | C5 | C4 | 57.8(10) | C7B | O1 | C5 | C6 | 97.9(16) |
| C7 | O1 | C5 | C6 | -124.0(8) | C3 | C4 | C5 | O1 | 179.8(7) |
| Cl1 | C4 | C5 | O1 | -2.2(10) | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 1.7(11) |
| Cl 1 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 179.6(5) | C2 | C1 | C6 | C5 | -2.9(9) |
| P1 | C1 | C6 | C5 | 178.1(5) | C2 | C1 | C6 | C6\#1 | -175.4(6) |
| P1 | C1 | C6 | C6\#1 | 5.6(8) | O1 | C5 | C6 | C1 | -176.9(6) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C1 | 1.3(9) | O1 | C5 | C6 | C6\#1 | -3.7(9) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C6\#1 | 174.5(6) | C1 | P1 | C8 | C13 | -90.2(5) |
| C14 | P1 | C8 | C13 | 21.9(5) | Au1 | P1 | C8 | C13 | 144.8(5) |
| C1 | P1 | C8 | C9 | 89.7(5) | C14 | P1 | C8 | C9 | -158.1(5) |
| Au1 | P1 | C8 | C9 | -35.3(5) | C13 | C8 | C9 | C10 | 3.3(9) |
| P1 | C8 | C9 | C10 | -176.6(5) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | -2.7(10) |
| C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 | -0.1(11) | C10 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 2.3(11) |
| C9 | C8 | C13 | C12 | -1.2(10) | P1 | C8 | C13 | C12 | 178.7(5) |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | C8 | -1.6(11) | C8 | P1 | C14 | C15 | 75.9(5) |
| C1 | P1 | C14 | C15 | -173.2(5) | Au1 | P1 | C14 | C15 | -44.2(5) |
| C8 | P1 | C14 | C19 | -100.0(5) | C1 | P1 | C14 | C19 | 10.8(6) |
| Au1 | P1 | C14 | C19 | 139.8(4) | C19 | C14 | C15 | C16 | $1.0(10)$ |
| P1 | C14 | C15 | C16 | -175.1(6) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C17 | -1.9(11) |
| C15 | C16 | C17 | C18 | 2.0 (11) | C16 | C17 | C18 | C19 | -1.2(11) |
| C17 | C18 | C19 | C14 | 0.2(10) | C15 | C14 | C19 | C18 | -0.1(9) |
| P1 | C14 | C19 | C18 | 175.7(5) | Au1 | O2 | C20 | O3 | 1.9(8) |


| Au 1 | O 2 | C 20 | C 21 | $-177.9(4)$ | O 3 | C 20 | C 21 | C 26 | $-160.4(6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O 2 | C 20 | C 21 | C 26 | $19.4(8)$ | O 3 | C 20 | C 21 | C 22 | $16.9(8)$ |
| O 2 | C 20 | C 21 | C 22 | $-163.3(6)$ | C 26 | C 21 | C 22 | C 23 | $-1.7(10)$ |
| C 20 | C 21 | C 22 | C 23 | $-179.0(6)$ | C 21 | C 22 | C 23 | C 24 | $2.2(11)$ |
| C 22 | C 23 | C 24 | C 25 | $-1.3(12)$ | C 22 | C 23 | C 24 | N 1 | $179.4(7)$ |
| O 4 | N 1 | C 24 | C 23 | $167.3(9)$ | O 5 | N 1 | C 24 | C 23 | $-11.8(12)$ |
| O 4 | N 1 | C 24 | C 25 | $-12.0(12)$ | O 5 | N 1 | C 24 | C 25 | $168.9(9)$ |
| C 23 | C 24 | C 25 | C 26 | $-0.2(11)$ | N 1 | C 24 | C 25 | C 26 | $179.1(6)$ |
| C 22 | C 21 | C 26 | C 25 | $0.2(10)$ | C 20 | C 21 | C 26 | C 25 | $177.4(6)$ |
| C 24 | C 25 | C 26 | C 21 | $0.7(10)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

The ADC (atom designator code) specifies the position of an atom in a crystal. The 5digit number shown in the table is a composite of three one-digit numbers and one two-digit number: TA (first digit) + TB (second digit) + TC (third digit) + SN (last two digits). TA, TB and TC are the crystal lattice translation digits along cell edges $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c . A translation digit of 5 indicates the origin unit cell. If $\mathrm{TA}=4$, this indicates a translation of one unit cell length along the a-axis in the negative direction. Each translation digit can range in value from 1 to 9 and thus +4 lattice translations from the origin $(\mathrm{TA}=5, \mathrm{~TB}=5, \mathrm{TC}=5)$ can be represented.

The SN , or symmetry operator number, refers to the number of the symmetry operator used to generate the coordinates of the target atom. A list of symmetry operators relevant to this structure are given below.

For a given intermolecular contact, the first atom (origin atom) is located in the origin unit cell and its position can be generated using the identity operator ( $\mathrm{SN}=1$ ). Thus, the ADC for an origin atom is always 55501. The position of the second atom (target atom) can be generated using the ADC and the coordinates of the atom in the parameter table. For example, an ADC of 47502 refers to the target atom moved through symmetry operator two, then translated -1 cell translations along the a axis, +2 cell translations along the b axis, and 0 cell translations along the c axis.
An ADC of 1 indicates an intermolecular contact between two fragments (eg. cation and anion) that reside in the same asymmetric unit.

Table 2B.6. Symmetry Operators

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
x, y, z & -y, x-y, z+2 / 3 \\
-x+y,-x, z+1 / 3 & -x,-y, z+1 / 2 \\
y,-x+y, z+1 / 6 & x-y, x, z+5 / 6 \\
y, x,-z+2 / 3 & x-y,-y,-z \\
-x,-x+y,-z+1 / 3 & -y,-x,-z+1 / 6 \\
-x+y, y,-z+1 / 2 & x, x-y,-z+5 / 6
\end{array}
$$
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## Appendix 2C

Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR characterization data are included for compounds 2.42-2.46. Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR characterization data are included for compounds 2.40, 2.41, 2.59-2.64, 2.67, 2.68, 2.71, 2.72.

Copies of HPLC chromatographs are included for compounds 2.41, 2.41, 2.62, 2.64, 2.71, 2.72.

### 2.42 (R)-3,5-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$




### 2.43 (R)-ClMeOBiPHEP $(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}$




- 17.26

2.44 (S)-BINAP(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$





### 2.45 ( $R$ )-Segpos(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$



$$
20.72
$$


2.46 (R)-Synphos(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$



2.40



| 203.28 |
| ---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 143.27 |
| 137.16 |
| 129.69 |



### 2.41




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { N }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { | } \mid=1 /
\end{aligned}
$$






| 180 | 180 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.41




| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 8.853 | 546372 | 3.266 |
| 11.595 | 16183436 | 96.734 |



| $2: 254 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.853 | 114636 | 3.210 |
| 11.595 | 3456092 | 96.790 |

### 2.41




| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.859 | 7399804 | 49.816 |  |
|  | 11.643 | 7454412 | 50.184 |



2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.859 | 1574011 | 49.766 |
| 11.643 | 1588801 | 50.234 |


$2.60$


### 2.60



1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.467 | 3818564 | 15.198 |
| 12.128 | 21307085 | 84.802 |



| 2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 9.467 | 864831 | 15.068 |
| 12.128 | 4874849 | 84.932 |

### 2.60



| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 11.568 | 10340132 | 49.954 |
|  | 15.216 | 10358970 | 50.046 |



### 2.61



| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $V$ |  | $1 /$ |



| ｜ 180 | 180 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.62




### 2.62




| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 24.107 | 1459521 | 6.278 |
| 27.995 | 21788825 | 93.722 |



| $2: 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 24.107 | 398196 | 6.438 |
| 27.995 | 5786806 | 93.562 |

### 2.62



1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22.491 | 13203817 | 50.705 |
| 27.173 | 12836463 | 49.295 |


2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

Retention Time | Area |
| ---: | ---: |

Area Percent
$27.173 \quad 3102908$
47.828
2.67


0 ® $^{\circ}$ LOZ





| 1 | 180 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 | ppm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 2.71




### 2.71




1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results
Retention Time $r$ Area $\quad$ Area Percent


2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results
Retention Time Area
Area Percent
$9.488 \quad 44413$
$11.317 \quad 4394575$
1.001
98.999

### 2.71




| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| 8.517 | 8692423 | 50.011 |  |
|  | 10.560 | 8688658 | 49.989 |



2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.083 | 34598 | 0.860 |
| 8.517 | 1995463 | 49.582 |
| 10.560 | 1994479 | 49.558 |

### 2.63



| 1 | 1 | \| | \| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 180 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 | ppm |

2.64



### 2.64




| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12.613 | 2053488 | 6.057 |  |
|  | 17.808 | 31849716 | 93.943 |



| $2: 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 12.613 | 515122 | 6.193 |
| 17.808 | 7803304 | 93.807 |

### 2.64



$\stackrel{\text { Э }}{\varepsilon}$

1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12.885 | 13708930 | 50.893 |
| 18.411 | 13227691 | 49.107 |



2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12.885 | 3291382 | 50.517 |
| 18.411 | 3223987 | 49.483 |

2.68


### 2.72



2.72



| $1: 230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
|  | 8.784 | 262570 | 1.166 |
|  | 16.624 | 22248385 | 98.834 |



| $2: 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
|  | 8.784 | 66994 | 1.166 |
|  | 16.624 | 5678474 | 98.834 |


(200

| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.949 | 10152251 | 49.733 |  |
|  | 17.467 | 10261438 | 50.267 |



| $2: 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 8.949 | 2623833 | 50.184 |
| 17.467 | 2604613 | 49.816 |

## Chapter 3

## Gold(I)-Catalyzed Enantioselective Synthesis of Pyrazolidines,

## Isoxazolidines, and Tetrahydrooxazines

A portion of this work has been published (LaLonde, R. L.; Wang, Z. J.; Mba, M.; Lackner, A. D.; Toste, F. D. "Gold(I)-Catalyzed Enantioselective Synthesis of Pyrazolidines, Isoxazolidines, and Tetrahydrooxazines" Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2010, 49, 598-601), but has been described here in greater detail. ${ }^{1}$

[^3]
## Introduction

As discussed in Chapter 2, the field of gold(I)-catalyzed addition of heteroatom nucleophiles to allenes ${ }^{1}$ has recently been expanded to include enantioselective synthesis of heterocyclic products. ${ }^{2,3}$ Despite the rapid growth in this area of research, as of 2009, the gold(I)-catalyzed enantioselective addition of hydroxylamines and hydrazines to allenes had not been reported. In addition to the area of gold-catalysis, this was also a deficiency found in general transition metal-catalysis. A literature search revealed a single enantioselective nucleophilic addition of hydrazines to allenes, but this transformation was an aminoarylation not a hydroamination. The enantioselective addition of hydroxylamine nucleophiles to allenes remained unknown. ${ }^{4}$ Other current methods for the synthesis of isoxazolidines ${ }^{5}$ and pyrazolidines, ${ }^{6}$ usually 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions, are limited by problems with regio- and diastereoselectivity. As these types of heterocycles appear frequently in biologically important molecules, it is imperative to have robust, flexible methods for their formation. ${ }^{7}$ In addition, these heterocycles serve as precursors to unnatural amino acid derivatives such as 5 -oxaproline ${ }^{7}$, ${ }^{8}$ as well as chiral allylic alcohols and 1,3-diamines, and thus are valuable for peptidomimetic studies. ${ }^{9}$
To the best of our knowledge, before 2009 there were no asymmetric transition metal-catalyzed allene hydroaminations with hydroxylamine and hydrazine nucleophiles. However, a related transformation, an enantioselective palladium-catalyzed aminoarylation of allenes was reported in 2007 (Table 3.1). ${ }^{10}$ While racemic keto-ester $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ was cyclized with poor diastereo- and enantioselectivity (entry 1 ), enantioenriched $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ reacted with much better results (entry 2 ). The desired pyrrazolidine 3.2 was isolated with excellent enantioselectivity ( $99 \%$ ) and good diastereoselectivity (94:6). In a subsequent report, ${ }^{11}$ Ma tested this methodology on diester substrates. Unfortunately, the maximum ee obtained was much lower than with enantioenriched $\beta$-ketoesters. For example, upon treatment with palladium, diester $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ yielded $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ with $84 \%$ ee (entry 3). This method was also limited to hydrazines with matching protecting groups. This potentially presents a problem in differentiating the nitrogens for futher functionalization.

Table 3.1. Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Aminoarylation with Hydrazine Nucleophiles.


| entry | substrate | $\mathbf{R}=$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\prime}=$ | product | \% yield | cis:trans | cis \% ee | trans \% ee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | Et | COMe | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | 79 | $35: 65$ | 86 | 43 |
| 2 | $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | Et | COMe | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | 81 | $6: 94$ | -- | 99 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | 75 | -- | 84 | -- |

In addition to the lack of enantioselective allene hydroaminations with hydrazine and hydroxylamine nucleophiles, there were also very few analogous racemic transformations. One notable example is a silver-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination of a mono-substituted allene reported by Bates in 2008 (Scheme 3.1). In addition to silver, the authors also tried using gold(III) chloride as a catalyst for this transformation. They found that the reaction was "less clean" than when catalyzed by silver, and proposed that this was "perhaps due to the stronger Lewis acidity" of gold(III) chloride. Silver nitrate-catalyzed the desired transformation in excellent yield ( $98 \%$ ) and good diastereoselectivity ( $7: 1$ ). Isoxazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ was subsequently used in the total synthesis of the alkaloid sedamine.

Scheme 3.1. Silver-Catalyzed Hydroxylamine Hydroamination.


This silver-catalyzed reaction was applied to another similar substrate in 2009. ${ }^{12}$ In this report, a variety of gold(I), gold(III) and silver salts were screened for reactivity (Table 3.2). A combination of gold(III) chloride, calcium carbonate, and acetonitrile catalyzed the predicted cyclization, albeit with modest yield and diastereoselectivity (entry 1). ${ }^{13}$ The use of triphenylphosphinegold triflate did not improve the d.r. (entry 2). The authors posited that the decrease was due to "the lesser steric demand of linear gold(I)." This was an interesting
supposition due to the fact that simple silver salts, which are not particularly sterically demanding, catalyzed the reaction with greater diastereoselectivity (entries 3 and 4). Alternatively, the difference in selectivity could be explained by dissimilar reaction mechanisms. For example, phosphinegold(I) complexes generally activate C-C unsaturated bonds for antiaddition. ${ }^{14}$ In contrast, silver mediated hydroamination of allenes has been proposed to proceed by a syn-addition. ${ }^{15}$ Although the experimental evidence is circumstantial, it seems likely that a 6 -membered ring cyclic transition state controlled by silver could be responsible for the observed high diastereoselectivity. Nonetheless, the synthetic utility of this transformation was demonstrated by using isoxazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ in the formal total synthesis of porantheridine and its epimer.

Table 3.2. Gold- and Silver-Catalyzed Hydroxylamine Hydroamination.


Although Bates and coworkers had limited success with applying gold to their methodology, Krause recently reported the gold catalyzed synthesis of a diverse array of heterocycles via a similar method. ${ }^{3 a}$ The use of either gold(III) or gold(I) chloride as catalysts favored the formation of dihydro-1,2-oxazole 3.10a (Table 3.3, entries 1 and 2). Complete selectivity for 3.10a could be obtained by simply protecting the hydroxylamine as a Boc carbamate (eq 3.1). Conversely, phosphinegold(I) catalysts were used to reverse the product distribution in preference of 3.10b. For example, upon treatment with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ triphenylphosphinegold tetrafluoroborate, $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ was cyclized to form $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 b}$ with $69 \%$ yield and 79:21 d.r. (entry 3). The yield was improved to $81 \%$ by employing a di-tert-butylphosphite ligand (entry 4). Increasing the steric bulk of the ligand also had a beneficial effect on the diastereoselectivity (11.5:1).

Table 3.3. Gold-Catalyzed Dihydrooxazole and Dihydroisoxazole Formation.


| entry | catalyst | time (h) | 3.10a \% yield (d.r.) | 3.10b \% yield (d.r.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ | 2.5 | $49(>99: 1)$ | $15(89: 11)$ |
| 2 | AuCl | 2.5 | $47(>99: 1)$ | $19(87: 13)$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuBF}_{4}$ | 1.5 | 3 | $69(79: 21)$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | 1.5 | 3 | $81(94: 6)$ |



To complete the array of heterocycles, allene $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ was cyclized to exclusively form N hydroxypyrroline $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ (eq 3.2). It is possible that blocking the amine with a protecting group would force 6 -endo cyclization to take place. Unfortunately, the account was limited to hydroamination and did not record any attempts to execute the hydroalkoxylation of comparable substrates.


Even though Krause did not report the formation of the analogous hydroalkoxylation products, the enantioselective hydroalkoxylation of allenes is now well known. ${ }^{\text {2e, f }}$ While others have successfully utilized biarylphosphinegold(I) complexes, ${ }^{2 e}$ in our hands gold(I) species such as $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-\mathrm{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ did not produce favorable results with oxygen nucleophiles (Table 3.4, entry 1). As such, a team of researchers in our group approached this problem by means of an alternate strategy. ${ }^{2 f}$ Our previous investigation showed that counterions played a crucial role in the transference of chiral information. ${ }^{2 a}$ As such, a logical extension would be to
use the counterions as the source of chirality. The combination of an achiral bisphosphinegold(I) complex with a chiral counterion, $(R)$-TripAg, proved to be a remarkable catalyst for the enantioselective hydroalkoxylation of allenes. ${ }^{2 f}$ For instance, in DCM, this combination catalyzed the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ with $65 \%$ ee (entry 2 ). The enantioselectivity was amplified to $97 \%$ by simply changing the solvent to benzene (entry 3 ).

Table 3.4. Chiral Counterions Used For the Asymmetric Hydroalkoxylation of Allenes.

3.16


3.17

| entry | $\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{m o l} \% \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A u C l})_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m} \mathbf{m o l} \% \mathbf{A g X}$ | solvent | \% yield | \% ee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5-\mathrm{xylyl}-\mathrm{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | DCM | 68 | 0 |
| 2 | $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \operatorname{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-\mathrm{TriPAg}$ | DCM | 76 | 65 |
| 3 | $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \operatorname{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-\mathrm{TriPAg}$ | benzene | 90 | 97 |

The potential of this strategy was realized when chiral ligands and chiral counterions were used in conjunction. For substrates that were difficult to optimize, this option provided additional catalyst selection and often increased the observed enantioselectivity. As an example, carboxylic acids were challenging: when treated with a mixture of $\operatorname{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ and $(R)$ TripAg, $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ cyclized to form $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ with only $12 \%$ ee (Table 3.5 , entry 1 ). While a mis-matched ligand-counterion pair reduced the enantioselectivity even further (entry 2 ), the matched group produced the desired product with good enantioselectivity ( $82 \%$, entry 3 ).

Table 3.5. Chiral Counterion Mediated Asymmetric Addition of Carboxylic Acids to Allenes.


As detailed in Chapter 2, our group reported gold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate complexes as excellent catalysts for the enantioselective hydroamination of allenes. We theorized that in
addition to tosyl amines, gold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate complexes would perform as efficient catalysts for the enantioselective addition of hydroxylamines and hydrazines to allenes (eq 3.3). Moreover, we anticipated that the asymmetric hydroalkoxylation with hydroxylamines could be problematic, and would require the expanded flexibility of the chiral counterion strategy.


## Results

## Initial Optimization

We began our studies with a mono-Boc protected homo-allenic hydrazine 3.20, easily synthesized in four steps from the analagous homo-allenic alcohol. While unprotected amines are usually considered incompatible with cationic gold complexes, we hypothesized that the reduced Lewis basicity of the hydrazine would allow the use of an unprotected terminal amine. Upon treatment of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P(A u O P N B)_{2}$ in chloroform, the desired product (3.21) was formed, although in modest yield and low enantioselectivity (eq 3.4).


We theorized that adding a protecting group to the terminal nitrogen would constrain the transition state and thereby increase the observed enantioselectivity. Unfortunately, bis-Boc protected hydrazine $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ failed to cyclize at room temperature (Table 3.6, entry 1). Similar to our findings in the study of hydroamination with tosylamines, gentle heating in DCE or nitromethane restored quantitative conversion to $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ (entries 2 and 3). We were also pleased to find that $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ was obtained with good enantioselectivity in both solvents ( $70 \%$ and $73 \%$, respectively). Nitromethane was selected as the optimal solvent due to a slight increase in ee. A brief ligand screen revealed that $(R)-\mathrm{ClMeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}$ provided pyrazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ with the greatest enantioselectivity ( $78 \%$, entry 4). Gold complexes with other ligands, such as $(R)$-Segphos and ( $R$ )-Synphos, catalyzed the reaction with similar conversion, but slightly lower enantioselectivities ( $70 \%$ and $60 \%$, entries 5 and 6).

Table 3.6. Solvent and Ligand Optimization.

|  |  | mol\% L*(Au <br> M solvent, ter | $\frac{(B)_{2}}{15 \mathrm{~h}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | ligand | solvent | $\operatorname{temp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | \% conv | \% ee |
| 1 | (R)-3,5-xylyl-BINAP | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 23 | n.r. | -- |
| 2 | (R)-3,5-xylyl-BINAP | DCE | 50 | >98 | 70 |
| 3 | (R)-3,5-xylyl-BINAP | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | 50 | >98 | 73 |
| 4 | $(R)$-ClMeOBiPHEP | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | 50 | >98 | 78 |
| 5 | (R)-Segphos | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | 50 | >98 | 70 |
| 6 | (R)-Synphos | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | 50 | >98 | 60 |

The dramatic increase in enantioselectivity when dual protecting groups were utilized led us to theorize that sterically differentiating the protecting groups would be necessary to further improve the enantioselectivity. Indeed, utilizing a mesitylenesulfonyl (Mts) protecting group on the terminal nitrogen raised the observed enantioselectivity to $80 \%$ ee (Table 3.7, entry 1). A detailed examination of chiral ligands revealed that a variety of biarylphosphine ligands catalyzed the desired hydroamination with adequate ee. For example, ( $R$ )ClMeOBiPHEP $(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, \quad(R)-\mathrm{Synphos}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, \quad$ and $\quad(R)-\mathrm{MeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}$ performed similarly, yielding 3.25 with approximately $85 \%$ ee (entries 2-4). Augmenting the steric size of the aryl groups on the phosphine ligands resulted in a large amplification of enantioslectivity. For instance, while using ( $R$ )-DM-MeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}$ provided a modest increase ( $87 \%$ ee, entry 5), an even bulkier ligand, $(R)$-DTBM-MeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$ enhanced the enantioselectivity to $97 \%$ (entry 6). A similar trend was found in the Segphos family of ligands (entries 7 and 8). Ultimately, $(R)$-DTBM-Segphos was chosen as the optimal ligand, as its use yielded pyrazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ with $98 \%$ ee (entry 8 ).

Table 3.7. Ligand Optimization for Hydrazine Hydroamination.


Similar to hydroamination with hydrazines, we found that although unprotected hydroxylamine 3.26 c was transformed into isoxazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ c with excellent conversion ( $>98 \%$ ), low enantioselectivity ( $10 \%$ ee) was observed (Table 3.8, entry 5). ${ }^{16}$ Fortunately, protection of the hydroxylamine resolved this issue. The cyclization of N-Boc-protected hydroxylamine 3.26a was catalyzed by $(R)$ - $\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}$, yielding the desired product in $80 \%$ conversion and $89 \%$ ee (entry 1). Adding a methyl substitutent to the ligand's aryl groups further increased the ee to $92 \%$ (entry 2). Upon treating hydroxylamine $\mathbf{3 . 2 6 a}$ with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$ -3,5-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$, isoxazolidine 3.27a was formed with quantitative conversion and $93 \%$ ee (entry 3). Strangely, another carbamate protecting group, CBz, significantly reduced the conversion to $8 \%$ (entry 4). Additionally, a polar, non-coordinating solvent such as nitromethane was effective, producing $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ a in $98 \%$ conversion and $87 \%$ ee. However, a non-polar solvent (benzene) and a coordinating solvent (dioxane) completely eliminated catalyst activity.

Table 3.8. Hydroxylamine Hydroamination Optimization.


| entry | $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{R}=$ | ligand | $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ | \% conv | \% ee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{a}$ | Boc | $(R)$-BINAP | $\mathbf{a}$ | 80 | 89 |
| 2 |  | Boc | $(R)$-tolyl-BINAP |  | $>98$ | 92 |
| 3 |  | Boc | $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP |  | $>98$ | 93 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{b}$ | Cbz | $(R)-3,5-x y l y l-B I N A P$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | 8 | -- |
| 5 | $\mathbf{c}$ | H | $(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP | $\mathbf{c}$ | $>98$ | 0 |

While gold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate complexes proved to be ineffective catalysts for the hydroalkoxylation of allenes (Table 3.9, entry 1), we hypothesized that employing a more noncoordinating counterion with a lower pKa would improve catalysis. A fellow graduate student, Aaron Lackner, synthesized chiral silver sulfonate $(S)-\operatorname{Ag}(\mathbf{3 . 3 1})$ in seven steps from ( $S$ )BINOL. ${ }^{17}$ Gratifyingly, upon treatment with $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ and $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S)-\mathrm{Ag}(\mathbf{3 . 3 1})$, isoxazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ was formed with quantitative conversion and $65 \%$ ee (entry 2). However, attempts to improve the enantioselectvity by matching the chiral counterion with chiral gold BINAP complexes were unsuccessful (entries 3 and 4). Both the matched and mismatched mixtures produced $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ with lower enantioselectivity ( $28 \%$ and $8 \%$ ee, respectively). Chiral silver phosphate $(S)$-AgTriP (3.30) proved to be the key to enhancing the enantioselectivity to $98 \%$ ee (entry 5).

Table 3.9. Hydroalkoxylation Optimization. ${ }^{a}$


(S)-AgTRIP (3.30)

Ar = 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl

(S) $-\mathrm{Ag}(3.31)$
$\mathrm{Ar}=3,5$-ditrifluoromethylphenyl
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: 0.1 M in toluene, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC. ${ }^{d}$ Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## Reaction Scope: Hydroamination

We next sought to test the substrate scope of our optimized hydroamination conditions. Linear and cyclic alkyl substitutions were tolerated at the allene terminus in both the hydrazine and hydroxylamine hydroamination. ${ }^{16}$ For instance, methyl substituted substrates cyclized with excellent enantioselectivity ( $99 \%$ and $98 \%$, entries 1 and 4 , respectively). Cyclohexyl substituted allenes also reacted with high enantioselectivity (entries 3 and 6). Cyclopentyl substituted substrates $\mathbf{3 . 3 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ also provided pyrazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ and isoxazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}$ in good yield and slightly lower enantioselectivity ( $83 \%$ and $91 \%$, entries 2 and 5). Furthermore,
the formation of simple isoxazolidines was usually accomplished at room temeperature, but allenes with sterically challenging backbone substitutions needed gentle heating ( $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in a polar, non-coordinating solvent (nitromethane). While substitution at the allenic position (entry 8) gave enhanced enantioselectivity ( $99 \%$ ) with modest yield ( $73 \%$ ), the homo-allenic position showed the reverse trend: modest enantioselectivity (63\%) and excellent yield ( $94 \%$ ).

Table 3.10. Hydrazine and Hydroxylamine Hydroamination Scope.

| entry |  | subs | trate | con | ditio | ons ${ }^{\text {a }}$ product |  | \% yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\% \mathrm{ee}^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.24 \\ & 3.32 \\ & 3.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} \\ & \mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}- \\ & \mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}- \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.25 \\ & 3.42 \\ & 3.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 90 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 83 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.35 \\ & 3.36 \\ & 3.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} \\ & \mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}- \\ & \mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}- \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.44 \\ & 3.45 \\ & 3.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 98 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 91 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.37 \\ & 3.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R=M e ; R^{\prime}=H \\ & R=H ; R^{\prime}=M e \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 3.46 3.47 | 94 73 | 63 99 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.39 \\ & 3.40 \\ & 3.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-; \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}^{d} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.48 3.49 3.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 85 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | 89 89 89 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: A $=5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-DTBM-Segphos(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}, 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ $\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{B}=3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB $)_{2}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{C}=5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$ -DM-MeOBiPHEP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ in MeNO ${ }_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{D}=5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-3,5$-xylyl$\operatorname{BINAP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}, 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{5}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC. ${ }^{d} 36 \mathrm{~h}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

We also applied our hydroamination conditions to the formation of six-membered ring oxazine heterocycles (entries 9-11). Gentle heating in a polar non-coordinating solvent was required to produce oxazines in good yield (63-85\%). Substrates with backbone substitutions (entries 10 and 11) were higher yielding than those without substitutions, presumably due to a Thorpe-Ingold effect. Also, both linear and cyclic alkyl substitutions were tolerated at the allene terminus providing the heterocycles with $89 \%$ ee in all cases.


| $(R)$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB $)_{2}$ | 3.52 a | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Boc}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ conv; 17\% ee |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3.52 b | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{> 9 8 \%}$ conv; $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ ee |
| $(R)$-MeOBIPHEP(AuOPNB $)_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ yield; $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ ee |

The advantage of the increased nucleophilicity of hydroxylamines was demonstrated in the cyclization onto tetrasubstituted allenes. ${ }^{16}$ Nucleophilic additions to tetrasubstituted allenes is challenging; only a handful of substrates have been reported. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}, 18}$ While the use of a protecting group was normally beneficial to enantioselectivity (vide supra), in the case of addition to sterically encumbered substrates such protecting groups were detrimental to both the observed enantioselectivity and conversion (eq 3.5). Unprotected hydroxylamines, however, when treated with the same catalyst produced the desired product in quantitative conversion and $32 \% \mathrm{ee}$. Modifying the catalyst ligand to $(R)-\mathrm{MeOBiPHEP}$ further improved the enantioselectivity to $49 \%$.

## Reaction Scope: Hydroalkoxylation

We were pleased to find that chiral silver salts used with gold(I) complexes catalyze the hydroalkoxylation of $N$-linked hydroxylamines with good to excellent enantioselectivity. Both cyclic and linear alkyl substitutions at the allene terminus were well tolerated, yielding the corresponding isomeric vinyl-isoxazolidines in good yield and high enantiomeric excess (Table 3.11, entries 1 and 2). Formation of oxazines proved to be more challenging, with the gold(I)catalyzed reaction affording $\mathbf{3 . 5 8}$ in modest yield and $50 \%$ ee (entry 4). ${ }^{19}$ However, both the yield and enantioselectivity were greatly improved by combining a chiral ligand with the chiral silver salt ( $94 \%$ yield and $87 \%$ ee, entry 5). Additionally, while good diasteroselectivity was observed for substituted substrates (entry 3), the corresponding enantioselectivities favored the minor diasteromer.

Table 3.11. Hydroxylamine Hydroalkoxylation Reaction Scope. ${ }^{a}$

| entry |  |  | catalyst <br> M Toluene, 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{R}=$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\prime}=$ | $n=$ | catalyst | time (h) | product | \% yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% $\mathrm{ee}^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | 3.53 | Me | H | 1 | A | 18 | 3.56 | 99 | 98 |
| 2 | 3.28 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | H | 1 | A | 18 | 3.29 | 75 | 99 |
| 3 | 3.54 | Me | Me | 1 | A | 18 | 3.57 | $99{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 40/97 |
| 4 | 3.55 | Me | H | 2 | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {e }}$ | 60 | 3.58 | 66 | 20 |
| 5 |  | Me | H | 2 | B | 60 |  | 94 | 87 |
| 6 |  | Me | H | 2 | C | 60 |  | 36 | 45 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $\mathrm{A}=3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S)$-AgTriP, 0.1 M in toluene, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
$18 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{B}=3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S, S)$-DIPAMP $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S)$-AgTriP, 0.1 M in toluene, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{C}=$
$3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S, S)$-DIPAMP $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-AgTriP, 0.1 M in toluene, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $18 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC. ${ }^{d} 5: 1 \mathrm{dr} .{ }^{e} 60 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Substrate Functionalization

Finally, we also showed that the products of our methodology could be easily modified for futher use and transformed into unnatural amino acids. The Boc protecting group on these heterocycles can be easily deprotected using standard protocols allowing functionalization of the amine. Alternatively, the pendant alkene provided an additional handle for oxidative transformations. For instance, ozonolytic cleavage yielded $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ with $93 \%$ ee (Scheme 3.2). Furthermore, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond was reductively cleaved to yield the methyl ester of homo-serine. ${ }^{20}$ The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ was assigned by Boc deprotection of $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ and Cbz protection to form (S)-methyl-2-benzyloxycarbonyl-3-isoxazolidinecarboxylate. The absolute configurations of the remaining hydroamination products were assigned by analogy to 3.44.

Scheme 3.2. Synthesis of Unnatural Amino Acid Derivatives.


## Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a series of enantioselective gold(I)-catalyzed hydroaminations and hydroalkoxylations of allenes with hydroxylamines and hydrazines. While chiral biarylphosphinegold(I) are suitable catalysts for the enantioselective addition of nitrogen nucleophiles to allenes, the addition of oxygen nucleophiles requires the use of chiral anions. These complementary methods allow rapid access to chiral vinyl isoxolidines, oxazines, and differentially protected pyrazolidines. Studies on the mechanism of enantioinduction in these transformations are ongoing in our laboratories.

## Experimental

## General Information

Unless otherwise noted commercial materials were used without further purification. Dichloromethane (DCM), toluene, and nitromethane $\left(\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}\right)$ utilized in gold(I)-catalyzed reactions was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Company. Gold(I)-catalyzed reactions were conducted in two dram vials equipped with a magnetic stir bar, fitted with a threaded cap, and protected from ambient light. All other reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an inert $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ atmosphere with magnetic stirring and dried solvent. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under nitrogen. Silver $p$-nitrobenzoate was prepared according to the method of Rubottom. ${ }^{21}$ Chiral gold(I) chloride complexes and phosphinegold(I)-bis- $p$-nitrobenzoate complexes were prepared according to procedures previously described by our group. ${ }^{22,2 a}(S)$-AgTriP was prepared according to procedures previously described by our group. Allenic alcohols were prepared by the method described by Widenhoefer, ${ }^{23}$ and Landor. ${ }^{24}$ tert-Butyltriisopropylsilyloxycarbamate was prepared according to the method of Mioskowski. ${ }^{25}$ Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed using Merck silica gel 60 F254 TLC plates, and visualized by staining with $I_{2}$, UV, anisaldehyde, and/or permanganate. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck 60 silica gel (32$63 \mu \mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker AVB-400, AVQ-400, DRX-500, and AV-600 spectrometers and chemical shifts are reported in ppm, relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 7.26 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and 77.23 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), unless otherwise noted. Enantiomeric excess was determined on a Shimadzu VP Series Chiral HPLC, using the Chiral PAK AD-H, Chiral PAK OD-H, or Regis Technologies WHELK-O 1 columns, eluting with a flow-rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Mass spectral and analytical data were obtained via the Micro-Mass/Analytical Facility operated by the College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley.

## General Procedure for the Preparation of Hydrazine Substrates

To a solution of homo-allenic alcohol (1 equiv) and triethylamine (1.5 equiv) in DCM (1 M) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added methane sulfonyl chloride ( 1.2 equiv). The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min or until TLC showed complete conversion. The solution was poured onto a 1:1 mixture of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, and extracted with DCM (3 x). The combined organics were washed with a $1: 1$ mixture of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. To a suspension of NaH ( 1.1 equiv) in dry DMF ( 1 M ) was added a solution of N -(tertbutoxycarbonylamino)phthalimide ( 1.0 equiv) in dry DMF ( 1 M ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until gas evolution ceased. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before a solution of the crude mesylate in dry DMF ( 1 M ) was transfered via cannula. The resulting solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, before the mixture was quenched on sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organics were washed with water (4 x), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (0-5\% EtOAc/Hex). A
solution of the homo-allenic hydrazine in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.6 \mathrm{M})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with hydrazine ( 1 equiv, $60 \%$ in water). The solution was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h during which time a white precipitate formed. The mixture was filtered, washed with DCM (3 x), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. To solution of the crude hydrazine (1 equiv), and triethylamine ( 1.5 equiv) in DCM ( 0.5 M ) was added metisylene chloride ( 1.2 equiv) and dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( 0.1 equiv). The solution was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, extracted with DCM ( 3 x ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography yielded the desired hydrazine substrates.

tert-Butyl 2-(mesitylsulfonyl)-1-(5-methylhexa-3,4-dienyl)hydrazinecarboxylate 3.24. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford 3.24 as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a mixture of rotational isomers ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 6.93$ (s, 2H), $5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz): $\delta 202.6,142.9,140.9,131.7,123.4,95.4,85.1,82.0,27.67,27.66,25.6,25.0,23.4,20.9$, 20.5, 17.7 ppm . HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaS}\right]^{+}: m / z 431.1975$, found 431.1976.

tert-Butyl 1-(4-cyclopentylidenebut-3-enyl)-2-(mesitylsulfonyl) hydrazine carboxylate 3.32. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $2-8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford 3.32 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.54(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 199.2,142.9,140.9,131.7,102.8,85.0,82.0,31.4,27.66,27.61,27.4,26.1,23.4,20.9$ ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaS}\right]^{+}: m / z 457.2131$, found 457.2126 .

tert-Butyl 1-(4-cyclohexylidenebut-3-enyl)-2-(mesitylsulfonyl)hydrazine carboxylate $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $2-8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.57$ (br s, 2H), 2.64 (s, 6H), 2.33-2.22 (m, 6H), 2.13-2.03 (m, 4H), 1.53-1.46 (m, 6H), 1.20 (s, 9H)
ppm. ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz): $\delta$ 198.1, 142.9, 140.9, 131.7, 104.0, 87.7, 81.9, 31.1, 27.7, 27.0, 23.4, 20.9 ppm . HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaS}\right]^{+}: m / z 471.2288$, found 471.2287.

General Procedure for the Preparation of O-Linked Hydroxylamine Substrates ${ }^{4 a, 26}$

The corresponding alcohol was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and DEAD in THF ( 0.15 M in alcohol). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before $N$-hydroxyphthalimide was added in one portion. The solution turned dark orange immediately upon addition. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h , after which time, the reaction mixture was concentrated to afford a thick yellow oil. The crude oil was passed through a silica pad, eluting with 1:9 EtOAc:hexanes. The solution was concentrated and the crude product was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0$ equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and white precipitants were removed by filtration. Concentration of the organics afforded the deprotected hydroxylamine as a pale yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in $1: 4$ THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 1.5 equiv) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( 1.2 equiv) was added. The biphasic solution was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 5 h , before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated to provide the crude product.

tert-Butyl 5-methylhexa-3,4-dienyloxycarbamate 3.35. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 3 5}$ as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 202.4,156.9,95.6,84.5,81.6,76.0$, 28.2, 27.9, 20.6 ppm . HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa}\right]^{+}: m / z 250.1414$, found 250.1409 .

tert-Butyl 4-cyclopentylidenebut-3-enyloxycarbamate 3.36. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $1: 24 \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ hexanes) to yield $\mathbf{3 . 3 6}$ as a clear oil $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}$, $42 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 7.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ): $\delta 197.7$, $156.9,104.2,87.0,81.6,76.0,31.2,28.2,28.1,27.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z 253.1678$, found 253.1672.

tert-Butyl 4-cyclohexylidenebut-3-enyloxycarbamate 3.26. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $1: 24 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ :hexanes) to yield 3.26 as a clear oil ( $0.438 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$, $86 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 7.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz): $\delta 199.0,156.9,103.0,84.3,81.6,76.0,31.6,28.2,28.1,27.4,26.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 267.1834, found 267.1827.

tert-Butyl 2,2,5-trimethylhexa-3,4-dienyloxycarbamate 3.38. The crude oil was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with 1:24 EtOAc: hexanes to provide 3.38 as a clear oil ( 0.38 g , $1.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 7.16$ (br s, 1 H ), $4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.68(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 200.1,156.7$, 97.2, 96.7, 85.8, 81.5, 35.9, 28.2, 25.1, 20.7 ppm . HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 278.1727, found 278.1726.

tert-Butyl 2,6-dimethylhepta-4,5-dien-2-yloxycarbamate 3.37. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $3: 97 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ :hexanes) to yield 3.37 as a clear oil $(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$, $10 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 6.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ): $\delta 203.5$, $157.5,94.3,84.2,82.9,81.3,39.3,28.2,23.9,20.5 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 278.1727, found 278.1727.

tert-Butyl 5-cyclohexylidenepent-4-enyloxycarbamate 3.39. The crude oil following protection with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purified by column chromatography (1:24 EtOAc:hexanes) to yield $\mathbf{3 . 3 9}$ as a
clear oil ( $0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 7.10$ (br s, 1H), 4.99$4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.69(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.63-1.50 (m, 6H), $1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ): $\delta 198.3,176.0,156.9,103.1,96.7$, 87.9, 76.2, 31.7, 28.2, 27.4, 27.2, 26.1, 25.4 ppm . HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 304.1883, found 304.1890.

tert-Butyl 2,2,6-trimethylhepta-4,5-dienyloxycarbamate 3.41. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $1: 24$ EtOAc:hexanes) to yield 3.41 as a clear oil $(0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, $41 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 7.11$ (br s, 1H), 0.93 (s, 6H), $4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ): ठ 203.4, 157.0, 93.5, 85.1, 84.4, 81.4, 39.4, 34.8, 28.2, 24.1, 20.1 ppm . HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: m / z 292.1883$ found 292.1884.

tert-Butyl 5-cyclohexylidene-2,2-dimethylpent-4-enyloxycarbamate 3.40. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (1:49 EtOAc:hexanes) to yield $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ as a clear oil ( 0.866 g , $2.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ yield overall). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 7.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), 0.94 (s, 6H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ): $\delta 200.2,156.9,101.0,85.2,84.4,81.5,39.8,34.9$, 31.7, 28.3, 27.4, 26.2, 24.1 ppm . HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: m / z 332.2196$ found 332.2197 .

$\boldsymbol{O}$-(4-Cyclohexylidene-3-methylbut-3-enyl)hydroxylamine 3.51b. 2-(4-Cyclohexylidene-3-methylbut-3-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h , and white precipitants appear. The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (1:9 EtOAc:hexanes) to yield a 3.51b clear oil ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 5.36$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.20(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-$
1.49 (m, 6H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ): $\delta 195.5,102.1,93.2,74.4,33.0,32.0,27.8,26.3,20.1$
ppm. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: m / z .182 .1539$, found 182.1545 .

## General Procedure for the Preparation of N-Linked Hydroxylamine Substrates

To a solution of allenic or homo-allenic alcohol (1 equiv) and triethylamine ( 1.5 equiv) in DCM $(1 \mathrm{M})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added methane sulfonyl chloride ( 1.2 equiv). The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min or until TLC showed complete conversion. The solution was poured onto a $1: 1$ mixture of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organics were washed with a1:1 mixture of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. To a suspension of NaH ( 1.1 equiv) in dry DMF ( 1 M ) was added a solution of tertbutyltriisopropylsilyloxycarbamate in dry DMF $(1 \mathrm{M})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until gas evolution ceased. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before a solution of the crude mesylate in dry DMF ( 1 M ) was transfered via cannula. The resulting solution was stirred at 23 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, before the mixture was quenched on sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organics were washed with water ( 4 x ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ). A solution of the TIPS protected hydroxyl amine in THF $(0.6 \mathrm{M})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with TBAF ( 1 equiv, 1 M in THF). The solution was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h or until TLC showed complete conversion. The mixture was quenched on sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted with EtOAc (3 x), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography yielded the desired hydroxylamine substrates.

tert-Butyl hydroxy(5-methylhexa-3,4-dienyl)carbamate 3.53. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 5 3}$ as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 6.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 202.4,157.0,95.5,85.4$, 81.6, 49.9, 28.3, 26.9, 20.5 ppm . HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NLi}_{2}\right]^{+}: m / z 241.1841$, found 2241.1808.

tert-Butyl 4-cyclohexylidenebut-3-enyl(hydroxy)carbamate 3.28. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 2 8}$ as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ): $\delta 6.13-6.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.26(\mathrm{q}, J$
$=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta$ 199.0, 156.9, 103.0, 85.2, 81.7, 49.8, 31.6, 28.3, 27.4, 27.1, 26.1 ppm . HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NLi}_{2}\right]^{+}: m / z 281.2154$, found 281.2109.

tert-Butyl hydroxy(6-methylhepta-4,5-dienyl)carbamate 3.55. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$ as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{td}, J=6.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71$ (quintet, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 201.7,157.1,95.5,88.0,81.6,49.7,28.3,26.4,26.1,20.7 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NLi}_{2}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 255.1998, found 255.1956.

tert-Butyl hydroxy(6-methylhepta-4,5-dien-2-yl)carbamate 3.54. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 6.11$ (br s, 1H), 4.90-4.87 (m, 1H), 4.09-4.05 (m, 1H), $2.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.2$, $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 202.6,156.9,95.1,85.6,81.6,54.8,33.7,28.3,20.6$, 20.3, 17.0 ppm . HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NLi}_{2}\right]^{+}: m / z 254.1920$, found 254.1919.

General Procedure A for Au(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination

To a solution of allene (1 equiv) in the specified solvent was added the appropriate gold(I) complex ( $3-5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The resulting homogeneous mixture was protected from ambient light and left to stir at the indicated temperature $\left(23^{\circ}-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Upon completion, as judged by TLC analysis of the reaction mixture, the solution was loaded directly onto a silica gel column. Purification by column chromatography afforded the desired cyclized product.

tert-Butyl 2-(mesitylsulfonyl)-3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)pyrazolidine-1-carboxylate $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$. Following general procedure A, allene 3.24 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $(R)$-DTBM-Segphos(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$ $(3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-6 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ as a clear oil ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $98 \%$ ee): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 6.92$ (s, 2H), 5.13 (td, $J=8.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.6,8.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (ddd, $J=10.6,9.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{td}, J=6.0$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ): $\delta 156.8,142.9$, 142.1, 135.9, 131.4, 130.9, 123.5, 81.0, 56.8, 47.6, 33.5, 27.5, 25.6, 22.9, 20.9, 18.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaS}\right]^{+}: m / z 431.1975$, found 431.1978. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $99: 1$ Hex:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 20.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 26.8 min (minor): $98 \%$ ee.

tert-Butyl 3-(cyclopentylidenemethyl)-2-(mesitylsulfonyl)pyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 3.42. Following general procedure A, allene 3.32 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 115 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-DTBM-Segphos(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$ $(10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 5.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.380 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-7 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford 3.42 as a clear oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yeild, $90 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.10-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.8,9.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-$ $2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.24(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.66 (quintet, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.13 (s, 9H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ): $\delta 156.8,147.6,142.9$, $142.1,131.4,131.0,119.0,81.1,76.7,58.3,47.7,33.8,33.3,29.0,27.6,26.38,26.19,23.0,21.0$ ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaS}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 457.2131, found 457.2132. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $98: 2$ hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 18.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $24.9 \min$ (minor): $90 \%$ ee.

tert-Butyl 3-(cyclohexylidenemethyl)-2-(mesitylsulfonyl)pyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 3.43. Following general procedure A, allene $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 111 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-DTBM-Segphos(AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$ $(10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 5.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.375 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-9 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford 3.43 as a clear oil ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield, $97 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.23(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (ddd, $J=10.8$, $9.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ (dddd, $J=12.0,8.9,5.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.13$ (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz): $\delta 156.9,144.0,142.1,131.4,131.0,120.1,81.09,81.09,77.27$, $55.93,47.62,37.0,33.7,29.3,28.5,27.6,26.6,23.1,21.0$. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaS}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 471.2288, found 471.2282. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $98: 2$ hexanes:Ethanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 19.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 25.8 min (minor): $97 \%$ ee.

tert-Butyl 3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.44. Following general procedure A, allene $\mathbf{3 . 3 5}(159 \mathrm{mg}, 700 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-xylyl-BINAP $(A u O P N B)_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography ( $5-12.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ as a clear oil ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield, $93 \%$ ee): ${ }^{1} H$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 5.18$ (dd, $J=9.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{td}, J=8.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $11.8,7.9,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dtd}, J=12.4,8.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 157.3,134.0,125.2,81.6,68.7,57.1,36.1,28.2,25.6,17.9$ ppm. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 250.1414, found 250.1409. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $98: 2$ Hexanes:Ethanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 11.9 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 12.6 $\min$ (major): $93 \%$ ee.

## Determination of Absolute Stereochemistry



2-tert-Butyl 3-methyl isoxazolidine-2,3-dicarboxylate 3.59. To a solution of isoxazolidine $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of NaOH in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$, $3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M})$. The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ was bubbled through continuously. After approximately 10 min the initially pale yellow solution took on the characteristic blue color of ozone and a yellow precipitate was observed. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warmed to rt . The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $20-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ as a clear oil ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3$ mmol, $68 \%$ yield, $93 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 4.71$ (dd, $J=9.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.13 (td, $J=$ $7.9,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dddd}, J=12.3,9.3,7.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dtd}, J=12.5,7.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 171.2$, 156.0, 82.7, 68.4, 59.5, 52.6, 32.8, 28.1 ppm . HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 231.1107, found 231.1109. HPLC Chiralpak AS column (90:10 hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 8.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 9.4 min (major): $93 \%$ ee.

(S)-Methyl-2-benzyloxycarbonyl-3-isoxazolidinecarboxylate. Trifluoroacetic acid ( 0.25 mL ) was added to a solution of methyl ester $3.59(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min before concentrating to a yellow oil. The oily residue was dissolved in THF ( 0.2 mL ), then, water ( 0.2 mL ), and sodium carbonate ( 30 mg , 0.275 mmol 2.5 equiv) were added. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and benzyl chloroformate ( $20 \mathrm{uL}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , poured onto sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to yield an oily residue. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $25-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford the title compound as a clear oil ( 24 mg , $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ (m, 2H), 4.78 (dd, $J=$ $9.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dtd}, J=12.4,8.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz): $\delta 170.8,156.8,135.5$, $128.54,128.39,128.26,68.9,68.4,59.5,52.7,32.9 \mathrm{ppm} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-91.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (lit. -97.8 ( $\mathrm{c}=1.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Spectral data are consistent with previously published literature values. ${ }^{27}$

tert-Butyl 3-(cyclopentylidenemethyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.45. Following general procedure A, allene 3.36 ( $62.5 \mathrm{mg}, 247 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $(R)$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}(10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (3:97 EtOAc:hexanes) to yield $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}$ as a clear oil ( $61.0 \mathrm{mg}, 241 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 98 \%$ yield, $91 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 5.31(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.73(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.49-2.43 (m, 2H), 2.33-2.17 (m, 3H), 2.01-195 (m, 1H), 1.71-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s, 9H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ): $\delta 157.4,145.9,120.4,81.6,68.7,58.5,35.8,33.6,28.6$, 28.2, 26.3, 26.1 ppm . HPLC Regis Technologies WHELK-O1 ( $98: 2$ hexanes:isopropanol; 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 24.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 25.8 min (minor). HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 253.1678, found 253.1672.

tert-Butyl 3-(cyclohexylidenemethyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.27. Following general procedure A, 3.26 ( $53.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $S$ )-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}(8.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (1:24 EtOAc:hexanes) to yield a white solid ( 49.7 mg , $0.183 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ yield, $99 \%$ ee): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.93-4.87 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08($ sextet, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.74(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz): $\delta 157.4,141.8,122.2,81.7,68.7,56.3,36.8,36.6,29.0,28.3,28.3,27.7,26.7$ ppm; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 267.1834, found 267.1827; HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $99: 1$ hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 36.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 42.8 min (major): $99 \%$ ee. Results for other solvents are reported below:

| Solvent | Conversion | \%ee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | $>98 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Benzene | $0 \%$ | -- |
| Dioxane | $0 \%$ | -- |


tert-Butyl 4,4-dimethyl-3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.47. Following general procedure A, $3.38(30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 127 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-DM-MeOBIPHEP (AuOPNB) $)_{2}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.3$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (1:99 EtOAc:DCM) to yield white crystals ( $22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 73$ \% yield, $99 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ): $\delta 156.8,135.2,121.4,81.2,79.9,66.6,46.3,28.2$, 25.9, 24.6, 21.1, 18.0 ppm. HPLC Chiralpak OD-H (99:1 hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ 10.7 min (major), 13.2 min (minor). HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 278.1727, found 278.1726.

tert-Butyl 5,5-dimethyl-3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.46. Following general procedure A, $3.37(50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 196 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-DM-MeOBIPHEP (AuOPNB) $)_{2}(13.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $9.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.65 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (1:99 EtOAc:DCM) to yield a clear oil (46.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield, $63 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.89(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6$, 8.4 Hz ), $2.28(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.77-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.70,(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125MHz): $\delta 157.5,133.3,125.6,83.2,81.0,57.7$, 48.0, 28.3, 25.6, 25.4, 24.8, 17.9 ppm . HPLC Regis Technologies WHELK-O1 column (99:1 hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 20.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 27.8 min (minor). HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: m / z 278.1727$, found 278.1727.

tert-Butyl 3-(cyclohexylidenemethyl)morpholine-2-carboxylate 3.48. Following general procedure A, $3.39(50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 178 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-xylyl-BINAP $(A u O P N B)_{2}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography ( $1: 99 \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield white solids ( $31.3 \mathrm{mg}, 111 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 63 \%$ yield, $89 \%$ ee $).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-$ $1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz): $\delta 154.9,142.1,117.8,81.0,71.6,36.9$,
29.2, 28.5, 28.3, 27.8, 26.7, 20.4 ppm. HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (99:1 hexanes:isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 11.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 12.9 min (major): $89 \%$ ee. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z 281.1991$, found 281.1983.

tert-Butyl 3-(cyclohexylidenemethyl)-4,4-dimethylisoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.49. Following general procedure A, $3.40(35.4 \mathrm{mg}, 127 \mu \mathrm{~mol}),(R)$-DM-MeOBIPHEP (AuOPNB) ${ }_{2}$ $(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (1:99 EtOAc:DCM) to yield clear crystals ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield, $89 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 5.04$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.37 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.29-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz): $\delta 156.8,143.0,118.4,81.3,79.9,65.9,46.3,37.3,29.1,28.6$, 28.3, 28.1, 26.7, 24.7, 21.3 ppm. HPLC Chiralpak OD-H column (99:1 Hex:isopropanol; 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 18.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 20.2 min (major): $89 \%$ ee. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: m / z 318.2040$ found 318.2040.

tert-Butyl 5,5-dimethyl-3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)morpholine-2-carboxylate 3.50. Following general procedure A, $3.41(50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 162 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)$-xylyl-BINAP(AuOPNB) $)_{2}(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 8.9$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(0.53 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography ( $1: 99 \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield white solids ( 39.5 mg , $128 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 79 \%$ yield, $89 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ): $\delta 155.0,132.3$, 124.1, 81.1, 81.0, 52.7, 40.9, 29.6, 28.3, 27.5, 26.5, 25.5, 17.8 ppm. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $98: 2$ hexanes:ethanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 14.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 20.3 min (major): $89 \%$ ee; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: m / z 292.1883$ found 292.1884.


3-(Cyclohexylidenemethyl)-3-methylisoxazolidine 3.52b. Following general procedure A , 3.51b $(40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 221 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $(R)-\mathrm{MeO}-\operatorname{BIPHEP}(\mathrm{AuOPNB})_{2}(14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 11.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.74 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography (1:24 EtOAc:hexanes) to afford a clear oil ( $31.9 \mathrm{mg}, 176$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.83 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.35-2.23 (m, 2H), 2.23-2.11 (m, 2H), 2.11-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.45 $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ): 143.8, 124.8, 70.4, 64.1, 44.3, 37.5, 30.6, 28.7, 27.8, 26.4, 26.1 ppm . HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 182.1539, found 182.1545.

(3-(Cyclohexylidenemethyl)-3-methylisoxazolidin-2-yl) (4-nitrophenyl) methanone 3.60. 3-(Cyclohexylidenemethyl)-3-methylisoxazolidine ( $\mathbf{3 . 5 2 b}$ ) $(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 44.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 69.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 57.4$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature and the crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography (1:19 THF:hexanes) to afford a pale yellow solid ( $9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 27.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) $\delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.49-2.43 (m, 1H), 2.32-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.19-2.05 (m, 2H), $2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) $\delta 160.4,148.7,142.2,141.1,129.6,124.6,123.0,68.4,64.3,45.2,37.5$, 30.3, 29.6, 28.6, 27.5, 26.4 ppm. HPLC Chiralpak AD-H column (95:5 hexanes:isopropanol, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 21.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 29.4 min (major): $49 \%$ ee. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 331.1652$, found 331.1658 ppm .

## General Procedure B for Au(I)-Catalyzed Hydroalkoxylation

A solution of silver salt ( $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and the appropriate dinuclear gold catalyst ( $3-6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in toluene. The reaction mixture was protected from ambient light and allowed to stir in the dark for 30 min . A solution of allene ( 1 equiv) in toluene ( 0.1 M ) was added to the catalyst mixture. The
reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 h . Purification by column chromatography afforded the desired cyclized product.

tert-Butyl 5-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.56. Following general procedure $\mathrm{B}, \mathbf{3 . 5 3}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, dppm $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $(S)$ - $\operatorname{AgTriP}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 6$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ were combined and stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to afford 3.56 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz): $\delta 5.17-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta$ 158.0 , 140.3, 121.5, 81.5, 77.1, 47.8, 34.3, 28.2, 25.8, 18.4 ppm. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $98: 2$ hexanes:Ethanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 14.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 20.3 min (major): $98 \%$ ee; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa}\right]^{+}: m / z 250.1414$, found 250.1409.

tert-Butyl 5-(cyclohexylidenemethyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.29. Following general procedure B, $\mathbf{3 . 2 8}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $\mathrm{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and ( $S$ )-AgTriP ( $5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 6$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) were combined and stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5-8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford 3.29 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.6,8.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.56 (td, $J=10.1,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{dtd}, J=11.9,9.0,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.48$ (s, 9H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ): $\delta 158.0,148.0,118.1$, $81.5,76.3,47.8,37.0,34.7,29.5,28.2$ (2), 27.7, 26.5 ppm . HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $96: 4$ Hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 10.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 13.6 min (minor): $99 \%$ ee. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 290.1724$, found 290.1727.

tert-Butyl 6-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)morpholine-2-carboxylate 3.58. Following general procedure B, $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}(30 \mathrm{mg}$, $238 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, ( $S, S$ )-DiPAMP $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 7.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $(S)-\mathrm{AgTriP}$ ( $12.3 \mathrm{mg}, 14.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were combined and stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 5 8}$ as a clear oil
(28 mg, 93\% yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ): $\delta 5.09$ (dt, $J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.40 (td, $J=9.1,2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.48(\mathrm{~s}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz): $\delta 155.0,140.8,122.9,81.0,77.4,45.4,30.4$, 28.4, 25.7, 22.6, 18.8 ppm . HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $97: 3$ Hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 9.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 12.2 min (minor): $87 \%$ ee. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa}\right]^{+}: m / z 264.1565$, found 264.1570.

tert-Butyl 3-methyl-5-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)isoxazolidine-2-carboxylate 3.57. Following general procedure $\mathrm{B}, \mathbf{3 . 5 4}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $\mathrm{dppm}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $(S)$ - AgTriP $(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were combined and stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-4 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to afford 3.57 as a clear oil ( 24 mg , $99 \%$ yield, $40 \%$ ee). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ): $\delta 5.21$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.55-4.50 (m, 1H), 4.34$4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.1,8.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 160 MHz ): $\delta 156.6,138.6,122.4,81.1,76.2$, $55.0,42.0,28.3,25.8,21.1,18.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. HPLC Regis Technologies Whelk-O 1 column ( $98: 2$ hexanes:isopropanol; $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 16.9 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 21.8 min (major): $40 \%$ ee. HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa}\right]^{+}: m / z 264.1564$, found 264.1570.


1-(4-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)but-1-ynyl)cyclohexanol 3.61. To a solution of (but-3-ynyloxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 21.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 42 mL ) was added $11.9 \mathrm{~mL}(23.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solution was stirred for 60 min at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, a solution of cyclohexanone ( $2.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched by dropwise addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was washed with two portions of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL}$ each $)$. The organic layer was combined, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The oil was purified by column chromatography (1:15 EtOAc:hexanes) to afford $3.61\left(5.08 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%\right.$ yield) as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 3.72-3.68(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6), 2.45-2.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.69-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data matched the spectroscopic data reported in literature. ${ }^{28}$


1-(4-(Isopropyldimethylsilyloxy)but-1-ynyl)cyclohexyl pivalate 3.62. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 6 1}$ $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added LiHMDS $(1.78 \mathrm{~g}$, 10.6 mmol ) in one portion. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and pivaloyl chloride ( $1.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 $h$ and quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The oil was purified by chromatography (1:24 EtOAc:hexanes) to afford $\mathbf{3 . 6 2}$ $\left(3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 9.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%\right.$ yield) as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 3.72-3.67(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.46-2.41(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are consistent with literature data. ${ }^{28}$

tert-Butyl(4-cyclohexylidene-3-methylbut-3-enyloxy)dimethylsilane 3.63. A flame dried flask was charged with anhydrous $\mathrm{CuI}(3.43 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{LiBr}(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and THF ( 40 $\mathrm{mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeMgBr}(6.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred for 25 min and a solution of $3.62(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise over 5 min . The solution was warmed to room temperature over 4 h and quenched by the addition of 40 mL of $1: 4 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The mixture was extracted twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 mL each) and the organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography, eluting with hexanes to give $\mathbf{3 . 6 3}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ): $\delta 3.69-3.65$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16-2.12(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-$ $1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) $\delta 195.6,101.7,93.4,62.4$, $37.7,32.1,27.8,26.3,26.0,20.1,18.4,-5.3 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{Si}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 223.1518, found 223.1519.


2-(4-Cyclohexylidene-3-methylbut-3-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 3.65. A solution of $\mathbf{3 . 6 4}$ ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and TBAF ( $2.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 hr . Saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was
dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to yield 4-cyclohexylidene-3-methylbut-3-en-1-ol as a pale yellow oil ( 0.43 g ). The crude oil was redissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL ) and the solution was added to a prestirred mixture of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.766 \mathrm{~g}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DEAD $(1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before N hydroxyphthalimide ( $0.464 \mathrm{~g}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The solution became deep red immediately upon addition of hydroxyphthalimide but turned clear as it is stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated slowly under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was purified via flash chromatography (3:47 EtOAc:hexanes) afford (3.65) as a white solid ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield over 2 steps $).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 4.27(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.03-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz) $\delta 195.2,163.7,134.4,129.0,123.5,103.1,92.1,77.1,32.5,31.9,27.7,26.2$, 20.3 ppm . HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 312.1600$, found 312.1604.
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## Appendix 3A

Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR characterization data are included for compounds 3.24, 3.25, 3.28, 3.29, 3.32, 3.33, 3.35, 3.42-3.44, 3.53-3.59.

Copies of HPLC chromatographs are included for compounds $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}, \mathbf{3 . 2 9}, \mathbf{3 . 4 2 - 3} \mathbf{4 4}, 3.57-$ 3.59.
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### 3.42



### 3.42




### 3.43



| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
|  | 20.469 | 29776859 | 98.393 |
|  | 27.157 | 486388 | 1.607 |



| 2: $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 20.469 | 2142599 | 98.941 |
| 26.608 | 22930 | 1.059 |

### 3.43



| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 22.565 | 13150309 | 49.588 |
|  | 28.341 | 13368955 | 50.412 |



| $2: 250 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22.544 | 1229759 | 50.287 |
| 28.320 | 1215742 | 49.713 |

### 3.44



### 3.44




| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| 11.968 | 54402 | 3.058 |  |
|  | 12.571 | 1724371 | 96.942 |



| 2: $214 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| 11.973 | 487661 | 3.452 |  |
|  | 12.571 | 13639486 | 96.548 |

### 3.44




1: 230 nm, 4 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13.472 | 967163 | 51.293 |
| 14.368 | 918412 | 48.707 |



2: $214 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results
Retention Time Area Area Percent

| 13.467 | 7007712 | 50.479 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14.368 | 6874689 | 49.521 |



### 3.59

O-NBoc
$\overbrace{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}}$


| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| 8.123 | 71359 | 3.355 |  |
|  | 9.360 | 2055661 | 96.645 |



| $2: 214 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| 8.123 | 304957 | 3.495 |  |
|  | 9.360 | 8421287 | 96.505 |

3.59

O-NBoc
$\overbrace{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}}$

1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

Retention Time $\quad$ Area $\quad$ Area Percent | 49.885 |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 8.123 | 829975 | 50.115 |



| $2: 214 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 8.123 | 3430726 | 49.931 |
| 9.440 | 3440247 | 50.069 |

(S)-methyl-2-benzyloxycarbonyl-3-isoxazolidinecarboxylate





### 3.29



| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
|  | 10.491 | 22652804 | 99.549 |
|  | 13.595 | 102568 | 0.451 |



| $2: 214 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results  <br> Retention Time Area Area Percent |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10.507 | 71417480 | 99.222 |  |
|  | 13.595 | 560099 | 0.778 |

3.29


1: $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10.784 | 8096816 | 50.387 |
| 13.339 | 7972500 | 49.613 |




Area
Area Percent

| 10.779 | 34355496 | 48.052 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13.333 | 37140850 | 51.948 |

### 3.58



### 3.58



### 3.58



| 1: $230 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.440 | 718020 | 50.163 |
| 12.784 | 713359 | 49.837 |



| $2: 214 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| 9.440 | 7817904 | 50.008 |
| 12.784 | 7815389 | 49.992 |

### 3.57



### 3.57




亏大

1: 230 nm, 4 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16.955 | 2104327 | 29.651 |
| 21.813 | 4992741 | 70.349 |



2: $214 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16.960 | 4974519 | 30.139 |
| 21.813 | 11530464 | 69.861 |

### 3.57






| $2: 214 \mathrm{~nm},$4 nm Results <br> Retention Time | Area | Area Percent |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15.056 | 31490794 | 49.278 |  |
|  | 19.339 | 32413056 | 50.722 |

## Chapter 4

## Intramolecular Aminoauration of Unactivated Alkenes

A portion of this work has been accepted for publication (LaLonde, R. L.; Brenzovich, W. E.; Benitez, D.; Tkatchouk, K.; Kelley, K.; Goddard, W. A.; Toste, F. D. "Alkylgold Complexes by the Intramolecular Aminoauration of Unactivated Alkenes" Chem. Science 2010, DOI: $101.1039 / \mathrm{c} 0 \mathrm{sc} 00255 \mathrm{k}$ ), but has been described here in greater detail. ${ }^{1}$

[^4]
## Introduction

Metal-catalyzed alkene hydroamination constitutes a vast area of research, which spans the periodic table, from lanthanides to early and late transition metals. ${ }^{1}$ The primary goal in this field is clear: a general, practical method to install nitrogen on simple alkenes. The generation of an enantioselective process is an ancillary objective. The breadth of this field is a testament to the difficulty in achieving these goals. In general, there are two mechanistic paradigms (Scheme 4.1). The first, which is usually favored by lanthanide ${ }^{2}$ and group IV early metals, ${ }^{3}$ involves the formation of amido- or imidometal complexes, respectively. After migratory insertion, an alkylmetal intermediate is generated. Although these reaction systems have been developed into enantioselective methods, ${ }^{4}$ the utility of these processes is greatly hindered by the extreme air and moisture sensitivity of the metals involved.


Scheme 4.1. Two Mechanistic Paradigms for Akene Hydroamination.

Late metals commonly proceed via an alternative mechanism, $\pi$-activation. The foundations to this area of research were laid over a century ago, with the formation of organomercurials using mercuric salts. ${ }^{5}$ It was subsequently discovered that platinum ${ }^{6}$ and palladium ${ }^{7}$ were capable of effecting related alkene addition reactions as well. Moreover, the reaction of alkene complexes with amine nucleophiles was shown to give rise to $\beta$-aminoalkylmercury, ${ }^{8}$-platinum ${ }^{9}$ and -palladium ${ }^{10}$ complexes. These discoveries formed the basis for the development of late transition metal-catalyzed hydroamination reactions ${ }^{1}$ in which the catalyst may be regenerated from the alkylmetal intermediate by protonolysis of the alkylmetal bond.

While the $\pi$-activation paradigm has been proposed and studied in platinum- ${ }^{11}$ and palladium ${ }^{12}$-catalyzed hydroamination reactions of alkenes, the mechanism appears to vary in
different systems. For example, a recent report by Wolfe and co-workers provided evidence for initial formation of a palladium-amine complex followed by syn migratory insertion into the alkene. ${ }^{13}$ Although the authors spectroscopically observed an alkylpalladium intermediate, they did not report crystallographic evidence. In contrast, Michael and co-workers found that with less basic amines, carbamates and acetamides, fast and reversible $\pi$-complexation was followed by nucleophilic attack. ${ }^{14}$ In this case, protodemetallation was found to be rate limiting, and the crystal structure of an alkylpalladium intermediate was described.

Another common theme in metal catalyzed hydroamination is the mechanistic debate about the role of acid in these reactions. In particular, metal triflate catalysts, which could potentially generate triflic acid, have subjected to intense scrutiny. One of the best understood systems, a platinum-mediated hydroamination, was subjected to a thorough analysis. ${ }^{15}$ After this investigation, the mechanism of this transformation was accepted not as acid or metal catalyzed, but instead as a "metal-mediated proton transfer". This serves as a prime example of the complexity of differentiating between acid and metal catalyzed processes, since the true mechanism may lie somewhere in between.

## Gold Alkene Activation

Gold-catalyzed reactions that proceed through the activation of alkynes and allenes are now well-established, ${ }^{16}$ though there have been significantly fewer reports of related additions to alkenes. ${ }^{17}$ In addition, gold(I) has in the past been referred to as an 'alkynophilic' Lewis acid, which has added to the confusion about gold(I)-alkene activation. Although this term could be used to suggest that gold could not activate alkenes for nucleophilic attack, it has been made clear, in computational and crystallographic studies, that gold(I) complexes both alkynes and alkenes. In fact, computational studies demonstrated that a gold(I)-ethylene complex was stabilized $\sim 10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more relative to the corresponding gold(I)-ethyne species. ${ }^{18}$ In addition, a variety of gold( I )-alkene complexes have recently been isolated and analyzed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 4.1). ${ }^{19}$

4.3 PPrNHC
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$o$-biPh $=$


Figure 4.1. Gold(I)-Alkene Complexes.

Despite the experimental evidence for gold(I)-alkene complexes, the role of gold in alkene hydroamination remains unclear. The majority of the reported gold-catalyzed additions require elevated temperatures and extended reaction times; conditions under which the analogous Brønsted acid-catalyzed reactions also occur. ${ }^{15,20}$ Therefore, one may deduce that gold may not be involved in the catalytic cycle at all by comparing the accounts of gold(I) to the equivalent acid reactivity. For example, He reported an inter- and intramolecular alkene hydroamination which was initially believed to be gold(I)-catalyzed (Table 4.1). ${ }^{17 a}$ The reaction was found to be limited to sulfonaminde nucleophiles (entries 1 and 2), such as $o$-nitrosulfonyl (Ns) and $p$ toluenesulfonyl (Ts). In addition, deuterated cyclohexene 4.7 cyclized to form a single diastereomer (eq 4.1). The authors interpreted this result as support for anti-nucleophilic addition to the alkene. However, it must be emphasized that the stereochemical consequences of protodeauration are unknown. Although these results seemed promising, Hartwig showed that the exact same transformation could be catalyzed by triflic acid under practically identical conditions (eq 4.2). ${ }^{20 a}$ This information, coupled with the fact that acetamides exhibited no reactivity (entry 3 ), leads to the conclusion that the reactivity described by He was not gold(I)catalyzed.

Table 4.1. Intramolecular Hydroamination with Tosylamines.



| entry | $\mathbf{R}=$ | time $(\mathbf{h})$ | \% yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ts | 17 | 96 |
| 2 | Ns | 48 | 99 |
| 3 | Ac | 48 | 0 |



More examples of the parallel reactivity between gold and Brønsted acid were found in intermolecular hydroamination. The analogous intermolecular hydroamination was achieved with $85 \%$ yield (Table 4.2 , entry 1 ). ${ }^{17 a}$ In this case, the corresponding acid catalyzed reaction (eq 4.3 ) was reported to have an even higher yield ( $91 \%$ ) and lower catalyst loading ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). ${ }^{20 \mathrm{a}}$ Furthermore, Hartwig performed a competition experiment between cyclohexene and cyclooctene. A comparison of the resulting product distribution for acid catalysis and gold catalysis revealed minimal differences (eq 4.4).

Table 4.2. Ligand Effects on Microwave Assisted Intermolecular Hydroamination of Alkenes.



Nájera later combined microwave heating with ligand effects to affect the same transformation with reduced catalyst loading (Table 4.2). ${ }^{21}$ Lowering the amount of triphenylphosphinegold triflate also diminished the observed conversion to $58 \%$ (entry 2). Employing triphenylphosphite as a ligand generated the product with near perfect conversion (entry 3). The catalyst loading could be minimized ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) even further without significantly decreasing the conversion ( $94 \%$ ). Under comparable conditions, $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ triflic acid did not perform as an efficient catalyst. As such, when methods use extremely low catalyst loadings the role of acid in the reaction appears to be small, but measurable. However, the amount of gold is usually much larger, typically in the range of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.

Table 4.3. Thermal and Microwave-Assisted Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination. ${ }^{a}$
entry
${ }^{a}$ Thermal reaction conditions: $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Microwave reaction conditions: $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}, \mathrm{DCE}, 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Microwave irradiation was also found to be useful for reducing the extended reaction times required to cyclize sulfonamides (Table 4.3). ${ }^{17 \mathrm{~b}}$ For example, cyclization of $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ with thermal heating took 24 h , whereas with microwave heating to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction time was only 40 min (entry 2). The time needed to catalyze the formation of $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ was even more dramatically decreased from 72 h to 40 min . Although this report seemed to describe progress towards creating a more practical gold(I)-catalyzed hydroamination, some evidence suggests that this transformation was actually acid mediated. Under both thermal and microwave conditions, benzamide 4.17 reacted with poor yield ( $50 \%$ and $57 \%$ yield, respectively). In this case, a triflic acid mediated cyclization produced the desired product with near quantitative yield (eq 4.5). ${ }^{20 \mathrm{~b}}$

Table 4.4. Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes.



| entry | substrate | $\mathbf{R}=$ | ligand | temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | time $(\mathbf{h})$ | product | \% yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ | Cbz | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 100 | 24 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$ | 70 |
| 2 |  |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PhP}$ | 100 | 24 |  | 7 |
| 3 |  |  | $o-\mathrm{BiPh}$ | 100 | 24 |  | 98 |
| 4 |  |  | $o-\mathrm{BiPh}$ | 60 | 18 |  | 97 |
| 5 |  |  | iPrNHC | 23 | 18 |  | $20^{a}$ |
| 6 |  |  | iPrNHC | 45 | 15 |  | 96 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 3}$ | Ac | $o-\mathrm{BiPh}$ | 80 | 21 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 6}$ | 99 |
| 8 |  |  | iPrNHC | 23 | 18 |  | 83 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 4}$ |  | $o-\mathrm{BiPh}$ | 80 | 15 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 7}$ | 92 |
| 10 |  |  | iPrNHC | 23 | 18 |  | 96 |

${ }^{a} \%$ conversion.


A clear trend can be extracted from the previous examples: the addition of sulfonamides, often appear to be acid-catalyzed. On the other hand, the parallel acid-catalyzed transformations have not yet been illustrated for acetamides and carbamates. In a series of reports, Widenhoefer described the addition of carbamates, acetates and ureas to unactivated alkenes. ${ }^{22}$ Interestingly, the authors found that adding steric bulk to the catalyst significantly improved the observed yield. For example, triphenylphosphinegold triflate catalyzed the desired cyclization in $70 \%$ yield (Table 4.4, entry 1). The yield was increased to $98 \%$ by employing $o$-BiPhAuOTf (4.28) as the catalyst (entry 3 and 4 ). By comparing this result to the use $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PhPAuOTf}$ (entry 2), the authors deduced that sterics, rather than electronics were responsible for the enhanced reactivity. The sterically hindered complex $\mathbf{4 . 2 8}$ catalyzed the hydroamination of a variety of alkenyl carbamates, although high temperatures $\left(60-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and extended reaction times ( $18-68 \mathrm{~h}$ ) were required. In a subsequent paper, Widenhoefer extended the use of sterically encumbered ligands by employing an N -heterocyclic carbenegold(I) complex 4.29. To illustrate the enhanced
reactivity of complex 4.29 , the reaction temperature could be lowered to $23-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while maintaining reactivity. For example, both acetamide 4.23 and urea 4.24 cyclized in good yield ( $83 \%$ and $96 \%$, respectively) at room temperature.

To our knowledge, the gold(I)-catalyzed hydroamination systems described above have not been subjected to mechanistic analysis. We identified distinguishing between acid and metal catalyzed processes as a critical step in advancing gold(I)-catalyzed alkene hydroamination. Finding direct experimental evidence for the elementary step of gold-promoted nucleophilic addition to an alkene is necessary before the two processes can be distinguished. Although several vinylgold intermediates derived from the gold-promoted addition of nucleophiles to alkynes and allenes have been isolated and characterized, the analogous alkylgold complexes were unknown at the time of this work. ${ }^{23}$ In fact, relatively few of the analogous mercury, palladium and platinum complexes have been characterized by X-ray crystalography. ${ }^{14,} 24,25$ Furthermore, the isolation of such intermediates could enable the discovery of new reactivity. Finally, until the mechanism of this transformation is clear, efforts at creating enantioselective versions will be complicated. Herein we report the isolation and characterization of the first compounds derived from the gold-activated nucleophilic addition to an olefin.

## Results

## Synthesis and Isolation of Alkylgold Complexes

Mechanisms involving either the gold-promoted anti- or syn-addition of nucleophiles to $\pi$-bonds have been previously proposed (Scheme 4.2). Both of these pathways necessitate the intermediacy of an alkylgold species (4.30) that subsequently undergoes protodeauration to provide product and regenerate the gold catalyst. We reasoned that the addition of an exogenous base might prevent protodemetallation, allowing for the observation and isolation of intermediates like 4.30. However, previous reports have suggested that basic amines might "bind gold(I) and thus inhibit the addition step". ${ }^{17 \mathrm{a}}$





Scheme 4.2. Proposed Syn- and Anti- Addition Mechanisms for Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes.

Thus, we initially chose proton sponge, a hindered, non-nucleophilic base, and a gold(I) complex stabilized by a strongly coordinating $p$-nitrobenzoate counterion. We were pleased to find that under these conditions alkene $\mathbf{4 . 3 1}$ was converted to the desired alkylgold complex 4.32, as indicated by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, in modest yields (Table 4.5, entry 1). Replacing the starting gold complex with a gold-oxo trimer, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, resulted in near quantitative conversion of $\mathbf{4 . 3 1}$ to alkylgold $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ (entry 2). Surprisingly, even excess amounts of other less-
hindered amine bases (entries 3,4) were competent at sequestering protons without hindering the activity of the cationic gold complex. 2,6-Di-tert-butylpyridine, however, was not sufficiently basic, yielding only $33 \%$ of the desired alkylgold complex and $59 \%$ of the pyrrolidine resulting from hydroamination (entry 5). Ultimately, we identified triethylamine as the optimal base, as isolation of alkylgold complex $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ was simplified by performing an aqueous work up.

Table 4.5. Optimization of Alkylgold Formation. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | $\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathbf{A u X}$ | base | \% conv ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOPNB}^{b}$ | proton sponge | $\sim 10$ |
| 2 | $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | proton sponge | 100 |
| 3 | $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | DABCO | 92 |
| 4 | $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 98 |
| 5 | $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | 2,6-di- $t$-butylpyridine | $33(59)^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: To a solution of alkene (1 equiv) and base (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was added a molar equivalent of gold. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. ${ }^{c}$ Yield in parentheses is protodemetallated product.

Under these optimized reaction conditions, a variety of urea substrates underwent the intramolecular aminoauration reaction (Table 4.6). ${ }^{26}$ The conversions, as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, are generally high and the reported yields are reflective of difficulties in the purification step. 1,1-Disubstituted alkenes also proved to be competent substrates, forming tertiary amine products (entries 6, 7); however, 1,2-disubstituted olefins (eq 4.6) did not form the desired alkylgold complex in any appreciable amount, even at elevated temperatures. The aminoauration to produce piperidine 4.46 in $30 \%$ yield required an excess of gold and extended reaction time (entry 8). The conversion could be improved by increasing the reaction temperature, although purification was then complicated by the formation of intractable byproducts.

Table 4.6. Scope of Aminoauration with Urea Substrates. ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quiv }\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}^{2}\right.\right. \\ & 2 \text { equiv } \mathrm{NE} \\ & \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{JBF}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | substrate | $n=$ | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | time (h) | product | $\%$ yield $^{b}$ |
| 1 | 4.31 | 1 | $t$-Bu | Ph | H | 2 | 4.32 | 80 |
| 2 | 4.33 | 1 | Me | Ph | H | 2 | 4.40 | 59 |
| 3 | 4.34 | 1 | Et | Ph | H | 2 | 4.41 | 63 |
| 4 | 4.35 | 1 | Ph | Ph | H | 2 | 4.42 | 66 |
| 5 | 4.36 | 1 | Et | $-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10^{-}}$ | H | 2 | 4.43 | 49 |
| 6 | 4.37 | 1 | Me | Ph | Me | 14 | 4.44 | 60 |
| 7 | 4.38 | 1 | Et | Ph | Me | 14 | 4.45 | 40 |
| 8 | 4.39 | 2 | Me | Ph | H | 48 | 4.46 | $30^{c}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: To a solution of alkene ( 1 equiv) and base ( 2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was added 0.4 equiv of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ buffered with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. ${ }^{c}$ 1.0 equiv of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ used.


Previous reports of gold-catalyzed hydroamination reactions using carbamate nucleophiles generally required elevated temperatures $\left(60-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) . .^{17 \mathrm{~b}}$ Therefore, we were surprised to find that, even at room temperature, substrates protected as carbamates underwent significant conversion to the corresponding alkylgold complexes (Table 4.7). ${ }^{26}$ For example, Boc protected amine 4.51a cyclized equally as well as less sterically encumbered allyl carbamate 4.51c. Again, conversions were generally high, and the decrease in isolated yield was due to decomposition during purification. In addition to sterics, electronic did not affect the formation of the alkyl gold complexes. Trichloroethylcarbamate 4.52d was formed in similar conversion and yield.

Table 4.7. Scope of Aminoauration with Carbamates. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | $\mathbf{4 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ yield $^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 1 a}$ | $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 a}$ | $53 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 1 b}$ | Bn | $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 b}$ | $49 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 1 \mathrm { c }}$ | Allyl | $\mathbf{4 . 5 2}$ | $37 \%$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 1 d}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 d}$ | $43 \%$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 1 e}$ | Ph | $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 \mathrm { e }}$ | $69 \%$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: To a solution of alkene (1 equiv) and triethylamine (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was added 0.4 equiv of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4} \cdot{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield.

More electron-withdrawing protecting groups, such as tosylamide 4.53 and trifluoroacetamide 4.54, primarily lead to the formation of gold(I)amide complexes instead of cyclizing to form the alkylgold (eq 4.7). The synthesis of gold amides under similar conditions has been previously reported. ${ }^{27}$ This result indicated that for these protecting groups syn-addition intermediates were accessible under the reaction conditions.


We were curious to explore the reactivity of the gold(I)amide complexes, and thus devised an independent synthesis and isolation method (eq 4.8). Stoichiometric deprotonation with sodium hydride followed by treatment with triphenylphosphinegold chloride quantitatively formed the desired complexes. Purification was straightforward; sodium chloride was removed by filtration through celite, and after trituration, analytically pure samples were isolated. Once in solid form these complexes were bench stable. However, when in solution they are prone to decomposition in the presence of adventitious moisture. Therefore, we found preparation was easiest in the controlled environment of a glove box. In addition to gold(I)amides, we also applied this procedure to $\mathrm{N}-t$ BuUrea 4.31. Although we were able to generate a $1: 1$ mixture of gold(I)ureas 4.59a and 4.59b as indicated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, the complexes were unstable and we were not able to isolate an analytically pure sample.


We then treated the gold(I)amide complexes with cationic gold catalysts (Table 4.8). Interestingly, while $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ catalyzed the formation of $\mathbf{4 . 5 5}$ ( $40 \%$, entry 1 ), the use of a gold trimer complex resulted in no reaction (entry 2). A mixture of gold(I)ureas 4.59a and 4.59b also did not cyclize upon treatment with trimer (entry 4). We interpreted this result as evidence against the syn-addition pathway for urea protected substrates. We were surprised to find that when 4.58 was treated with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ zinc triflate, $\mathbf{4 . 5 6}$ was formed with nearly quantitative conversion (entry 3). We propose that this transformation could proceed via a transmetallation between zinc and gold, which would produce free cationic gold and a zinc amide. Subsequent gold alkene activation and addition of the zinc amide could produce the observed product. While detailed experimental evidence for the mechanism of this transformation is lacking, we have established that neither zinc triflate alone nor a $1: 1$ mixture of zinc triflate and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ catalyze the hydroamination of triflamide 4.56. In addition these results provided an interesting precedent for bimetallic hydroamination systems.

Table 4.8. Cyclization of Gold(I)-Amide and Gold(I)-Urea Complexes.


| entry |  | $\mathbf{R}=$ | catalyst | product | \% conv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 7}$ | Ts | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 5}$ | 40 |
| 2 |  |  | $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ |  | 0 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ | TFA | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 6}$ | 90 |
| 4 | $1: 1 \mathbf{4 . 5 9 a}: \mathbf{4 . 5 9 b}$ | $\mathrm{NHCONH} t \mathrm{Bu}$ | $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right.$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ | 0 |

With the recent reports of hydroaminations with secondary ammonium salts, we were interested in attempting the reaction with amines. We were intrigued to find that benzyl amine 4.60 reacted readily with the trinuclear gold-oxo complex to provide 4.61 (eq 4.9). ${ }^{28}$ Pyrrolidine

4.61 proved to be highly unstable, and we were therefore unable to successfully isolate and purify this alkylgold complex, though the diagnostic peaks were identified in both the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra. Interestingly, the alkylgold complex was formed even in the absence of an added base, as the amine is capable of sequestering the proton to prevent protodeauration. This suggests that catalytic hydroamination with amines was not necessarily precluded due to coordination of the gold to the amine as previously predicted, ${ }^{17 a}$ but rather by the prevention of efficient protodeauration of the $\beta$-aminoalkylgold complex by means of an internal base.

Table 4.9. Scope of Aminoauration with Arylphosphine Ligands. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | $\mathbf{A r}=$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2}$ | \% yield ${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $p-\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 a}$ | $79 \%$ |
| 2 | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 b}$ | $67 \%$ |
| 3 | $p-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 c}$ | $75 \%$ |
| 4 | $p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 d}$ | $72 \%$ |
| 5 | $o-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 e}$ | $73 \%$ |
| 6 | $p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 f}$ | $56 \%$ |

[^5]In order to determine the effect of the ligand on the formation of the alkylgold complex, we utilized several trinuclear gold-oxo complexes with various monophosphine ligands (Table 4.9). ${ }^{28}$ We were gratified to find that the reaction proceeded smoothly for a variety of electronrich and electron-poor phosphine ligands. Electron poor phosphines, such as the $\left(p-\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (entry 1) appear to enhance the formation of the gold complex, while the analogous reaction with the electron-rich $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (entry 5) failed to go to completion, providing only about $80 \%$ conversion by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.


Figure 4.2. ORTEP of alkylgold 4.32. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens (except H19A, H19B, and H20) and DME solvent molecule omitted for clarity.


Figure 4.3. ORTEP of alkylgold 4.46. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens (except H19A, H19B, and H20) and DME solvent molecule omitted for clarity.

Recrystalization of $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ from DME and diethyl ether provided crystals suitable for X-ray analysis (Figure 4.2). The structure displays the characteristic linear, two-coordinate, geometry
around gold (P-Au-C19 $176^{\circ}$ ). The phosphine-gold bond length of $2.29 \AA$ is typical for a phosphine gold(I) complex. ${ }^{29}$ The C19-Au bond length ( $2.07 \AA$ ) is comparable to that of $\mathrm{MeAuPPh}_{3}(2.12 \AA) .{ }^{30}$ The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Au}$ dihedral angle is an almost perfect antiperiplanar arrangement. The crystal structure of piperidine alkylgold complex, 4.46, displayed similar characteristics with a C-Au-P angle of $177^{\circ}$ and bond lengths of 2.08 , and $2.28 \AA$ for the C-Au and Au-P bonds, respectively (Figure 4.3).

## Mechanism of Aminoauration

As mentioned previously, activation of the alkene could lead to addition of a nucleophile either syn- or anti- to the forming gold-carbon bond. The stereochemical course of the aminoauration was examined experimentally through the use of deuterated olefins 4.63 and 4.64 (eq 4.10). ${ }^{31}$ On the basis of the dihedral angles in the X-ray crystal structure of alkyl gold 4.32, we predicted $J^{3}$ values of 1.2 , and 9.1 Hz , for H19A and H19B protons, respectively. Upon treatment with $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ under standard conditions, trans-deuterated alkene 4.63 cyclized to form exclusively the anti-addition product $\mathbf{4 . 6 5}$ (methylene proton, $J^{3}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). As expected, the cis-deuterated alkene 4.64 underwent aminoauration reaction to furnish $\mathbf{4 . 6 6}$ (methylene proton, $J^{3}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), confirming the anti-addition of the nucleophile relative to the activating gold.


Further mechanistic insight into the aminoauration reaction was gained through the use of a density functional theory (DFT) computational study ${ }^{32}$ employing the M06 functional. ${ }^{33}$ As shown in Scheme 4.3, we hypothesized that during the course of the reaction, the active gold species could bind to, and therefore activate, either the alkene (4.Y) or nitrogen nucleophile (4.X). We found that the gold preferentially coordinated to the olefin by $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to N for the urea $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, and $\Delta \mathrm{H}=5.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative the N for the carbamate $(\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{OMe})$. A series of relaxed coordinate scans found a single pathway for hydroamination: one which involves anti-addition of the nucleophile following activation of the alkene. For the case where the gold is bound to the nitrogen (4.X), calculations indicate that the metal shifts to bind the alkene, due to proximity. This leads to the same pathway on the potential energy surface as the Au-alkene complex (4.Y), indicating that a syn-addition pathway is not available for aminoauration.
a)


4.TSYA
4.9
8.9
4.A $-5.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

- $13.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


Scheme 4.3. DFT computed potential enthalpies $(\Delta \mathrm{H})$ at 298 K for key hydroamination intermediates and transition structures: $a$ ) in basic media, and $b$ ) in acidic media.

Having established the stereochemical course of the aminoauration reaction, we next investigated the role of the base. We found a concerted transition state for the simultaneous nucleophilic addition and deprotonation by an exogenous base (4.TSYA) with an activation enthalpy of $4.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the model urea and $8.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the model carbamate. Another step-wise process where aminoauration preceeds proton abstraction (4.TSYA ${ }^{\mathbf{H}}$ ) was also discovered, having similar energies to the concerted process. We established that the cationic (non-deprotonated) product of aminoauration (4.A ${ }^{\mathbf{H}}$ ) was a moderately unstable intermediate, where its stability may be determined by the electronic nature of $L$ and $R$.

Electron-withdrawing phosphine ligands and protecting groups (urea vs. carbamate) may lower the barrier for hydroamination and stabilize the intermediate. These two related mechanisms could be in competition depending on the electronic nature of L and R , the concentration, and the relative strength of the base. Our results predicted that for the carbamate ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$ ), the concerted pathway might be lower in energy, while for the urea $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ the step-wise pathway might be lower in energy. We believe that a key characteristic of this transformation is the difference in acidity of 4. $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{H}}$ before and after (or during) hydroamination.



Figure 4.4. Hammett plot for the ligand exchange reaction of $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ with $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ for the isosteric ligand set $\left(p-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$. Reactions were run at 0.1 M in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature for 12 hours.

During the course of our initial investigations, we discovered that the addition of a cationic gold species to the isolated alkylgold complex 4.32 leads to a rapid equilibrium of the gold species. ${ }^{28}$ Surprisingly, gold(I) chloride complexes were shown to participate in this exchange reaction as well (eq 4.11). When 4.32 was treated with a stoichiometric amount of ( $p$ $\left.\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$, the mixture rapidly equilibrated to a $1: 3.5$ mixture of $\mathbf{4 . 3 2 : 4 . 6 2 \mathrm { a }}$. The equilibrium with an electron-donating ligand (such as MeO ) favored the starting material. We found a linear relationship between the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}$ of the arylphosphine and the $\log \left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)$ of the exchange reaction with the isosteric ligand set $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ (Figure 4.4), with the alkylgold complex favoring the more electron-poor ligand. Interestingly, the more sterically encumbered ( $o$-tolyl $)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ligand favored the formation of the alkylgold complex, indicating a large steric component to the reaction as well. Attempts to utilize more electron rich ligands, such as NHCs and alkylphosphines, led to no significant exchange from 4.32.


Figure 4.5. Time Course of the Aminoauration Reaction with Various Arylphosphine Ligands.

We surmised that the more electrophilic gold(I)-complexes should also enhance the rate of cyclization. To explore this effect, we observed the course of the reaction for five electronically differentiated arylphosphine ligands (Figure 4.5). We noted a clear induction period in which the gold-oxo complex is presumably transformed into the active cationic species. This induction period was absent when an electron-withdrawn ligand was employed. For example, $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituted phosphine, showed little to no induction period and an enhanced rate, reaching completion in under 30 minutes. After the induction period, the rate of alkylgold formation for $\mathrm{PPh}_{3},-\mathrm{OMe}$, and $p$-tolyl substituted phosphines was similar, reaching equilibrium in 3-5 hours. In accord with our exchange experiments, the equilibrium concentrations of alkylgold complexes are greatest for electron withdrawn ligands ( $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) , although the sterically bulky o-Me also exhibits enhanced stability.





Figure 4.6. Comparison of aminoauration between p-substituted triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ species. a) enthalpic comparison and b) representative structures for $\left(\mathrm{p}^{-} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PAu}(\mathrm{I})$ aminoauration reaction.

Our calculations ${ }^{32}$ support the experimentally observed stabilization of the alkylgold complexes by electron poor ligands (Figure 4.6). More electron-donating phosphines will populate the 6 s orbital of the gold to a higher degree, thereby reducing the interaction with the substrate. ${ }^{34}$ This results in a less activated alkene and a higher barrier to the generation of $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}$ bound alkylgold(I) complex. In our calculations we found that the activation barrier for the cyclization with the electron-withdrawing phosphine $\left(p-\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ is $1.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. On the other hand, the barrier with the electron-donating $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ is predicted to be $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than for $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. Even though the differences in the barriers are close to the limit of the accuracy of the method, the trend is consistent with our experiments. Minor structural differences, especially in the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances, suggest a later transition state for the more electron-donating phosphine. This is a consequence of the $5 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$ configuration of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ and the relative population of the 6 s orbital in each of the complexes.

To help determine the origin of the increased rate with the more electron withdrawing phosphine, we performed a natural bond orbital (NBO) study on the charge distribution of the transition state 4.TSYA ${ }^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{35}$ We found that the charge on L for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ is +0.35 , while it was only +0.31 for $\left(p-\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$, consistent with the higher electronegativity of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituted ligand. Analogously, the charge on the substrate shows +0.40 for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ and +0.38 for $(p-$ $\left.\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$. The higher charge on the substrate for the electron withdrawing phosphine was consistent with the destabilization of the cyclized intermediate and its increased acidity.

## Protonation of Alkylgold Complexes

Protonation of an alkylgold(I) intermediate has been proposed in the mechanism of goldcatalyzed alkene hydroamination reactions. We were therefore surprised to find that upon treatment with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid, 4.32 and 4.52 e initially reverted to the starting alkenes 4.31 and 4.51 e , respectively (Table 4.10). ${ }^{36}$ Moreover, while the hydroamination product from 4.52e was formed on prolonged exposure to acid, the product from carbamate cyclization was not observed even after 18 h . A screen of various Brønsted and Lewis acids led almost exclusively to reversion of the alkylgold complex to the alkene precursor. As the crystal structures of the aminoauration products confirm a perfect anti-periplanar arrangement of the amine nucleophile to the gold, activation of the nitrogen by protonation would therefore allow for a facile E2 reaction to provide the starting olefin. Recent reports on the kinetics of protodeauration have indicated that the reaction can be surprisingly slow, ${ }^{37}$ allowing the elimination ample time to occur. For instance, reaction of a 1:1 mixture of alkylgold complex 4.32 and $\mathrm{PhAuPPh}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{TsOH}$, or BzOH showed that the rate of reversion of $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ to olefin 4.31 far exceeds protodeauration of $\mathrm{PhAuPPh}_{3}$ to form benzene.

Table 4.10. Treatment of Alkylgold Complexes with Acid. ${ }^{a}$


| entry | $\mathbf{R}$ | time (h) | \% alkylgold | \% alkene | \% pyrrolidine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{NH} t-\mathrm{Bu}(\mathbf{4 . 3 2})$ | 1 | 40 | 0 | 60 |
| 2 |  | 15 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{OPh}(\mathbf{4 . 5 2 e})$ | 1 | 79 | 0 | 19 |
| 4 |  | 15 | 64 | 0 | 33 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Yields determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. |  |  |  |  |  |

Our calculations ${ }^{32}$ showed that upon protonation of alkylgold(I) complex 4.A, complex 4. $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{H}}$ is formed, which is $4.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy than the gold-substrate complex $\mathbf{4 . Y}$, with virtually no barrier $\left(\sim 0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathbf{4 . A}^{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ to 4.TSYA $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $10.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with no barrier for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$ (Scheme 4.3). This is consistent with the apparent reversibility of the aminoauration reaction in which upon protonation of 4.A, starting material is observed initially. We then examined the protodeauration step; we find an internal barrier to protodeauration of $19.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $22.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$. We envision that the internal proton transfer could be operative, although external protodeauration may be possible from a weak acid that does not readily protonate 4.A. While it is difficult to draw conclusions on the validity of these alkylgold(I) complexes as intermediates in the reported alkene hydroamination reactions, these results are in accord with the hypothesis that the gold(I)-promoted addition of amines to
alkenes is reversible. Under catalytic conditions it is possible that the formation of an alkylgold complex merely serves to liberate an equivalent of Brønsted acid, which catalyzes the observed hydroamination reactions. ${ }^{38}$


Given our inability to deaurate the alkylgold complexes by protonation, we examined other means to functionalize the carbon-gold bond. ${ }^{39}$ In early studies with related metals, such as platinum, palladium, and mercury, it was demonstrated that the metal could be removed under reductive or hydrogenation conditions. ${ }^{10}$ No reaction was observed when alkylgold 4.32 was treated to hydrogenation conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}\right)$; however, treatment with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in THF lead to $27 \%$ of the protodeauration product after 12 hours with no indication of reversion to starting olefin. ${ }^{28}$ The conversions were improved through the use of a protic solvent, such as EtOH , which provided $81 \%$ yield of the purported hydroamination product (eq 4.12). Similar yields were observed for the fomation of $\mathbf{4 . 6 8}$ from alkylgold 4.51a. While reductive removal of the metal could be useful for stoichiometric reactions, it would be difficult to use in a catalytic sense, due to the formation of $\mathrm{Au}(0)$ clusters from the reduction of the gold, which prevents catalyst turnover.

## Transmetallation of Alkylgold Complexes

The work described above solved one mystery: alkene activation by gold(I) is not only possible, but facile. But importantly, due to the reversibility of aminoauration, the role of gold(I) in catalytic hydroamination remains ambiguous. It follows that the barrier to such a process is solely due to protodeauration. As such, we conceived of three possible ways to enhance the rate of protodemetallation. First, an electron donating ligand, such as an N-heterocyclic carbene, could be employed to increase the electron density on the alkylgold methylene carbon. Second, a modified protecting group could be designed to facilitate intramolecular proton transfer. And third, transmetallation could create a more electrophilic metal species. While the first two tactics were not particularly successful, the transmetallation strategy yielded some interesting results.

Table 4.11. Enhancing Protodemetallation with Metal Additives.


We began by treating alkylgold $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ with a variety of metal species (Table 4.11). While most salts produced no reaction, we noticed that some metal species first yielded the alkene, which was then slowly converted to pyrrolidine 4.67 (entries 1 and 4). We theorized that this reactivity was due to the proclivity of these compounds to generate acidic residue in the presence of atmospheric moisture. Zinc triflate (entry 12) immediately produced the desired protodemetallated product. The rapidity with which this process occurred supported our hypothesis of transmetallation followed by fast protodemetallation by adventitious water. We next attempted to observe the alkylzinc species by repeating the experiment under rigorously dry conditions. The reaction mixture, however, rapidly equilibrated to a mixture compounds. Upon quenching with methanol alkene $\mathbf{4 . 3 1}$ was re-isolated. We hypothesized that in the absence of an external proton source, the alkylzinc species eliminates to form an aminozinc complex. Although the initial attempts at creating a gold-zinc catalytic system were not successful, further work is clearly needed.


Table 4.12. Palladium Catalyzed Cross-Coupling with $\mathrm{MeAuPh}_{3}$.

${ }^{a}$ Conversion was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR vs. an internal standard.
In addition to simple protodemetallation, we were also interested in establishing a crosscoupling protocol. A similar method for the coupling of vinylgold(I) species was reported by Blum in 2009.40 However, the transition metal catalyzed cross-coupling of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized components remains challenging. ${ }^{41}$ Therefore, we embarked on our studies with the treatment of alkylgold 4.32 and $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ with various palladium species. Although transmetallation was verified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR studies, we were unable to isolate the palladium intermediate (eq 4.13). Efforts to force the palladium complex to reductively eliminate were also unsuccessful. Dr. William Brenzovich performed a detailed study of conditions for the palladium-catalyzed coupling of $\mathrm{MeAuPPh}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{I}$ (Table 4.12). However, application of these conditions to various alkylgold(I) complexes merely returned the starting alkene.

## Oxidation of Alkylgold Complexes

We also attempted to react alkylgold(I) complexes with various electrophiles. Treatment with aldehyde and anhydride reagents all produced no observable reaction. Because organogold(I) complexes have been reported to react with electrophilic halogen sources, ${ }^{42}$ we selected N-chlorosuccinimide as a potential electrophile. At first, a complex assortment of alkene and other compounds was observed due to acidic impurities. Buffering the reaction mixture with base and cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ simplified the mixture to a single component, which was distinctly not the expected alkylchloride. The product of the reaction was surprisingly stable to purification by silica gel chromatography. On the basis of NMR studies $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right.$, gCOSY) we tentatively identified the complex as 4.73. In addition to the expected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ coupling, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ coupling was clearly evident to both the succinimide and pyrrolidine moieties. As anticipated, broadband ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ decoupling simplified the coupled proton signals. Unfortunately structural confirmation by X-ray analysis was not possible due to our inability to crystallize this material.


## Conclusion and Future Directions

We have provided the first direct crystallographic evidence for electrophilic activation of alkenes for nucleophilic addition by isolation of the products of olefin aminoauration. A variety of protected amine nucleophiles proved competent, and the use of electron deficient ligands on the gold center accelerated the formation of the aminoauration product. In addition, we have provided the first experimental verification of an anti-addition mechanism for alkene aminoauration, which is supported by DFT calculations.

While these complexes potentially provide support for gold(I)-catalyzed hydroamination reactions, all attempts to complete the catalytic cycle by means of protodeauration of the purported hydroamination intermediates lead only to reversion to the starting alkenes. Furthermore, taking into account the high energy barrier calculated for protodeauration, the precise involvement of gold complexes in catalytic hydroamination reactions remains unclear.

As we have shown, the isolation of alkylgold(I) complexes provided a helpful tool for probing the fundamental chemistry of gold, the limits of which we are still exploring. For example, the oxidation potential for gold(I) species bearing intricate ligands is as of yet unexplored. The converse, reductive elimination, from gold(III) has been the subject of only cursory study. ${ }^{43}$ Finally, we hope that these complexes will enable the discovery of new dual metal catalytic activity.

## Experimental

## General Information

Unless otherwise noted commercial materials were used without further purification. Dichloromethane (DCM) and chloroform utilized in gold(I)-catalyzed reactions was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Company. Gold(I)-catalyzed reactions were conducted in two dram vials equipped with a magnetic stir bar, fitted with a threaded cap, and protected from ambient light. All other reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an inert $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ atmosphere with magnetic stirring and dried solvent. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under nitrogen. Phosphine gold(I) chloride complexes and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ complexes were prepared according to procedures previously described. ${ }^{44,45}$ Alkene substrates were prepared according to the methods of Widenhoefer. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}, 22 \mathrm{c}}$ Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed using Merck silica gel 60 F254 TLC plates, and visualized by staining with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, and UV. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck 60 silica gel ( $32-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) or MicroSolv Basic Alumina $(50-200 \mu \mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker AVB-400, AVQ-400, DRX-500, and AV-600 spectrometers and chemical shifts are reported in ppm, relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and 77.23 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), unless otherwise noted. Mass spectral and analytical data were obtained via the QB3/College of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility operated by the College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley.

## Synthesis of Urea and Carbamate Substrates



Urea 4.31. Amine ( $0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature, then tert-butyl isocyanate ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly and the solution was allowed to stir overnight. The reaction was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(0-10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes with $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH})$ to provide $\mathbf{4 . 3 1}$ $(0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a fluffy white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.43$ (ddt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.1,10.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.01-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2,0.6$ Hz ), $3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.2,145.7,134.0,128.2,128.1,126.3,118.4,50.3,50.2,47.0,41.9,29.4$ ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ON}_{2}\right]^{+}: m / z 337.2274$, found 337.2283.

Synthesis of trans-Deuterated Alkene 4.63


4.63 R = 98\% D; $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$
4.63: Mesyl chloride ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of (E)-prop-2-ene-1-ol ${ }^{46}(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $3.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in DCM $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min at which point TLC indicated complete reaction. The reaction mixture was poured onto sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} / \mathrm{Brine}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 3$ ), extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} /$ Brine ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 3$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to yield $4.24 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{E})$-prop-2-ene-1-methanesulfonate as a clear yellow oil. The crude oil was used without further purification. Diphenylacetonitrile ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7$ mmol ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was via cannula to a suspension of NaH ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was warmed to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred until gas evolution ceased ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The solution was re-cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and (E)-prop-2-ene-1-methanesulfonate ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution was warmed to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched on sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to yield a crude yellow oil $(2.8 \mathrm{~g})$. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 0-4 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex} ; 1 \% \mathrm{inc}$; collect at $2 \%$ ) to yield 2.1 g clear oil contaminated with $\sim 5 \%$ diphenylacetonitrile. The clear oil was dissolved in EtOAc ( 4 mL ) and diluted with hexanes ( 50 mL ) and allowed to recrystalize by slow evaporation. The crystals were collected by filtration and washed with cold hexanes to yield 4.74 as clear colorless crystals ( 1.5 $\mathrm{g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46$ $(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.28(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Deuterium was incorporated by the method of Seebach. ${ }^{47}$ To a solution of 4.74 ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{nBuLi}(315 \mathrm{uL}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The solution was quenched with MeOD ( 0.2 mL , from an ampule). The solution was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ), washed with water ( 15 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to yield 4.75 as a clear oil ( 185 mg , $0.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%, 98 \% \mathrm{D}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.73(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.23-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.74,131.67,128.85,127.94,121.96,120.14(\mathrm{t}, J=22 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 51.73 , 43.90. Compound 4.75 was reduced with LAH and treated with tert-butylisocyanate according to the methods of Widenhoefer ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}, 22 \mathrm{c}}$ to yield 4.63: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $5.43(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR

## Synthesis of cis-Deuterated Alkene 4.64



$4.64 R=H ; R^{\prime}=85 \% D$
4.64. Alkyne 4.76 ( $98 \% \mathrm{D}$ ) was prepared according to the methods of Chang. ${ }^{48}$ A solution of alkyne 4.76 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethylene diamine ( $30 \mathrm{uL}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was stirred rapidly under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ for 50 min . TLC showed complete conversion to a higher $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ spot. The reaction mixture was filtered thru celite, washed with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), concentrated in vacuo to yield 110 mg crude clear yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed alkene 4.77 with approximately $\sim 15 \% \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ isomerization: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The crude material was used without further purification to prepare 4.64 according to the methods of Widenhoefer: ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}, 22 \mathrm{c}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=}$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9$ Hz ), $3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.93$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 157.2,145.7,133.9,128.2,128.1,126.3,118.1(\mathrm{t}, J=22$ Hz ), 50.3, 50.2, 47.1, 41.8, 29.4 ppm ; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{DON}_{2}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 338.2337, found 338.2349.


Methyl Urea 4.33. Amine ( $0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methylmorpholine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 10 minutes to a solution of carbonyl diimidazole $(0.243 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After slowly warming to room temperature over 1 hour, the solution was recooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and methylamine ( 0.50 $\mathrm{mL}, 33 \%$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution warmed to room temperature
overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(15$ mL ), water ( 15 mL ), and brine ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then pentanes was added ( 30 mL ), giving a voluminous white precipitate, which was collected by suction filtration, washing with pentanes, then collected and dried under vacuum, providing 4.33 ( 0.2587 $\mathrm{g}, 88 \%$ yield) as a fluffy white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-$ 7.15 (m, 6H), 5.44 (ddt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.2,10.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.01-4.97$ (m, 2H), 4.18 (brs, 1H), 3.91 (brs, 1H), $3.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $2.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.7,145.6,134.0,128.3,128.1,126.5,118.5,50.4,47.2,41.8,27.2$ ppm; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 295.1810, found 295.1812.


Ethyl Urea 4.36. Amine ( $0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ) at room temperature, then ethyl isocyanate $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly and the solution was allowed to stir overnight. The reaction was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $10-14 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes with $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide $4.36(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as a fluffy white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-$ $1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{td}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.0,134.9$, $117.1,46.7,40.3,36.9,35.1,33.3,26.2,21.4,15.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ON}_{2}\right]^{+}$: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 224.1889$, found 224.1888.


Methyl Urea 4.37. Amine ( $0.251 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methylmorpholine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 10 minutes to a solution of carbonyl diimidazole ( $0.243 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After slowly warming to room temperature over 1 hour, the solution was recooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and methylamine $(0.50$ $\mathrm{mL}, 33 \%$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution was warmed to room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(15$ mL ), water ( 15 mL ), and brine ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then pentanes was added ( 30 mL ), giving a voluminous white precipitate, which was collected by suction filtration, washing with pentanes, then collected and dried under vacuum, providing 4.37 ( 0.2633 $\mathrm{g}, 85 \%$ yield) as a fluffy white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-$ $7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.7$,
146.1, 141.9, 128.2, 126.5, 116.3, 49.9, 46.7, 44.7, 27.1, 24.3 ppm ; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}: m / z 309.1967$, found 309.1966.


Ethyl Urea 4.34. Amine ( $0.241 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature, then ethyl isocyanate $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly and the solution was allowed to stir overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10$ mL ) and brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by crystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes to provide $4.34(0.2658 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as a fluffy white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ (s, 1H), 4.11 (brs, 1H), $3.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.10-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.05(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.9$, 146.1, 141.8, 128.2, 128.1, $126.5,116.2,49.9,46.7,44.8,35.3,24.3,15.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ON}_{2}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 323.2118 , found 323.2130 .


Ethyl Urea 4.39. To amine ( $0.616 \mathrm{~g}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 6.0 mL ) was added dropwise ethyl isocyanate $(0.193 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to giving an off-white solid. The residue was recrystallized in toluene yielding a voluminous white precipitate, which was collected under suction filtration and dried under vacuum, providing $4.39\left(0.2993 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%\right.$ yield) as a fluffy white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{ddt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.1,10.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(\mathrm{dq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.0,146.0,138.8,128.3$, $128.1,126.4,114.4,50.6,47.1,36.5,35.4,28.7,15.4 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (neat): $3329,1624,1495,1282$, $1141,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ON}_{2}\right]^{+}: m / z 323.2118$, found 323.2124 .


Allyl Carbamate 4.51c. Amine ( $0.593 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then allyl chloroformate $(0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75$ mmol ) was added dropwise and the solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to give 4.51c $(0.4223,52 \%$ ) as a colorless viscous oil that solidified upon standing: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.1,145.2$, 133.7, 132.9, 128.3, 128.0, 126.5, 118.7, 117.8, 65.6, 50.1, 47.6, 41.7 ppm ; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 322.1808, found 322.1802.


Phenyl Carbamate 4.51e. Amine ( $0.593 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then phenyl chloroformate ( 0.38 mL , 3.0 mmol ) was added dropwise and the solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to give 4.51e $(0.5262,59 \%)$ as an amorphous solid. At room temperature in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 4.51 \mathrm{e}$ exists as a $6: 1$ mixture of rotamers. Spectroscopic data is reported only for the major rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.55-5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $154.5,151.0,145.1,133.6,129.3,128.4,128.0,126.7,125.3,121.5,118.9,50.3,47.8,41.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: m / z 358.1808$, found 358.1802.

General Procedure for Cyclization of Urea Substrates to Pyrrolidines


Urea ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were combined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and let stir for five minutes before the addition of the gold trimer ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in one portion. After 12 hours, the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness. The residue was then suspended in EtOAc and filtered through a pad of basic alumina, then concentrated in vacuo. Alternatively, the crude reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform ( 20 mL ), washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to yield a crude foam.


Alkylgold 4.32. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (5\% EtOAc in toluene with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $2(80 \%)$ as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.8,146.8,146.4,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ $128.3,128.2,127.1,127.0,126.0,125.7,58.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 54.8,52.2,52.1,50.4,37.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 36.8, $29.8 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.4 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: m / z 795.2773$, found 795.2791.

4.65. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.49-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.72$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 1.64 (br s, 1H); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{DAuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: m / z 796.2836$, found 796.2856.

4.66. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.54$ (br s, 1H); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{DAuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 796.2836$, found 796.2853.

para-Trifluoromethyl Phosphine 4.62a: Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina ( $99: 1$ toluene/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 \mathrm { a }}$ (68\%) as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.41(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,6.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90-1.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.6,146.9,146.3,134.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{PP}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{PP}-13 \mathrm{C}}=44.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.7\left(\mathrm{qd}, J_{19 \mathrm{~F}-13 \mathrm{C}}=33.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4$, $128.3,127.0,126.8,126.2\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{19 \mathrm{~F}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.8,123.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{19 \mathrm{~F}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $273.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 57.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 54.9,52.1,52.0,50.5,33.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=93.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 29.8$ ppm; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{AuF}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 999.2400, found 999.2406.

para-Chlorophosphine 4.62b: Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (99:1 toluene/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 b}(67 \%)$ as a red foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $11.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.0,6.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.6,146.8,146.3,138.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.3$, (d, $J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=$ $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.5,\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4,128.2,127.0,126.8$, $126.2,125.8,58.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 54.8,52.1,52.0,50.4,37.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 29.8$ ppm; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 44.1 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{3}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 891.1604, found 891.1614.

para-Fluorophosphine 4.62c. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina ( $99: 1$ toluene/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford 4.62c (75\%) as a faint pink foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.49-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1$, $6.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 164.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{19 \mathrm{~F}-13 \mathrm{C}}=253.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 156.7,146.9,146.4,136.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=15.7\right.$, $\left.J_{19 \mathrm{~F}-13 \mathrm{C}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4,128.3,127.1,127.0,126.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=51.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.2,125.8,116.6$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{19 \mathrm{~F}-13 \mathrm{C}}=21.5, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 54.8,52.1,52.1,50.4,37.4(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=93.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $29.8 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 43.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OPF}_{3}\right]^{+}: m / z$ 849.2490, found 849.2496.

para-Methylphosphine 4.62d. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (99:1 toluene/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford 4.62d (72\%) as an off-white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.00-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.76$ (ddd, 1H, $J=12.4,8.4$, 3.8 Hz ), 1.64 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,8.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $156.9,146.9,146.5,141.2,\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=49.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.2,128.2,127.2,127.1,126.0,125.7,58.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}\right.$ $=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.76,52.24,52.11,50.37,36.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 29.8,21.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 160 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 43.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}$: m/z 837.3243, found 837.3258.

para-Methoxyphosphine 4.62f. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (9:1 pentanes/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 6 2 f}(56 \%)$ as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.9,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.69$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8,9.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.61 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.1,9.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 156.9,147.0,146.5,135.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $128.3,128.2,127.2,127.1,126.0,125.7,123.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=52.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 58.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.4,54.8,52.2,52.1,50.4,37.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 29.8 \mathrm{ppm}$;
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 42.2 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 885.3090, found 885.3097.

ortho-Methylphosphine 4.62e. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina ( $49: 1$ toluene/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford 4.62e (73\%) as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=10.7,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.89(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,5.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.0,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.70(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8,8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.8,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.8,146.8,146.4,143.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.2,128.2,127.9(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=45.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.1,127.0,126.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.0,125.7,58.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 54.5,52.1,51.9,50.3,33.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 29.7,23.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 34.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 837.3243$, found 837.3255 .


Alkylgold 4.40. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in toluene with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}(59 \%)$ as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.51(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.00 (ddd, 1H, $J=12.1,6.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.76 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,8.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.61 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0,8.7,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ~ p p m ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.9,146.8,146.3,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=50.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $131.0,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,128.2,127.1,126.9,126.0,125.7,58.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.2,52.2,52.0,37.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 27.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{NMR}\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 45.6 ppm ; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}^{+}: m / z 753.2309\right.$, found 753.2319.


Alkylgold 4.41. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (5\% EtOAc in toluene with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $4.41(63 \%)$ as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.53-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 11.5 Hz ), $3.38-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,6.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.79(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4,9.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.3,146.9,146.4,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.2$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,127.1$, $126.9,126.0,125.8,58.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.2,52.2,52.1,37.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 35.4$, $16.0 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}$: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 767.2466$, found 767.2474.


Alkylgold 4.42. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina ( $1 \%$ EtOAc in toluene with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $4.42(66 \%)$ as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.55-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.71(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35-4.31$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,5.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2$, 9.6 Hz ), $1.88\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,9.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $1.81(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,8.2,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.2,146.6,146.0,139.9,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=48.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.7,128.4,128.4$, $127.1,126.8,126.2,126.0,122.0,119.1,58.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.1,52.1,51.9,37.4\left(J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}\right.$ $=92.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}-\right.$ $\left.{ }_{39} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: m / z 815.2466$, found 815.2471.


Alkylgold 4.43. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (5\% EtOAc in
 $7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (d, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.6,9.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 157.6,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{13 \mathrm{C}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 40.4,37.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ 91.9 Hz ), $37.0,35.3,34.7,26.4,24.0,22.9,15.9 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}: m / z 683.2460$, found 683.2483 .


Alkylgold 4.44. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (5\% EtOAc in toluene! with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 4 4}(60 \%)$ as white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.53$ $-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $18.3,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.6,147.8$, $146.8,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,128.2,127.2,127.0$, 125.8, 125.7, $66.5,58.6,56.1,50.1,47.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 27.31 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{NMR}\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 45.4$ ppm; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: m / z 767.2466$, found 767.2443 .


Alkylgold 4.45. Purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (5\% EtOAc in toluene with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}(40 \%)$ as white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54$

- $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.4,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.44-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=12.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $156.9,147.9,146.8,134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,128.2,127.2,127.0,125.8,125.7,66.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 58.7,56.0,47.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 47.5,35.3,31.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 15.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 781.2617, found 781.2620.


Alkylgold 4.46. To a solution of ethyl urea $4.39(0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( 24 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Au}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ and stirred overnight. The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo, to yield a yellow foam. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on basic alumina (40:20:1 toluene/DCM/EtOAc with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $4.46\left(20 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%\right.$ yield) as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta$ $7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-$ $3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.3,3.42 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 157.4,148.6,145.9,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{3 \mid P-13 C}=\right.$ $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{3 I P-13 C}=47.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0,128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{3 I P-13 C}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0,128.0,128.0$, $126.7,125.75,125.4,46.6,45.4,35.6,30.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{3 I P-13 C}=93.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 30.4,30.4,29.5,15.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 45.4 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (neat): $3449,2092,1624.3,1496,1435,1272,1124$, 1027, $1011 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}: m / z 781.2617$, found 781.2625.

General Procedure for Cyclization of Carbamate and Sulfonyl Substrates


Protected amine ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were combined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and let stir for five minutes before the addition of the gold trimer $(40 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in one portion. After 12 hours, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5$ mL ). The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The
residue was then suspended in EtOAc and filtered through a pad of basic alumina, the concentrated in vacuo.


Alkylgold 4.52a. Purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient: 5\% - 10\% EtOAc in pentanes with $0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford 4.52a (53\%) as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a $3: 1$ mixture of rotamers in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, confirmed by heating to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where peaks coalesced to broad singlets. Major rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.01-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.89-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5$ Hz ), 3.07 (ddd, 1H, $J=12.1,6.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.91(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.5,8.4,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.44 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.3,8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 155.1,147.3,146.7$, $134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=46.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $130.5,128.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.2,128.2,127.2,127.1,125.7,125.6,77.4,59.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}\right.$ $=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.8,52.9,51.4,39.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 28.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31}$ P NMR $\left(240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298\right.$ K) $\delta 45.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; Minor rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ $-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $11.5,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.53-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 45.6$ ppm; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}: m / z 796.2626$, found 796.2613.


Alkylgold 4.52b. Purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient: 10\% - 20\% EtOAc in pentanes with $0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 b}(49 \%)$ as an off-white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a $1.5: 1$ mixture of rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, confirmed by heating to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ where peaks coalesced to broad singlets. Major rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77$ (dd, 1H, J = 11.5, 1.7 Hz), 4.52-4.43 (m, 1H), $3.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.9,9.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.87-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 155.5,146.8,146.1,137.8,134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=46.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9$, $128.4,128.3,128.3,127.9,127.3,127.1,126.8,126.1,125.9,66.2,60.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $55.8,52.7,51.0,37.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=92.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 45.8$ ppm; Minor rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.63(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.45,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$
(dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.08 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8,9.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.85-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 154.3,146.8,146.2,137.8,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=46.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.5,128.3$, $128.3,127.7,127.3,127.1,126.8,126.1,125.9,66.2,60.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.8,52.8,50.1$, $36.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 45.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 830.2476, found 830.2457.


Alkylgold 4.52c. Purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient: 10\% - 20\% EtOAc in pentanes with $0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford 4.52c (37\%) as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a $1.5: 1$ mixture of rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, confirmed by heating to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ where peaks coalesced to broad singlets. Major rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $17.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.08-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0$, $9.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.84-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 155.4,146.9$, $146.1,134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.8,132.0,131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=46.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{PP}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9,128.3,127.0,126.8,126.1,125.9,116.2,65.2,60.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.7,52.7,51.0,37.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $(240 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 45.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; Minor rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.29(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,9.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.84-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 154.1,146.9,146.3,143.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.9,132.0,131.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $130.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{PP}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9,128.3,127.0,126.8,126.1$, $125.9,116.7,65.1,60.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.8,52.9,50.1,37.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 45.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 780.2313, found 780.2300.


Alkylgold 4.52d. Purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient: 5\%-10\% EtOAc in pentanes with $0.5 \% \quad \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 d}(43 \%)$ as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a 1.3:1 mixture of rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, confirmed by heating to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ where peaks coalesced to broad singlets. Major rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.54-7.47$ (m, 9H), 7.45 -
7.39 (m, 6H), $7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10$ $-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 153.7,146.6,145.7,134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $130.9,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4,128.4,127.0,126.8,126.2,126.0,96.2,74.6,60.5(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.9,52.8,50.7,37.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{NMR}\left(240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $298 \mathrm{~K}) \delta 45.8 \mathrm{ppm}$. Minor rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.54-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.75$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.12-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,9.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.81 (dt, 1H, $J=12.2,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 152.1,146.5,145.7$, $134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.9,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $128.4,128.4,127.0,126.8,126.2,126.1,96.4,74.4,61.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.8,52.9,50.0$, $36.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 45.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 870.1151$, found 870.1131 .


Alkylgold 4.52e. Purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient: 10\% - 20\% EtOAc in pentanes with $0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 5 2 e}$ (69\%) as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a $2: 1$ mixture of rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, confirmed by heating to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ where peaks coalesced to broad singlets. Major rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.56-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.64-4.58$ (m, 1H), 3.82 (d, 1H, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.12 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,6.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06$ $-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta$ $153.9,152.1,146.7,145.9,134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4,128.4,127.1,126.8,126.2,126.0$, $124.6,121.9,60.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.8,52.7,50.8,37.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 45.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; Minor rotamer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298$ K) $\delta 7.56-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.83(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.64-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17-3.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 152.5,151.8,146.7,146.0$, $134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $130.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4,128.4,127.1,126.8,126.2,126.1$, $124.6,121.9,60.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 56.3,53.1,50.8,36.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=91.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 45.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 816.2325, found 816.2300.


Alkylgold 4.55. Purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentanes with $0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford $4.55(29 \%)$ as a white foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,6.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3$, $8.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.52 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8,10.1,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.8$, $145.6,141.7,139.1,134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=47.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{PP}-13 \mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.1,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,127.0,127.0,126.9,126.1,125.9,64.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.3,52.5,51.2,37.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=90.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $(240 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 45.34 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{PS}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 850.2203$, found 850.2177.

4.57. Gold Tosylamide 4.57 was independently synthesized by the following method: In the glove box, sodium hydride ( $1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added to a solution of tosylamide ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in THF ( 1 mL ). The solution was stirred until gas evolution ceased ( 30 min ). Triphenylphosphine gold chloride ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . The resulting white suspension was filtered through a glass microfilter fiber plug and concentrated in vacuo to yield 4.57 as an off-white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ): H NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ ) $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.55(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9.4,5.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ ): $\delta 147.0,143.0,139.5,135.2,134.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{3 \mid \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 131.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=60.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $128.38,128.35,127.5,126.9,125.3,116.3,55.6,50.5,41.6,20.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{8^{-}}$ THF) $\delta 31.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{M}+\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Au}^{+} \quad\left[\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{PS}\right]^{+}: m / z\right.$ 1308.2676, found 1308.2713.

4.58. Gold Amide $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ was independently synthesized by the following method: In the glove box, sodium hydride ( $1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added to a solution of amide ( 25 mg , $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in THF ( 1 mL ). The solution was stirred until gas evolution ceased (30
min ). Triphenylphosphine gold chloride ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . The resulting white suspension was filtered through a glass microfilter fiber plug and concentrated in vacuo to yield 4.58 as an off-white solid ( 45 mg , $76 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF) $\delta 7.56(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.50(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ ) $\delta 163.6$ (m) 147.5, 135.7, $134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{PP}-13 \mathrm{C}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6,128.90,128.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{31 \mathrm{P}-13 \mathrm{C}}\right.$ $=46.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 127.5, 125.4, 116.1, 54.5, 51.5, $41.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $240 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ ) $\delta 31.2 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{M}+\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Au}^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{AuNO}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ 1250.2411, found 1250.2434.
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## Appendix 4A

Data acquisition details for X-ray crystal structure of alkylgold complex 4.32


Figure 4A.1. ORTEP of Alkyl gold complex 4.32. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

## Experimental

## Data Collection

A yellow plate $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size was mounted on a Cryoloop with Paratone oil. Data were collected in a nitrogen gas stream at 123(2) K using phi and omega scans. Crystal-todetector distance was 60 mm and exposure time was 10 seconds per frame using a scan width of $0.3^{\circ}$. Data collection was $99.9 \%$ complete to $25.00^{\circ}$ in $\theta$. A total of 49189 reflections were collected covering the indices, $-10<=h<=10,-32<=k<=31,-20<=l<=20$. 7475 reflections were found to be symmetry independent, with an $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ of 0.0785 . Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a primitive, monoclinic lattice. The space group was found to be P2(1)/n (No. 14). The data were integrated using the Bruker SAINT software program and scaled using the SADABS software program. Solution by direct methods (SIR-2004) produced a complete heavy-atom phasing model consistent with the proposed structure. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares (SHELXL-97). All hydrogen atoms were placed using a riding model. Their positions were constrained relative to their parent atom using the appropriate HFIX command in SHELXL-97.

Crystal Data

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Au} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 884.81 |  |
| Temperature | $123(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2(1) / \mathrm{n}$ |  |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=8.8343(11) \AA$ | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=27.110(4) \AA$ | $\beta=92.118(2)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | $\mathrm{c}=17.039(2) \AA$ | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Z | $4078.1(9) \AA 3$ |  |
| Density (calculated) | 4 |  |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.441 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | $3.687 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |  |
|  | 1792 |  |


| Crystal size | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Crystal color/habit | yellow plate |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.41 to $25.37^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-10<=\mathrm{h}<=10,-32<=\mathrm{k}<=31,-20<=1<=20$ |
| Reflections collected | 49189 |
| Independent reflections | $7475[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0785]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9299 and 0.7094 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $7475 / 0 / 465$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.251 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0656, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1566$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0844, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1636$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 3.177 and $-1.607 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ |

Table 4A.1. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$

| atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $4631(10)$ | $2404(4)$ | $5088(5)$ | $16(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $4098(15)$ | $2880(5)$ | $5109(7)$ | $35(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $3507(16)$ | $3094(5)$ | $5772(8)$ | $44(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $3414(17)$ | $2820(6)$ | $6445(8)$ | $46(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $3915(16)$ | $2343(5)$ | $6468(7)$ | $42(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $4552(14)$ | $2133(4)$ | $5809(6)$ | $30(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $4956(13)$ | $1500(4)$ | $4203(6)$ | $24(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $3750(13)$ | $1328(4)$ | $3728(7)$ | $28(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $3417(15)$ | $829(5)$ | $3676(7)$ | $35(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $4347(16)$ | $494(5)$ | $4112(7)$ | $37(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $5517(14)$ | $661(4)$ | $4580(7)$ | $31(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $5846(13)$ | $1152(4)$ | $4626(7)$ | $28(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $7414(12)$ | $2193(4)$ | $4299(7)$ | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $8230(16)$ | $2118(5)$ | $3655(8)$ | $43(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $9751(16)$ | $2164(5)$ | $3674(8)$ | $45(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $10549(14)$ | $2285(4)$ | $4343(8)$ | $37(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $9797(15)$ | $2360(5)$ | $5026(7)$ | $38(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $8211(13)$ | $2319(5)$ | $5023(7)$ | $33(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $3344(13)$ | $2978(4)$ | $2246(6)$ | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $2910(14)$ | $3494(4)$ | $2560(6)$ | $28(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1638(14)$ | $3469(4)$ | $3147(7)$ | $29(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $814(12)$ | $3961(4)$ | $3080(6)$ | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $791(13)$ | $4023(5)$ | $2176(7)$ | $29(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $-818(13)$ | $3955(4)$ | $3364(6)$ | $26(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-1384(16)$ | $3574(5)$ | $3811(9)$ | $50(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-2866(18)$ | $3598(6)$ | $4054(11)$ | $65(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-3770(16)$ | $3992(6)$ | $3886(8)$ | $48(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-3231(17)$ | $4372(6)$ | $3453(8)$ | $50(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-1745(15)$ | $4358(5)$ | $3209(8)$ | $43(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C(30) | 1707(14) | 4363(4) | 3529(7) | 30(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(31) | 1772(17) | 4341(6) | 4336(8) | 49(4) |
| C(32) | 2555(19) | 4702(8) | 4774(10) | 72(6) |
| C(33) | 3232(18) | 5087(7) | 4410(11) | 63(5) |
| C(34) | 3172(16) | 5116(5) | 3608(10) | 52(4) |
| C(35) | 2410(15) | 4750(5) | 3166(8) | 42(3) |
| C(36) | 3103(13) | 4109(4) | 1457(6) | 26(3) |
| C(37) | 5656(14) | 4177(5) | 862(8) | 35(3) |
| C(38) | 5047(15) | 4157(6) | -2(8) | 44(4) |
| C(39) | 6070(19) | 4696(6) | 1120(10) | 59(4) |
| C(40) | 7071(13) | 3853(6) | 943(9) | 48(4) |
| C(41) | 3850(40) | 1471(14) | 1470(20) | 210(20) |
| C(42) | 5650(30) | 936(7) | 1868(14) | 85(6) |
| C(43) | 6940(30) | 824(8) | 2398(14) | 103(9) |
| C(44) | 9420(40) | 945(9) | 2718(16) | 155(14) |
| N(1) | 2263(10) | 3840(3) | 1945(5) | 24(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 4593(11) | 3957(4) | 1382(5) | 28(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 5367(3) | 2153(1) | 4217(2) | 21(1) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 4325(1) | 2566(1) | 3155(1) | 24(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2607(10) | 4480(3) | 1117(5) | 38(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 5260(20) | 1427(6) | 1870(11) | 125(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 8160(30) | 1035(6) | 2170(9) | 126(7) |

${ }^{\text {a. }} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

Table 4A.2. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| atom | $\mathbf{U}^{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $13(5)$ | $15(5)$ | $20(5)$ | $-4(4)$ | $8(4)$ | $3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $47(8)$ | $39(8)$ | $20(6)$ | $-2(5)$ | $14(5)$ | $22(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $54(9)$ | $36(8)$ | $42(8)$ | $-8(6)$ | $-5(7)$ | $18(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $54(9)$ | $53(9)$ | $31(7)$ | $-18(6)$ | $-4(6)$ | $10(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $52(8)$ | $55(9)$ | $18(6)$ | $1(6)$ | $1(6)$ | $2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $37(7)$ | $29(7)$ | $25(6)$ | $-3(5)$ | $0(5)$ | $8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $30(6)$ | $22(6)$ | $21(6)$ | $-1(4)$ | $3(5)$ | $5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $21(6)$ | $30(7)$ | $32(6)$ | $-2(5)$ | $-4(5)$ | $-1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $34(7)$ | $39(8)$ | $31(7)$ | $-5(5)$ | $3(6)$ | $-9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $54(9)$ | $22(7)$ | $36(7)$ | $-3(5)$ | $9(6)$ | $-6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $39(7)$ | $25(7)$ | $31(6)$ | $11(5)$ | $1(6)$ | $4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $28(6)$ | $23(6)$ | $32(6)$ | $-3(5)$ | $0(5)$ | $-4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $18(6)$ | $16(6)$ | $34(6)$ | $8(5)$ | $2(5)$ | $4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $38(8)$ | $55(9)$ | $37(7)$ | $4(6)$ | $-3(6)$ | $5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $49(9)$ | $48(9)$ | $40(8)$ | $-2(6)$ | $14(7)$ | $-1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $27(7)$ | $24(7)$ | $62(9)$ | $0(6)$ | $4(6)$ | $-3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $45(8)$ | $34(7)$ | $34(7)$ | $4(6)$ | $-1(6)$ | $-7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $25(6)$ | $37(8)$ | $36(7)$ | $-1(5)$ | $1(5)$ | $-2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $23(6)$ | $29(6)$ | $19(5)$ | $-5(4)$ | $8(5)$ | $4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $35(7)$ | $25(6)$ | $24(6)$ | $7(5)$ | $0(5)$ | $5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $32(7)$ | $28(7)$ | $27(6)$ | $1(5)$ | $3(5)$ | $1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $22(6)$ | $30(6)$ | $18(5)$ | $-3(4)$ | $3(4)$ | $3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $30(7)$ | $31(7)$ | $27(6)$ | $5(5)$ | $1(5)$ | $12(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $25(6)$ | $29(7)$ | $25(6)$ | $-6(5)$ | $3(5)$ | $4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $36(8)$ | $36(8)$ | $79(11)$ | $3(7)$ | $15(8)$ | $4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $46(10)$ | $34(9)$ | $118(16)$ | $1(9)$ | $35(10)$ | $-4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $37(8)$ | $61(10)$ | $48(9)$ | $-25(7)$ | $5(7)$ | $-9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $43(9)$ | $69(11)$ | $38(8)$ | $0(7)$ | $6(7)$ | $16(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $39(8)$ | $54(9)$ | $37(7)$ | $15(6)$ | $13(6)$ | $19(7)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $28(6)$ | $28(7)$ | $33(7)$ | $-3(5)$ | $-7(5)$ | $7(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $52(9)$ | $60(10)$ | $35(8)$ | $-4(7)$ | $-7(7)$ | $-3(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $54(11)$ | $120(17)$ | $43(9)$ | $-31(10)$ | $-13(8)$ | $-7(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $38(9)$ | $70(12)$ | $80(13)$ | $-45(10)$ | $-4(8)$ | $-9(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $41(9)$ | $34(8)$ | $79(12)$ | $-18(7)$ | $3(8)$ | $-5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $41(8)$ | $39(8)$ | $46(8)$ | $-11(6)$ | $-5(6)$ | $10(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $23(6)$ | $33(7)$ | $22(6)$ | $-2(5)$ | $-9(5)$ | $10(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $30(7)$ | $35(7)$ | $40(7)$ | $10(6)$ | $-2(6)$ | $1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $31(7)$ | $68(10)$ | $33(7)$ | $7(7)$ | $4(6)$ | $7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $56(10)$ | $45(9)$ | $77(12)$ | $10(8)$ | $2(9)$ | $-8(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $14(6)$ | $58(10)$ | $71(10)$ | $18(8)$ | $-10(6)$ | $-2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $140(30)$ | $270(50)$ | $210(40)$ | $-80(30)$ | $-130(30)$ | $60(30)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $106(17)$ | $55(12)$ | $96(16)$ | $17(12)$ | $30(13)$ | $1(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $160(30)$ | $57(13)$ | $98(17)$ | $9(12)$ | $73(19)$ | $-8(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $280(40)$ | $74(17)$ | $110(20)$ | $0(15)$ | $-50(20)$ | $60(20)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $25(5)$ | $27(5)$ | $20(5)$ | $4(4)$ | $-4(4)$ | $5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $24(5)$ | $31(6)$ | $29(5)$ | $8(4)$ | $-6(4)$ | $5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $24(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $43(5)$ | $37(5)$ | $35(5)$ | $11(4)$ | $1(4)$ | $15(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $137(16)$ | $91(12)$ | $147(16)$ | $35(11)$ | $19(13)$ | $-5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $210(20)$ | $94(12)$ | $77(11)$ | $23(9)$ | $12(12)$ | $-48(13)$ |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*}\right.$ $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ ]

Table 4A.3. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.375(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.435(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 119 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $1.778(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $121.9(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.389(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 119.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 119.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.371(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $119.6(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.368(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $119.8(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.395(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $118.7(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.395(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 120.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.408(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 120.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $1.807(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $109.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.385(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.417(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.359(18)$ | $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $102.4(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.363(16)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $114.4(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $112.4(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.351(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.438(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $1.812(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $105.7(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.348(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.359(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.6 |
| C |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.377(18)$ | $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $110.4(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.405(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $114.8(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $108.7(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $99.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.551(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $114.0(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $2.073(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $109.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $104.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.503(13)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.533(16)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 1 | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.521(16)$ | $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $118.0(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $119.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.533(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $122.7(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.538(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $119.4(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.549(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.459(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $121.8(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 119.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.385(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 119.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.388(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $119.6(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.389(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.36(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $119.6(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.36(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $121.4(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.392(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 119.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 119.3 |


| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $118.6(12)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.375(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $118.1(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.378(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $123.3(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.40(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $120.3(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.37(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $120.7(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.37(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.403(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $119.7(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.234(13)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $119.6(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.349(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.389(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.443(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $120.9(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.514(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 119.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.530(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 119.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.550(18)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $122.4(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $121.3(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $116.2(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $111.1(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $104.8(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $108.7(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $111.0(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $112.3(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $108.6(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.40(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9809 | $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9809 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(41 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.9809 | $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |


| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.38(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.46(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.30(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.44(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.88 | $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $2.293(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $115.5(9)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $121.1(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $123.3(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $123.5(12)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 118.3 | $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 118.3 | $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $119.4(13)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $113(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 120.3 | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 120.3 | $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $120.4(13)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.1(12)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $111(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 119.9 | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 119.9 | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $121.0(11)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 119.5 | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 119.5 | $\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $118.3(10)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $118.9(9)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $122.8(9)$ | $\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $121.2(11)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 119.4 | $\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 119.4 | $\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $118.4(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $119.9(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 120.8 | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $124.3(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 120.8 | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $110.1(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $120.4(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $125.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 117.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 117.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $121.1(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $107.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 119.5 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $107.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 119.5 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $105.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120.6(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $108.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 119.7 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $113.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 119.7 | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $113.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $118.2(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $176.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $119.3(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $107(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $122.5(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $111(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $121.9(13)$ |  |  |

Table 4A.4. Hydrogen coordinates ( x 104 ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( \mathbf { q q } )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 4137 | 3073 | 4644 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 3170 | 3427 | 5759 | 53 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 2999 | 2963 | 6898 | 56 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 3829 | 2154 | 6933 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 4938 | 1807 | 5838 | 36 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 3145 | 1557 | 3434 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 2586 | 715 | 3356 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 4151 | 150 | 4078 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 6115 | 433 | 4878 | 38 |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 6683 | 1260 | 4947 | 33 |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 7718 | 2030 | 3175 | 52 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 10279 | 2109 | 3206 | 54 |
| $\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 11619 | 2319 | 4339 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 10348 | 2439 | 5499 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 7677 | 2373 | 5489 | 39 |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4065 | 3014 | 1819 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 2428 | 2808 | 2031 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 3823 | 3650 | 2822 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 939 | 3193 | 3015 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 2061 | 3420 | 3688 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A})$ | -40 | 3829 | 1924 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 B)$ | 660 | 4374 | 2028 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{H}(25)$ | -763 | 3299 | 3949 | 60 |
| $\mathrm{H}(26)$ | -3257 | 3331 | 4346 | 78 |
| $\mathrm{H}(27)$ | -4772 | 4003 | 4068 | 58 |
| $\mathrm{H}(28)$ | -3864 | 4645 | 3320 | 60 |
| $\mathrm{H}(29)$ | -1359 | 4631 | 2929 | 52 |
| $\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 1282 | 4079 | 4597 | 59 |
| $\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 2616 | 4678 | 5330 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 3743 | 5335 | 4713 | 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 3643 | 5383 | 3351 | 62 |
| $\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 2380 | 4768 | 2609 | 51 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4932 | 3813 | -167 | 66 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 5763 | 4324 | -340 | 66 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 4063 | 4324 | -46 | 66 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5161 | 4903 | 1099 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 B)$ | 6820 | 4831 | 770 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{C})$ | 6497 | 4688 | 1659 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 7386 | 3824 | 1499 | 72 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 B)$ | 7890 | 4002 | 652 | 72 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 6844 | 3524 | 730 | 72 |
| $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3519 | 1816 | 1482 | 313 |
| $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~B})$ | 3108 | 1263 | 1725 | 313 |
| $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{C})$ | 3945 | 1366 | 924 | 313 |
| $\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5900 | 839 | 1328 | 102 |
| $\mathrm{H}(42 B)$ | 4770 | 738 | 2022 | 102 |
| $\mathrm{H}(43 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6720 | 938 | 2934 | 124 |
| $\mathrm{H}(43 B)$ | 7092 | 462 | 2418 | 124 |
| $\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{~A})$ | 9269 | 1131 | 3203 | 232 |
| $\mathrm{H}(44 B)$ | 10364 | 1053 | 2486 | 232 |
| $\mathrm{H}(44 \mathrm{C})$ | 9482 | 592 | 2838 | 232 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4914 | 3708 | 1675 | 34 |

## Appendix 4B

Data acquisition details for X-ray crystal structure of piperidine alkylgold complex 4.46


Figure 4B.1. ORTEP of Alkyl gold complex 4.46. Thermal ellipsoids shown at $50 \%$ probability. Hydrogens omitted for clarity.

## Experimental

## Data Collection

A colorless plate $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size was mounted on a Cryoloop with Paratone oil. Data were collected in a nitrogen gas stream at 139(2) K using phi and omega scans. Crystal-todetector distance was 60 mm and exposure time was 20 seconds per frame using a scan width of $1.0^{\circ}$. Data collection was $99.4 \%$ complete to $25.00^{\circ}$ in $\theta$. A total of 15093 reflections were collected covering the indices, $-11<=h<=11,-14<=k<=15,-18<=l<=17$. 6009 reflections were found to be symmetry independent, with an $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ of 0.0569 . Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a primitive, triclinic lattice. The space group was found to be P-1 (No. 2). The data were integrated using the Bruker SAINT software program and scaled using the SADABS software program. Solution by direct methods (SIR-97) produced a complete heavy-atom phasing model consistent with the proposed structure. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares (SHELXL-97). All hydrogen atoms were placed using a riding model. Their positions were constrained relative to their parent atom using the appropriate HFIX command in SHELXL-97.

Crystal Data

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{Au} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{OP}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 779.66 |  |
| Temperature | $139(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ | $\alpha=95.195(3)^{\circ}$. |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=9.2568(19) \AA$ | $\beta=105.262(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.331(3) \AA$ | $\gamma=101.577(3)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | $\mathrm{c}=15.174(3) \AA$ |  |
| Z | $1618.1(6) \AA \AA^{3}$ |  |
| Density (calculated) | 2 |  |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.600 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
|  | $4.630 \mathrm{~mm}-1$ |  |

F(000) 778

Crystal size
Crystal color/habit
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on F2
Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole
$0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
colorless plate
1.71 to $26.15^{\circ}$.
$-11<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-18<=1<=17$
15093
$6009[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0569]$
99.4 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.9131 and 0.6065

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
6009 / 0 / 398
0.999
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0418, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0858$
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0645, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0971$
1.372 and -2.131 e. $\AA^{-3}$

Table 4B.1. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$

| atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $8225(7)$ | $1157(6)$ | $-1669(5)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $6513(7)$ | $1012(5)$ | $-2144(4)$ | $31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $6077(7)$ | $505(5)$ | $-3165(4)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $6650(7)$ | $1327(5)$ | $-3746(5)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $6012(6)$ | $2391(5)$ | $-3675(4)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $6520(6)$ | $2874(5)$ | $-2645(4)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $6714(6)$ | $3271(5)$ | $-4212(4)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $7605(7)$ | $3049(6)$ | $-4763(5)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $8195(7)$ | $3851(6)$ | $-5239(5)$ | $40(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $7903(7)$ | $4891(6)$ | $-5155(5)$ | $38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $7027(7)$ | $5147(6)$ | $-4595(5)$ | $38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $6423(6)$ | $4330(5)$ | $-4134(4)$ | $30(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $4255(6)$ | $2108(5)$ | $-4102(4)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $3584(7)$ | $1454(5)$ | $-4961(4)$ | $31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2012(7)$ | $1170(6)$ | $-5368(5)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1070(7)$ | $1567(6)$ | $-4912(5)$ | $37(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1710(7)$ | $2225(6)$ | $-4078(5)$ | $38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $3287(6)$ | $2509(5)$ | $-3664(5)$ | $29(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $5368(6)$ | $2417(5)$ | $-1423(4)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $4375(8)$ | $2046(5)$ | $-127(5)$ | $38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $4205(8)$ | $1165(6)$ | $496(5)$ | $47(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $9201(6)$ | $3989(5)$ | $1446(4)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $8063(7)$ | $4288(6)$ | $775(5)$ | $31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $7586(7)$ | $5253(6)$ | $973(5)$ | $36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $8215(7)$ | $5920(6)$ | $1801(5)$ | $40(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $9344(7)$ | $5639(6)$ | $2471(5)$ | $42(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $9832(7)$ | $4674(6)$ | $2294(5)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $8947(6)$ | $1736(5)$ | $1887(5)$ | $31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $8204(8)$ | $2028(6)$ | $2508(5)$ | $40(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $7606(8)$ | $1244(6)$ | $2988(5)$ | $46(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $7773(7)$ | $172(6)$ | $2862(5)$ | $43(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $8504(7)$ | $-137(6)$ | $2236(6)$ | $47(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $9055(7)$ | $625(6)$ | $1736(5)$ | $40(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $11843(6)$ | $3056(5)$ | $1790(4)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $12530(7)$ | $2617(6)$ | $2545(5)$ | $36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $14108(7)$ | $2952(6)$ | $2945(5)$ | $40(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $14992(7)$ | $3718(6)$ | $2572(5)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $14317(7)$ | $4152(6)$ | $1820(5)$ | $37(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $12745(7)$ | $3817(6)$ | $1423(5)$ | $36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $5980(5)$ | $2050(4)$ | $-2089(3)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $5105(6)$ | $1711(4)$ | $-808(4)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $5018(5)$ | $3325(4)$ | $-1385(3)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $9775(2)$ | $2700(1)$ | $1215(1)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $9013(1)$ | $1923(1)$ | $-301(1)$ | $32(1)$ |

[^6]Table 4B.2. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| atom | $\mathbf{U}^{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $31(3)$ | $36(4)$ | $37(4)$ | $-2(3)$ | $4(3)$ | $18(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $50(4)$ | $27(4)$ | $28(4)$ | $7(3)$ | $20(3)$ | $25(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $38(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $29(4)$ | $4(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $16(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $33(3)$ | $29(4)$ | $29(4)$ | $1(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $15(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $31(3)$ | $22(3)$ | $25(4)$ | $9(3)$ | $8(3)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $22(3)$ | $27(4)$ | $29(4)$ | $11(3)$ | $8(3)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $21(3)$ | $32(4)$ | $23(4)$ | $4(3)$ | $1(3)$ | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $30(3)$ | $44(4)$ | $35(4)$ | $11(3)$ | $11(3)$ | $13(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $36(4)$ | $50(5)$ | $33(4)$ | $8(4)$ | $12(3)$ | $4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $33(4)$ | $38(4)$ | $37(5)$ | $10(4)$ | $6(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $37(4)$ | $33(4)$ | $39(5)$ | $11(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $29(3)$ | $31(4)$ | $27(4)$ | $9(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $30(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $25(4)$ | $6(3)$ | $10(3)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $30(3)$ | $37(4)$ | $26(4)$ | $6(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $35(4)$ | $34(4)$ | $30(4)$ | $0(3)$ | $4(3)$ | $4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $25(3)$ | $37(4)$ | $41(5)$ | $4(4)$ | $1(3)$ | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $33(3)$ | $42(4)$ | $47(5)$ | $11(4)$ | $13(3)$ | $21(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $31(3)$ | $29(4)$ | $31(4)$ | $4(3)$ | $10(3)$ | $14(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $27(3)$ | $25(4)$ | $25(4)$ | $4(3)$ | $8(3)$ | $9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $49(4)$ | $32(4)$ | $46(5)$ | $10(4)$ | $26(3)$ | $19(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $59(5)$ | $54(5)$ | $41(5)$ | $19(4)$ | $31(4)$ | $18(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $25(3)$ | $27(4)$ | $31(4)$ | $5(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $28(3)$ | $38(4)$ | $30(4)$ | $3(3)$ | $8(3)$ | $13(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $35(4)$ | $44(4)$ | $36(4)$ | $15(4)$ | $11(3)$ | $23(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $35(4)$ | $29(4)$ | $59(5)$ | $7(4)$ | $16(3)$ | $14(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $38(4)$ | $28(4)$ | $52(5)$ | $-10(4)$ | $9(3)$ | $2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $30(3)$ | $34(4)$ | $35(4)$ | $0(3)$ | $2(3)$ | $9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $19(3)$ | $32(4)$ | $37(4)$ | $7(3)$ | $-2(3)$ | $6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $49(4)$ | $26(4)$ | $49(5)$ | $5(3)$ | $19(4)$ | $12(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $51(4)$ | $43(5)$ | $48(5)$ | $6(4)$ | $25(4)$ | $7(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $34(4)$ | $39(4)$ | $57(5)$ | $17(4)$ | $13(3)$ | $6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $37(4)$ | $31(4)$ | $79(6)$ | $20(4)$ | $17(4)$ | $13(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $33(4)$ | $41(4)$ | $53(5)$ | $13(4)$ | $15(3)$ | $18(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $28(3)$ | $25(3)$ | $25(4)$ | $2(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $30(3)$ | $42(4)$ | $38(4)$ | $17(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $31(3)$ | $50(5)$ | $37(4)$ | $18(4)$ | $0(3)$ | $13(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $25(3)$ | $35(4)$ | $42(5)$ | $-3(3)$ | $5(3)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $37(4)$ | $28(4)$ | $54(5)$ | $5(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $36(4)$ | $40(4)$ | $40(4)$ | $23(4)$ | $15(3)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $30(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $20(3)$ | $4(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $44(3)$ | $25(3)$ | $30(3)$ | $7(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $54(3)$ | $27(3)$ | $47(3)$ | $11(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $24(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $18(1)$ |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:
$-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U^{12}\right]$

Table 4B.3. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.524(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $2.074(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.465(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $118.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.532(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $123.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $118.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.509(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $121.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 119.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 119.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.550(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $120.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.534(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.538(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.555(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.472(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $119.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.366(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $121.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.386(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 119.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.385(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 119.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $117.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.365(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $122.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $120.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.377(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $122.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 118.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.386(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 118.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $119.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 120.5 |
| Cl |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.385(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 120.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.385(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 119.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.380 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.380(9) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.356(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 119.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.386(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 120.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.227(7) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 122.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.366(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 117.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.367(7) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 110.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.448(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.514(8) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.99 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.98 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.386(9)$ | $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.394(8) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 1.808(6) | $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.384(9)$ | $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 118.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$-C(25) | $1.353(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 121.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 119.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.376(9) | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 119.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.383(9) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 121.3(6) |


| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 119.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.368(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 119.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.397(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $120.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $1.818(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.376(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $119.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.363(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.373(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $120.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.362(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $118.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $124.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.371(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $117.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.383(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $120.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $1.825(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.385(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $120.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.383(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.360(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $119.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.379(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $120.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39)$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $2.2770(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $116.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $120.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.2 | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.2 | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.2 | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $119.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.2 | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $124.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.4 | $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $116.6(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 114.0(5) | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 120.0(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 108.1(5) | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 111.8(5) | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 120 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 107.6 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 119.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 107.6 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 107.6 | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 112.4(5) | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 120.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 119.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 119.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 119.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.9 | $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 111.2(5) | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 120.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.4 | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39)$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.4 | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39)$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 125.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 117.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108 | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $115.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 113.0(5) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 118.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 106.0(4) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 105.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 110.8(5) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 103.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 109.1(5) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 105.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 107.2(4) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 114.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 110.7(5) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 110.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 111.7(5) | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 116.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.3 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 177.00(19) |

Table 4B.4. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 8813 | 1602 | -2026 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 8461 | 409 | -1698 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 5932 | 477 | -1831 | 37 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6516 | -159 | -3219 | 32 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 4943 | 251 | -3406 | 32 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6325 | 966 | -4399 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 7789 | 1541 | -3536 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 7658 | 3110 | -2426 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 6106 | 3543 | -2558 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 7824 | 2330 | -4821 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 8804 | 3677 | -5623 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 8304 | 5440 | -5484 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 6839 | 5874 | -4524 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 5798 | 4499 | -3759 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 4230 | 1191 | -5282 | 37 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 1584 | 708 | -5953 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(16)$ | -15 | 1382 | -5181 | 44 |
| $\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 1058 | 2498 | -3767 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 3704 | 2978 | -3082 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3347 | 2158 | -444 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20 B)$ | 5003 | 2766 | 251 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3626 | 444 | 119 | 70 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 B)$ | 3653 | 1385 | 926 | 70 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 5228 | 1096 | 846 | 70 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 7619 | 3835 | 187 | 38 |
| $\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 6801 | 5452 | 517 | 43 |
| $\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 7877 | 6582 | 1921 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 9787 | 6107 | 3053 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(27)$ | 10607 | 4480 | 2758 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 8099 | 2776 | 2609 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(30)$ | 7074 | 1451 | 3409 | 55 |
| $\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 7383 | -360 | 3208 | 52 |
| $\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 8626 | -883 | 2150 | 57 |
| $\mathrm{H}(33)$ | 9517 | 398 | 1283 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 11923 | 2082 | 2795 | 43 |
| $\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 14582 | 2657 | 3475 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 16076 | 3942 | 2842 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 14926 | 4683 | 1569 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39)$ | 12278 | 4112 | 891 | 44 |

## Appendix 4C

Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR characterization data are included for compounds 4.31, 4.36, 4.39, 4.63, 4.64.

Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR characterization data are included for compounds 4.32, 4.43, 4.46, 4.57, 4.58.
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## Chapter 5

## Gold(I)-Catalyzed Addition of Carbon Nucleophiles to Allenes

The nucleophilic addition of silyl enol ethers to allenes has been published (Staben, S. T.; Kennedy-Smith, J. J.; Huang, D.; Corkey, B. K.; LaLonde, R. L.; Toste, F. D. "Gold(I)Catalyzed Cyclizations of Silyl Enol Ethers: Application to the Synthesis of (+)-Lycopladine A" Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2006, 45, 5991-5994), and has been described here in greater detail. ${ }^{1}$ The remainder of the 5-endo-trig substrates and 5-endo/exo-trig reaction has not been previously reported.

[^8]
## Introduction

As discussed in the previous chapters, gold(I) has been used with great success to catalyze the formation of carbon-heteroatom bonds. However, before 2005, examples of gold(I)catalyzed carbon-carbon bond formation remained comparatively rare. Two such reactions were published by our group: gold(I)-catalyzed Conia-ene ${ }^{1}$ and 5 -endo-dig ${ }^{2}$ cycloisomerization of acetylenic dicarbonyl compounds (Scheme 5.1). The proposed mechanism for these reactions begins by complexation of the cationic gold(I) catalyst to the alkyne (Scheme 5.2). The enol tautomer of the $\beta$-ketoester then adds to the alkyne in either a 5 -exo-dig or a 5-endo-dig manner. Protonolysis of the resulting vinylgold(I) species releases the observed cyclopentenes and regenerates the gold(I)-catalyst. These transformations were some of the first demonstrations of the utility of gold(I)-catalysts to form quaternary centers under mild conditions.


Scheme 5.1. Gold(I)-Catalyzed Conia-Ene and 5-Endo-dig Cyclizations.





Scheme 5.2. Proposed Mechanisms of the Gold(I)-Catalyzed Conia-ene and 5-Endo-dig Cyclizations.
We were interested in expanding the scope of the addition of enolate nucleophiles to allenes. Our proposed 5-endo-trig cyclization would provide access to cyclopentene products complimentary to those formed in the Conia-ene (eq 5.1). In addition, allenes are especially attractive as electrophiles for these types of transformations; the inherent axial chirality of diand tri-substituted allenes offers the potential for chirality transfer. Furthermore, a variety of reaction manifolds could potentially be accessed by altering the tether length to the allene. At the time, the selectivity of such a reaction remained unexplored.


At the time of this work, a literature search revealed only two notable examples of a gold(I)-catalyzed addition of carbon nucleophiles to allenes. In 2006, Widenhoefer ${ }^{3}$ reported a 6-exo-trig hydroarylation of allenes (eq 5.2) catalyzed by gold(I). Zhang ${ }^{4}$ described a gold(I)catalyzed 3,3-rearrangement followed by a formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition to create fused 2,3indoline cyclobutanes (Scheme 5.3). In the proposed mechanism, the vinylgold(I) intermediate 5.4c is intramolecularly trapped by an iminium ion. This was especially notable due to the propensity of vinylgold(I) species to undergo protonolysis. However, neither of these methods has taken advantage of chirality transfer to create stereocenters.




92\% yield

5.5



Scheme 5.3. Proposed Mechanism for a Gold(I)-Catalyzed Indole Addition to Masked Allenes.

In contrast to gold, palladium, the most common metal used for the addition of stabilized nucleophiles to allenes, proceeds via either hydro- or carbopalladation. ${ }^{5}$ The nucleophile subsequently adds to the resultant $\pi$-allylpalladium species to produce the functionalized product. Relatively few metal mediated methods proceed via direct electrophilic activation of the allene for nucleophilic attack. ${ }^{6}$ One such example, shown in eq 5.3, employs tin tetrachloride to activate an allene for 5- or 6-exo cyclization. ${ }^{7}$ In the case of 5.7, the reaction was completely selective for the 6 -exo product (5.11). The 5 -endo product was not observed at all. Also, the allyltin intermediates $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ and $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ were not isolated, but instead were reacted in situ with iodine. While this method was an interesting example of a cyclization under mild conditions, it required stoichiometric use of a corrosive and toxic metal salt.


A catalytic amount of $n$-butyllithium was found to catalyze the cyclization of diester $\mathbf{5 . 1 2}$ (eq 5.4). ${ }^{8}$ Although this reaction proceeded with good yield ( $91 \%$ ), the desired product was obtained as a $4: 1$ ratio of cyclopentenes. Because these compounds are simply alkene isomers, they were difficult to separate using normal protocols, which limits the utility of this reaction. In addition, the practical application of $n$-butyllithium as a catalyst is severely curtailed by its sensitivity to moisture.


Tungsten was also reported as a competent catalyst for the 5- and 6-exo addition of silyl enol ethers to allenes (eq 5.5-7). ${ }^{9}$ Although in most cases $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ was a sufficient amount of catalyst, certain substrates required stoichiometric quantities of metal. For instance, the yield of $\mathbf{5 . 1 6}$ was increased from $74 \%$ to $83 \%$ by using 1 equivalent of tungsten (eq 5.5). Also, methyl substituted 5.17 cyclized well with catalytic amounts of metal (eq 5.6). However, the 6 -exo cyclization of $\mathbf{5 . 1 9}$ also needed a full equivalent of tungsten to achieve $84 \%$ yield of 5.20 (eq 5.7).


We hypothesized that gold(I) would provide complimentary reactivity to that which is achieved with other metals. In addition, the lack of methods using gold(I) for C-C bond formation provided additional motivation. The work reported herein describes our efforts using gold(I) to activate an allene for intramolecular addition of carbon nucleophiles. First, a gold(I)catalyzed 5-endo-trig reaction will be discussed. Second, we also discovered a gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endolexo-trig cyclization of substrates which contain two-carbon linkers between the pendant nucleophile and allene. Investigations into the mechanism of this cyclization are included, as well as attempts to isolate a proposed allylgold(I) intermediate.

## Results

## Gold(I)-Catalyzed 5-Endo-trig Cyclization

A gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endo-trig addition to an allene was proposed by Joshua KennedySmith ${ }^{10}$ as a key step in the synthesis of the natural product sieboldine $\mathrm{A}^{11}$ (Scheme 5.4). This novel tetracyclic alkaloid inhibits acetylcholinesterase ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 2.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and has been found to be cytotoxic to murine lymphoma L 1210 cells $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}\right) .{ }^{11}$ This compound was an interesting synthetic challenge due to a chiral quaternary center with two vicinal stereocenters. If a highly diastereoselective 5-endo-trig cyclization was realized, this strategy would allow facile access to a problematic chiral quaternary center. Kennedy-Smith found that efficient cyclization occurred when silyl enol ether $\mathbf{5 . 2 2}$ was treated with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuBF}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in a mixture of water and dichloromethane at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 5.4). Tetrafluoroborate was found to be the optimal counter-ion to slow competing hydrolysis, and water was a necessary co-solvent to aid deprotection after cyclization.

sieboldine A 5.21

5.22


72\% yield

5.23

Scheme 5.4. Sieboldine A and the Initial Gold(I) Catalyzed 5-Endo-trig Cyclization.

With this initial result in hand, we sought to explore the substrate scope of the allenyl cyclization. Silyl enol ether $5.24^{12}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuBF}_{4}, 10: 1 \mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ yielded a single diastereomer 5.25 in excellent yield (eq 5.8). Under the same conditions, however, cyclopentene silyl enol ether $\mathbf{5 . 1 5}$ hydrolyzed rapidly to the corresponding ketone. The use of 5 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuSbF}_{6}$ in chloroform for 15 hours minimized hydrolysis and produced 5,5-bicyclic ketone $\mathbf{5 . 1 6}$ in modest yield and excellent diastereoselectivity (eq 5.9).

5.24


96\% yield; >20:1 d.r.

5.25


In addition to silyl enol ethers, a series of $\beta$-ketoesters were prepared and subjected to unoptimized conditions ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; Table 5.1). The 5-endo-trig cycloisomerization of 5.27 proceeded with good yield in 2 hours (entry 1). Bulky ester groups (entry 2 ) were well-tolerated, only requiring a slightly longer reaction time. Racemic 1,3disubstituted allene 5.29 formed alkene $\mathbf{5 . 3 3}$ with modest diastereoselectivity (4:1, entry 3). Substitution of the $\beta$-keto esters with other electron withdrawing groups, such as nitriles (entry 4), needed an increased amount of gold to catalyze the cyclization. In addition to higher catalyst loading, a longer exposure to the gold(I)-catalyst ( 15 h ) was necessary to reach $72 \%$ yield.

Table 5.1. Scope of Gold(I)-Catalyzed 5-Endo-trig Cyclization. ${ }^{a}$
entry
${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ (allene) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after
column chromatography. $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$.

By analogy to earlier studies, ${ }^{1}$ we hypothesized that this transformation proceeds first by the coordination of gold(I) to the terminal allenic double bond (Scheme 5.5). Then, nucleophilic addition of the enol double bond to the activated double bond in a 5-endo-trig fashion produces vinylgold intermediate 5.36. Protonation of $\mathbf{5 . 3 6}$ would regenerate the cationic gold species and produce the observed products. Consistent with our proposed mechanism, deuterium labeled substrate $\boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{- 5 . 2 8}$ gave deuterated cyclopentene $\boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{- 5 . 3 2}$ (eq 5.10).


Scheme 5.5. Proposed Mechanism of Gold(I)-Catalyzed 5-Endo-trig Cyclization.


According to this mechanism, if cyclization is fast relative to isomerization, then axis to center chirality transfer should occur with 1,3-disubstituted allenes. With this thought in mind, we began examining the reactivity of a chiral 1,3-disubstituted allene. As shown earlier, treatment of $\mathbf{5 . 1 4}$ with cationic gold(I) (Table 5.1, entry 3) furnished the expected cyclopentene 5.33 in good yield with moderate diastereoselectivity. Positioning the methyl group syn to the tert-butyl ester lead to the major diastereomer. ${ }^{13}$ This orientation minimizes developing $\mathrm{A}_{1,2}$ strain between the methyl ketone and terminal methyl group in the transition state (Scheme 5.6). Enantioenriched allene ( $S$ )-5.29 (eq 5.11) was easily synthesized in four steps ( $50 \%$ ee, $1: 1$ d.r. $)^{14}$ from commercially available ( $R$ )-3-butyn-2-ol according to the method of Krantz. ${ }^{15}$ Complete chirality transfer occurred upon cyclization, yielding an enantioenriched all-carbon stereocenter with a vicinal tertiary stereocenter. This reaction demonstrated the utility of our methodology, which provides mild and efficient access to challenging chiral quaternary centers.

minor diastereomer
5.33b
(S)-5.29

Scheme 5.6. Proposed Transition States for 1,3-Disubstituted Allene Cyclization.


## Gold(I)-Catalyzed 5-Endolexo-trig Cyclization

When the allenyl side chain was connected via a one-carbon methylene unit, only one reaction, 5-endo-trig, was expected. Substrates with two methylene units between nucleophile and allene could plausibly produce 4-exo, 5-endo, 5-exo, or 6-endo products (Scheme 5.7). ${ }^{16}$ Even longer side chains could conceivably form medium-sized rings. Based on ring strain and relative rates of ring formation we hypothesized that for the $n=1$ case the 6 -endo and 4 -exo modes would be disfavored over the 5-endolexo mode. For the same reasons, we proposed that 5-exo and 6-exo would be favored when $n=2$ and 3 respectively.


Scheme 5.7. Possible Cyclization Products.

We began our investigation into these types of cyclizations by preparing three general substrates (eq 5.12). 3,4-Pentadien-1-ol ${ }^{17}$ was treated with methanesulfonyl chloride and triethylamine to form the mesylate. Treatment with the sodium anion of $t$-butyl acetoacetate furnished compound $\mathbf{5 . 4 4}$ in $51 \%$ yield over two steps. Substrates with longer tethers ( $\mathbf{5 . 4 5}$ and 5.46) were synthesized analogously.

5.43

$51 \%$ yield over 2 steps

5.44

Remarkable selectivity for addition to the central carbon of the allene was observed. Substrate 5.44, with a two-methylene unit tether, cyclized to form a single cyclopentene $\mathbf{5 . 4 7}$ (Table 5.2). Substrates with three and four carbon tethers ( $\mathbf{5 . 4 5}$ and 5.46) failed to react at all. Starting material was re-isolated even after extended reaction times.

Table 5.2. Effect of Tether Length on Allene Cyclization. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ (allene) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Only starting material was recovered.

With a new transformation in hand, we turned to the examination of the effect of modifying the counterion, ligand, and solvent. Substrate $\mathbf{5 . 4 4}$ was used for screening conditions. A strong counterion effect was revealed (Table 5.3, entries 1-4); trifluoromethanesulfonate was the most effective, yielding the desired product as a single cyclopentene isomer in nearly quantitative conversion (entry 1). Less basic counterions, such as tetrafluoroborate and hexafluoroantimidate (entries 2 and 3), performed comparably with much lower conversion. Neutral gold species (entries 4 and 5) failed to react with substrate $\mathbf{5 . 4 4}$, leaving only starting material after 18 hours. Lastly, silver triflate was not a competent catalyst, cyclizing $\mathbf{5 . 4 4}$ to produce only $8 \%$ of $\mathbf{5 . 4 7}$ after 18 hours.

Table 5.3. Counterion Effects on Cyclization.


Treatment with a variety of cationic gold(I)-complexes bearing electron-deficient ligands ${ }^{18}$ (Table 5.4, entries 1-4) furnished a single cyclopentadiene 5.47 in nearly quantitative conversion. For example, phosphite $\mathbf{5 . 4 8}$ and tris(para-trifluoromethylphenyl)phosphine) $\mathbf{5 . 4 9}$ catalyzed the cyclization with equal conversions. However, a catalyst bearing a sigma-donating ligand (5.51), an N-heterocyclic carbene, was largely ineffective (entry 5). These results are consistent with the catalyst efficiencies found for the gold( I )-catalyzed Conia-ene and 5-endo-dig cyclizations. ${ }^{1,2}$ The simplest of the complexes tested, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$, was selected as the catalyst of choice.

Table 5.4. Ligand Effects on Cyclization.

5.44


$-1+2$

| entry | ligand | time (h) | \% conv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ (5.48) | 1 | 90 |
| 2 | (3,5-( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ (5.49) | 1 | 92 |
| 3 | $\left(4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}(\mathbf{5 . 5 0})$ | 1 | 92 |
| 4 |  | 3 | 21 |

Changing the catalyst oxidation state from gold(I) to gold(III) dramatically reduced selectivity for exo-cyclopentene 5.47 (eq 5.13). Whereas when $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ was employed as a catalyst, only the exo-cyclopentene 5.47 was observed, gold(III) chloride produced a 1:3 mixture of exo:endo isomers. We theorized that this selectivity switch was caused by gold(III)-catalyzed rearrangement of the exo-alkene $\mathbf{5 . 4 7}$ to endo-5.52 or vice versa. To test this hypothesis, two control experiments were performed. First, a sample of the exo-alkene $\mathbf{5 . 4 7}$ was treated with gold(III) chloride (eq 5.14). Second, a pure sample of the endo-alkene $\mathbf{5 . 5 2}^{19}$ was subjected to the reaction conditions with gold(III) chloride (eq 5.15). In both cases, however, no isomerization was detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR after 18 hours. ${ }^{20}$ A number of differences between gold(I) and gold(III) could account for this selectivity difference. Among other explanations, one reasonable hypothesis is that the rate of $\pi-\sigma-\pi$ isomerization is faster for allylgold(III) complexes. It is also possible that the rate of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ protonolysis is faster than $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ ' for gold(III). Our investigations into the mechanism of this reaction as well as the properties of allylgold(III) species will be discussed later in this chapter.



$$
5.52
$$

The solvent effects on the gold(I) cyclization are summarized in Table 5.5. The reaction proceeded equivalently in non-polar, non-coordinating solvents such as chloroform and benzene (entries 1 and 2). Acetone (entry 6) reduced conversion to $69 \%$. Protic and polar media, like acetonitrile, dioxane, and methanol nearly eliminated reactivity (entries 3-5).

Table 5.5. Solvent Effects.


We next examined the scope of the cycloisomerization (Table 5.6). $\beta$-Keto-esters (entry 1) and diketones (entry 2) were well tolerated; both yielded a single cyclopentene isomer. A substrate with an internally substituted allene (entry 3) was also cyclized to form a single cyclopentene isomer, albeit with little diastereoselectivity. Unfortunately, 1,3-disubstituted allenes (entry 4) formed a complex mixture of products after extended reaction times. We theorized that the poor reactivity of this substrate was due to steric interference between the terminal methyl group and $\beta$-keto ester.

Table 5.6. Scope of Gold(I)-Catayzed Cycloisomerization. ${ }^{a}$
entry
${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ (allene) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Complex mixture of multiple products.

## Proposed Mechanism and Allylgold(I) Species

By analogy to the Conia-ene mechanistic results, ${ }^{1}$ we proposed that gold selectively activates the allenic double bond instead of the $\beta$-ketoester. Thus, the gold(I) cationic catalyst could coordinate either allenic double bond, activating the central carbon for nucleophilic attack in either a 5 -exo or 5 -endo fashion (Scheme 5.8). The resulting allylgold(I) species could subsequently react directly with an electrophile in either an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ ' manner to produce the exo- or endo-cycloalkene. Alternatively, intermediates 5.52a and 5.47a could interconvert and then react. Because only one alkene isomer (exo) is observed, endo intermediate 5.47a must under go direct $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ protonation or exo intermediate 5.52a must undergo $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ protonation.


Scheme 5.8. Proposed Mechanism for the Gold(I)-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization.

The study of the mechanism of this 5-endo/exo-trig reaction was difficult due to the interconversions of multiple intermediates (Scheme 5.8). Therefore, we turned to examine the nature of allylgold(I) species for further insight. Specifically, we wanted to address two issues: first, are allylgold(I) species configurationally stable? That is, do they isomerize readily between $\eta^{1}$ and $\eta^{3}$ configurations? Second, what is the preferential mode of reactivity with electrophiles$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ ?


Only three reports of allylgold species were found in the literature. Two publications by Kochi and co-workers ${ }^{21}$ described the synthesis of allylgold(III) species $\mathbf{5 . 6 0}$ by treatment of dimethyltriphenylphosphinegold(III)iodide with allylmagnesium bromide (eq 5.16). The authors reported a crystal structure of crotyl species $\mathbf{5 . 6 1}$, consistent with a square planar complex with the allyl anion $\eta^{1}$ bound to gold. The reactivity of $\mathbf{4 0}$ with electrophiles was also briefly investigated by Kochi (eq 5.16). When treated with aqueous acid, crotyl species $\mathbf{5 . 6 1}$ only formed 1-butene, most likely reacting in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ manner. However, when bromine was used as the electrophile, a 1:6 mixture of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ products was formed.


Due to the differences in selectivity between gold(I) and gold(III), we were especially interested to find a single report of a allylgold(I)-complex in the literature. Perevalova and coworkers ${ }^{22}$ described a procedure similar to that of Kochi's. Treatment of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ with allyl magnesium bromide was reported to yield triphenylphosphinegold(I)allyl species (5.62) in $51 \%$ yield. A specific note in this publication describes the quenching of the reaction mixture with a large quantity of water as being necessary for isolation. Since ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, crystal structures, and reactivity of these complexes were not reported, we undertook the synthesis and isolation of 5.62.

Our attempts to isolate complex $\mathbf{5 . 6 2}$ via the methods described by Perevalova were not met with success. Under presumably identical conditions, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ was treated with allyl magnesium bromide. After aqueous work up, the crude material isolated showed none of the expected allyl signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The material isolated was identical in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum to that of the starting material. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR was also consistent with starting material. After this disappointing result, we were forced to search for another synthetic route to 5.62.

Simple alkylgold(I) complexes can be prepared easily via the treatment of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ with alkyllithium reagents. ${ }^{23}$ By analogy, we attempted to synthesize $\mathbf{5 . 6 2}$ employing allyllithium. Due to its inherent instability, allyllithium must be prepared immediately prior to use. A number of methods exist for its synthesis, the most convenient of which involve the transmetallation of an allylmetal compound with an alkyllithium reagent. ${ }^{24}$ Many attempts to prepare $\mathbf{5 . 6 2}$ were hindered by the propensity of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ to react with alkyl and aryllithium reagents used to form the corresponding alkyl- and arylgold species. The purity of allyllithium solution is of utmost importance to avoid forming these impurities. Transmetallating triphenylallytin with one equivalent of phenyllithium in ether ${ }^{25}$ produced a clean allyllithium solution with no excess phenyllithium. Reacting the resulting solution of allyllithium with a suspension of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ in ether produced a remarkably clean sample of $\mathbf{5 . 6 2}$ (eq 5.18). Contrary to Perevalova's report, we found $\mathbf{5 . 6 2}$ was not stable to water. Further purification was hindered by the instability of the complex upon exposure to water, oxygen, and light.


In order to make the isolation and purification of an allylgold(I) species easier, we attempted to create a more stable complex by varying the electronic properties of the phosphine ligand. Ligands with more electron-donating character, such as tris(paramethoxyphenyl)phosphine and tris(tert-butyl)phosphine destabilized the allylgold(I) complex. These complexes were extremely light sensitive, degrading from light tan crystalline material to black tar within minutes of exposure. Ligands with electron-withdrawing character (tris(parachlorophenyl)phosphine and tris(para-trifluoromethylphenyl)phosphine) appeared to stabilize the corresponding allylgold(I) complex. Unfortunately, due to their sensitive nature, the isolation and characterization of these complexes was never completed.

In addition to studying the nature of allylgold(I) species directly, we designed substrates that were hypothesized to provide indirect information about the nature of such species. Conformational analysis of the four proposed transition states revealed that a chiral allene should
produce a single enantiomer if reacting through a single mode of cyclization (Scheme 5.9). Thus, by examining the enantiomeric and diastereomeric ratio of the product formed, we could obtain data about the isomerization of allylgold complexes. The 5-endo pathway was proposed to begin with gold coordination of the internal alkene in the least sterically encumbered position on the face opposite to the R group. Formation of $(R)-\mathbf{5 . 6 4}$ should be disfavored because of a large steric interaction between the R group and silyl enol ether. If 5 -endo was the preferred pathway, and $\pi-\sigma-\pi$ isomerization was slow relative to protonation, then a single enantiomer and alkene isomer - cis- $(S)$ - $\mathbf{5 . 6 4}$ - should be observed. Similarly, a gauche butane-type interaction disfavors the formation of $(S)-5.64$ via the 5-exo pathway. If 5-exo was the preferred pathway, then a single enantiomer - $(R)$ - 5.64 - should be found. With either 5-endo or 5-exo cyclization, if $\pi-\sigma-\pi$ isomerization was fast relative to protonation, either cis, trans or a mixture of alkene isomers may be observed depending on the kinetics and thermodynamics of protonolysis. In addition, the two 5-endo pathways may also be differentiated by distinguishing between diastereotopically deuterium labeled aldehydes (syn vs anti). A racemic product would indicate either multiple pathways or gold(I)-catalyzed racemization of the starting allene. ${ }^{26}$


Scheme 5.9. Stereospecific Cyclization Pathways.

Unfortunately, previous attempts at cycloisomerization of terminally substituted allenes resulted in complex mixtures of products (Table 5.6, entry 4). We hypothesized that this was due to steric interference between the terminal substituent on the allene and $\beta$-keto ester. With this in mind, racemic silyl enol ethers derived from aldehydes were prepared from cyclopentene and cyclohexene (Scheme 5.10). With substrates in hand, initial studies were directed at finding the optimal combination of gold(I) catalyst, silver salt, and solvent. All results indicated that the gold(I)-catalyzed cyclization of these substrates (5.65-5.68) was complicated by competitive desilylation presumably via an $\alpha$-aurated intermediate ${ }^{1}$ and subsequent hydrolysis.



Scheme 5.10. Substrate Synthesis from Cyclopentene and Cyclohexene.

## Conclusion

In summary, a mild, air and moisture tolerant gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endo-trig cyclization of allenic dicarbonyl, silyl enol ether, and dinitrile compounds has been developed. Full axis to center chirality transfer in this reaction allows for facile access to chiral all-carbon quaternary centers with a vicinal tertiary center. In addition, a gold(I)-catalyzed 5-endo/exo-trig cyclization has been described. Furthermore, the characterization of allylgold reactive intermediates continues to be challenging.

## Experimental

## General Information

Unless otherwise noted, all commercial materials were used without purification. Small scale reactions ( $<3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were carried out in Fisher Scientific disposable scintillation vials. Dimethoxyethane (DME) was dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves and stored in a schlenk flask. EMD Drisolv® ( $<50 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was used without further purification. All other dry solvents were dried by passing through an alumina column. Silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (AgOTf) and chloro(triphenylphosphine)gold $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}\right)$ were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company and Strem Chemicals respectively. 3,4-Pentadien-1ol, 4,5-hexadien-1-ol, and 5,6-heptadien-1-ol were prepared according to the method of Crabbe. 17 2,3-Butadien-1-ol, ${ }^{27}$ 2,3-pentadien-1-ol15, 3,4-hexadien-1-ol, ${ }^{28}$ and 4-methylhexa-4,5-dien-1-ol ${ }^{29}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. Substrate $\boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{- 5 . 2 8}$ was prepared by exchange with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and catalytic $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in THF. TLC analysis of reaction mixtures was performed with Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ TLC plates. Flash chromatography was performed with Merck 60 silica gel (32-63 mm). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AVB400 spectrometer and were measured in and referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, unless otherwise noted. The enol isomers of substrates $\mathbf{5 . 2 7}, \mathbf{5 . 2 5}, \mathbf{5 . 4 4}, \mathbf{5 . 4 5}, \mathbf{5 . 4 6}, \mathbf{5 . 5 3}, \mathbf{5 . 5 4}$, and $\mathbf{5 . 5 5}$ were not observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and are reported as the keto forms. IR spectra were recorded with a ThermoNicolete Avatar 370 FTIR spectrometer as thin films on a ZnSe plate. Mass spectral and analytical data were obtained via the Micro-Mass/Analytical Facility operated by the College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley.

## Representative Procedure for the Preparation of Mesylalcohols

Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $930 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added slowly to a cooled ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of 3,4-pentadien-1-ol ( $841 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and triethylamine ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.4 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was monitored by TLC. Upon completion ( 30 min ) the reaction mixture was quenched on a mixture of brine and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3: 1,50 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed once with a mixture of brine and saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3: 1,50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to yield 3,4-pentadienyl methanesulfonate as a crude yellow oil ( 1.6 g , quant). This crude oil was used without further purification.

## Representative Procedure $A$ for the Alkylation of $\beta$-Ketoesters

tert-Butyl acetoacetate ( $2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of sodium hydride ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in dry THF ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{M}$ in acetoacetate). When addition was complete, the solution was stirred at rt until gas evolution
ceased (15 min). The clear solution was transferred into a solution of 3,4pentadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 10 mmol , or as indicated) in dry DME ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in mesylate). The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h , then cooled to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was poured onto sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a separatory funnel, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield tert-butyl 2-ethanoylhepta-5,6-dienoate (29) as a clear, colorless oil ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ). Characterization data are reported below.

## Representative Procedure B for the Alkylation of $\beta$-Ketoesters

Methyl acetoacetate ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of sodium hydride ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in dry THF ( 6 mL ). When addition was complete, the solution was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until gas evolution ceased ( 15 min ). The clear solution was transferred into a solution of 2,3-butadienyl methanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 3 mmol , or as indicated) in dry THF ( 4 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The solution was poured onto sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a separatory funnel, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield methyl 2-ethanoylhexa-4,5-dienoate (11) as a clear, colorless oil ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). Characterization data are reported below.


Methyl 2-ethanoylhexa-4,5-dienoate 5.27. Prepared according to procedure B with 2,3butadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 3 mmol ) and methylacetoacetate. Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.14$ (pentet, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.29 (s, 3H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.5,202.4,169.6,87.0,76.5,58.6,52.5$, 29.4, 26.4 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v 1956,1742,1716,1149 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{+}$ 168.0786, found 169.0783; Anal. calcd.: C, 64.27; H, 7.19; found: C, 64.15; H, 7.29.

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylhexa-4,5-dienoate 5.25. Prepared according to procedure B with 2,3butadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 5.5 mmol ) and tertbutylacetoacetate. Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.14$ (d, $J=$
6.6 Hz, 1H), $4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.6,202.8,168.2,87.2,82.1,76.3,59.9,29.3,27.9,26.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR (thin film) v 1957, 1732, 1713, $1141 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$211.1334, found 211.1332; Anal. calcd.: C, 68.54; H, 8.63, found: C, 68.62; H, 8.87.


2-(Buta-2,3-dienyl)propanedinitrile 5.30. Prepared according to procedure $B$ with 2,3butadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 2.6 mmol ) and malononitrile. Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) $\delta 5.28$ (pentet, $J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 209.3,112.4,83.7,78.9,29.7,22.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; LRMS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$119. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{30}$

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylhepta-4,5-dienoate 5.29. Prepared according to procedure B with 2,3pentadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 3.1 mmol ) and tertbutylacetoacetate. Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $2.5-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.11$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 205.0,202.6,168.3,87.4,87.2,81.9,60.0,29.0,27.9,27.2,14.2$ ppm; peaks observed for the other diastereomer: ${ }^{31}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 205.1, 202.7, $168.4,87.3,87.2,81.8,60.2,29.0,27.9,27.3,14.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) v 1967, 1736, 1713, 1139 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 225.1491$, found 225.1496.

(3-(Buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-2-methylcyclopent-1-enyloxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane
5.15.

Prepared according to the method of Iwasawa ${ }^{32}$. Purification by silica gel chromatography (Pretreated with 5\% TEA/Hex; eluted with Hex) to yield the title compound as a clear colorless oil (178 mg, 34\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.60(\mathrm{q}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{t}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.17$ (s, 6H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.3,148.3,114.1,101.0,73.2,50.0,33.0,25.8$, $18.1,14.9,10.6,-2.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{32}$

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylhepta-5,6-dienoate 5.44. Prepared according to procedure A with 3,4pentadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 10 mmol ). Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ). ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.07$ (pentet, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 208.7,203.4,168.9,88.8,81.9,75.4,59.9,29.0,27.9,27.3,25.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR (thin film) $v 1956,1732,1710,1140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$225.1491, found 225.1496; Anal. calcd.: C, 69.61; H, 8.99, found: C, 69.30; H, 9.05.

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylocta-6,7-dienoate 5.45. Prepared according to procedure A with 4,5hexadienylmethanesulfonate ( 10 mmol ). Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.09$ (pentet, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 208.6, 203.5, 169.1, 89.4, 81.9, 75.0, 60.8, 28.7, 27.9, 27.9, 27.5, 26.7 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v$ 1956, 1734, 1712, $1139 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 239.1647$, found 239.1649.

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylnona-7,8-dienoate 5.46. Prepared according to procedure A with 5,6heptadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 10 mmol ). Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil $(1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.10$ (pentet, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.68(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.5,169.1$, 128.3, 89.7, 81.8, 74.8, 61.0, 28.8, 28.6, 28.3, 27.9, 27.9, 26.7 ppm ; IR (thin film) v 1956, 1736, $1711,1138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 253.1804$, found 253.1805; Anal. calcd.: C, 71.39; H, 9.59, found: C, 71.03; H, 9.76.


2-(Penta-3,4-dienyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione 5.53. Prepared according to procedure A with 3,4-pentadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 10 mmol ), catalytic sodium iodide ( 20 mg ) with dry DMF ( 4 mL ). Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( 150 mg , $13 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.01-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02-2.17 (m, 3H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.7,204.0,196.4,133.8,128.9$, 128.8, 88.9, 75.6, 62.4, 28.3, 28.1, 26.3 ppm ; IR (thin film) v 1955, 1719, $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$229.1229, found 229.1235.

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoyl-5-methylhepta-5,6-dienoate 5.54. Prepared according to procedure A with 4-methylhexa-4,5-dienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 8 $\mathrm{mmol})$. Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-6 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49$ (s, 9H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.1,169.0,100.0,97.3,81.9,74.7,60.0,31.0$, 29.0, 27.9, 25.8, 18.6 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v 1736,1712,1368,1143 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{+}$238.1569, found 238.1566.

tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylocta-5,6-dienoate 5.55. Prepared according to procedure A with 3,4hexadienylmethanesulfonate (prepared according to the general procedure; 5 mmol ). Purification by silica gel chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) yielded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.99-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 205.0,203.6,169.0,89.0,86.3,81.9,59.9,29.0,27.9,27.3,26.5$, 14.5 ppm ; IR (thin film) $v 1965,1736,1713,1138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 239.1647, found 239.1644; Anal. calcd.: C, 70.56; H, 9.30, found: C, 70.83; H, 9.46.

## General Gold(I)-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization Procedure

To a small screw-cap scintillation vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar and charged with a solution of allenyl substrate ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{mg}$ or as indicated; 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, as indicated) followed by the appropriate silver salt ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, as indicated). The cloudy white reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature and monitored periodically by TLC. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was either loaded directly on to a silica gel column and chromatographed or simply filtered thru a plug of silica gel to give the cycloisomerized products described below.


Methyl 1-ethanoylcyclopent-3-enecarboxylate 5.31. Prepared according to the general procedure with AgOTf. Purified by filtration through a silica gel plug ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.7$, $173.5,127.8,65.3,52.8,39.3,25.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{33}$

tert-Butyl 1-ethanoylcyclopent-3-enecarboxylate 5.32. Prepared according to the general procedure with tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylhexa-4,5-dienoate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AgOTf. Purified by filtration through a silica gel plug ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.9,172.0,127.7,81.9,66.1,39.1$, $27.8,26.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{34}$


Cyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarbonitrile 5.34. Prepared according to the general procedure with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{AgOTf}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. Purified by filtration through a silica gel plug ( $30 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 127.5,116.9,83.7,45.4$ ppm . Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{35}$


4,6-Dimethyl-3,3,6,6-tetrahydropentalen-1(2H)-one 5.16. Prepared according to the general procedure with (3-(Buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-2-methylcyclopent-1-enyloxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using chloroform as the solvent and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}\left(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( 5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ). The crude reaction mixture was filtered through a silica gel plug ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ), concentrated. The crude oil was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $5.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20$ (s, 3H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 225.6,140.8,124.9,57.3,55.1,43.7,36.2,21.9$, $21.0,14.7 \mathrm{ppm}$. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{32}$

tert-Butyl 1-ethanoyl-2-methylcyclopent-3-enecarboxylate 5.33. Prepared according to the general procedure with tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylhepta-4,5-dienoate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.223 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AgOTf . The crude reaction mixture was filtered through a silica gel plug ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ), concentrated to yield a crude $4: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. The crude oil was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the major diastereomer as a clear, colorless oil (43 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 5.57-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04$ (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; The following NOE enhancements were observed:

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.9,170.2,135.4,125.2,82.2,70.1,43.0,38.4,28.0,26.4,16.1$ ppm; IR (thin film) $v 1737,1710,1251,1142 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+} 225$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{+} 224.1412$, found pending; Enantiomeric excess was determined to be $50 \%$ by chiral HPLC (Whelk-O column, ethanol:hexanes $=0.5: 99.5,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) major stereoisomer: $76 \%, 8.1 \mathrm{~min}$; minor stereoisomer: $24 \%, 10.1 \mathrm{~min}$.

tert-Butyl 1-ethanoyl-3-methyl-2-methylenecyclopentanecarboxylate 5.57. Prepared according to the general procedure with AgOTf. Purified directly by silica gel chromatography ( $1-8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a $3: 2$ inseparable mixture of diastereomers (clear, colorless oil, $90 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ): Major diasteromer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.18-5.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H) ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.5,170.2,153.6,110.9,81.8,71.6,39.7,32.6,32.4$, 27.7, 26.8, 18.9 ppm ; Minor diasteromer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.18-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.54-2.58 (m, 2H), $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.6,170.1,153.5,110.5,81.7,71.4,39.8,32.9,32.5$, 27.9, 26.6, 18.2 ppm ; IR (thin film) v 2973, 1709, $1153 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+} 238$; HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$195.1385, found 195.1384.

tert-Butyl 1-ethanoyl-2-methylenecyclopentanecarboxylate 5.47. Prepared according to the general procedure with tert-Butyl 2-ethanoylhepta-5,6-dienoate ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AgOTf. Purified by filtration through a silica gel plug ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.31(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.26 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.34-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.49 (s, 9H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.6,170.1,148.9,111.6,81.9,71.1,35.0$, $34.1,27.8,26.8,24.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{1}$


1-(2-Methylene-1-(phenylcarbonyl)cyclopentyl)ethanone 5.56. Prepared according to the general procedure with 2-(Penta-3,4-dienyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.263 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Purified by silica gel chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ) to yield the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.44-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (pentet, $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.77-1.89 (m, 2H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 204.6, 198.0, 149.0, 135.5, 132.8, 129.3, $128.5,113.3,75.5,35.9,34.3,27.3,24.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. Spectral data consistent with previously reported data. ${ }^{36}$
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## Appendix 5A

Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR characterization data are included for compounds $\mathbf{5 . 3 3}, \mathbf{5 . 4 5}, \mathbf{5 . 5 3}$, 5.54, 5.55, 5.57.
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## Chapter 6

## Synopsis and Future Directions

## The Evolving Field of Gold(I)-Catalysis

As modern chemists we are faced with more than just providing technology to a variety of fields. We also must ensure that the reactions we create will be sustainable for the years to come. As related in chapter 1, the principle of atom economy outlines the features of an ideal reaction. While current methods are still far from this ideal reaction, the use of homogeneous gold(I)-catalysts have shown some key advantages. Simple addition reactions to carbon-carbon unsaturated bonds are easily catalyzed by gold(I). Our research has been broadly focused on expanding the scope of such gold(I)-catalyzed nucleophilic additions, specifically enantioselective additions to allenes, and the mechanism of gold(I)-alkene additions. As the field of gold(I)-catalysis matures, new investigations are proposed on the combined use of gold with other metals.


In chapter 2, we reported an enantioselective hydroamination of allenes catalyzed by phosphinegold(I)-bis-p-nitrobenzoate complexes (eq 6.1). Soon after our report, Widenhoefer disclosed a similar transformation using carbamate nucleophiles. ${ }^{1}$ The catalyst (DTBM$\left.\operatorname{MeOBiPHEP}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}\right)$ employed in this reaction was also used for a dynamic kinetic enantioselective hydroamination of allenes. ${ }^{2}$ To date, these gold-catalyzed reactions are the only enantioselective methods for the hydroamination of allenes. In addition, our paper described previously unknown catalytic activity of gold(I)benzoates. Researchers in our group are still exploring the special reactivity of these species. For example, our group extended the use of such complexes to a 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition. ${ }^{3}$

The utility of gold(I)-catalyzed hydroamination could be greatly expanded if vinylgold intermediate 6.4 could be diverted from protodemetallation. For example, this would allow access to cross-coupling products like 6.5 (eq 6.2). Although there have been a few initial reports, ${ }^{4}$ the combination of gold-catalysis with other transition metals remains largely unexplored. In the future, we believe dual metal-catalysis will be the basis for innovation in the field of hydroamination and gold-catalysis.

6.10


Over the course of our research into hydroamination, we noted the critical effect achiral counterions could have on the enantioselectivity of the product. A group of coworkers demonstrated the magnitude of this effect by using the counterions as the source of chirality. ${ }^{5}$ As we related in chapter 3, the combination of bisphosphinegold(I) complexes with a chiral counterion, $(R)$-TripAg, proved to be an effective strategy for the asymmetric synthesis of biologically relevant heterocycles (eq 6.2-6.3).

The formation of poly-oxygenated molecules is an ongoing challenge in synthesis. The gold(I)-catalyzed addition of in situ generated nucleophiles could provide access to such compounds (eq 6.5). For example, the nucleophilic addition of an in situ generated hemi-acetal could be catalyzed by gold in conjunction with a chiral counterion. However, the catalyst chosen must not catalyze intramolecular cyclization of $\mathbf{6 . 1 0}$. The cyclic acetal $\mathbf{6 . 1 1}$ might be labile under the reaction conditions, directly yielding the desired product. Alternatively, treatment with a mild acid would release diol 6.12.


6.15

6.18



The first direct crystallographic evidence for gold(I)-electrophilic activation of alkenes for nucleophilic addition was presented in chapter 4. In addition, we have provided the first experimental verification of an anti-addition mechanism for alkene aminoauration. Interestingly, a variety of protected amine nucleophiles proved competent. The implications for gold(I)catalyzed hydroamination reactions are somewhat unclear: we were unable to complete the catalytic cycle by means of protodeauration. ${ }^{6}$ However, the isolation of alkylgold(I) complexes provided a platform for probing the fundamental chemistry of gold, the limits of which we are still exploring. It is somewhat ironic that our interest in gold chemistry was partially motivated by mechanisms outside of the traditional oxidative addition/reductive elimination cycles. Now we see the oxidation of gold(I) intermediates as a potential source of new reactivity.

We expect that alkylgold(I) complexes will enable the discovery of novel dual metal catalytic activity. The intra- and intermolecular trapping of imines and aldehydes are proposed examples of two such systems. In the intramolecular sense, aminoauration could be followed by transmetallation and trapping with a pendant imine (eq 6.7). This reaction would allow entry into complex spirocyclic structures. The analogous intermolecular transformation with aldehydes (eq 6.8) would generate 1,2-aminoalcohols from simple starting materials.



We also showed an example of chirality transfer in the gold(I)-catalyzed carbocyclization of allenes (6.5). This stereospecific transformation is a mild method for producing an all-carbon quaternary stereocenter with a vicinal tertiary center. Although our understanding of enantioselective gold(I) catalysis has grown, researchers in our group turned to palladium to achieve an enantioselective Conia-ene reaction. ${ }^{7}$ A gold-catalyzed enantioselective variant remains elusive. One of the major problems with developing the enantioselective is the lack of a stereochemical model. Such a tool is necessary to predict steric interactions and their stereochemical result. The isolation of species like $\mathbf{6 . 2 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 . 2 4 b}$ should be possible by combining the technology developed in chapter 4 and 5 (eq 6.10). These gold(I)-complexes bearing chiral ligands could be used for the basis of a stereochemical model.

Homogeneous gold(I)-catalysis continues to be an evolving field of research. As related in this dissertation, our understanding of gold(I)-reactivity has changed dramatically over the course of a mere five years. Prior to 2005, we believed that the linear two coordinate geometry of gold(I)-complexes would hinder their use in enantioselective transformations. Today, we know that this obstical may be over come by the use of coordinating counterions and chiral phosphine ligands. Additionally, we now have evidence of gold(I) activating alkenes for nucleophilic addition. It is our hope that these contributions will enable the continued development of gold(I)-catalysis.
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